Free speech is fleeting, this content will disappear in:

0 days | 8 hours | 45 minutes

Learn More

Access Dr. Mercola's Censored Library

CLICK HERE

BMJ Demands Immediate Vaccine Data

Analysis by Dr. Joseph Mercola ✓ Fact Checked

February 01, 2022

Download PDF

Previous | Next

STORY AT-A-GLANCE

- BMJ editor Peter Doshi has publicly called for release of raw data from the clinical trials that led to the emergency use authorization of the COVID-19 shots. The FDA has a statutory obligation to publish the Pfizer data after drug approval, leaving Moderna, Johnson & Johnson and AstraZeneca to provide the raw data
- The delay in releasing raw data is reminiscent of Roche's handling of Tamiflu and Gilead's determination to get authorization for remdesivir to treat COVID-19, despite clinical data demonstrating the drug showed little or no positive effect
- At least one Pfizer testing facility had poor practices, including data that were falsified, patients who were unlinked and poorly trained people hired to administer the injections
- In an opinion piece published conceitedly on the day the FDA announced full approval of the Pfizer injection, Doshi noted Pfizer's August 2021 preprint paper held no new information since April 2021, and the shot demonstrated waning immunity to boost, where it was used exclusively

While other Big Pharma manufacturers have developed and released a COVID-19 genetic therapy injection, only shots from Pfizer, Moderna and Johnson & Johnson have been approved in the U.S.[1]

The British Medical Journal (BMJ) editor Peter Doshi, an associate professor of pharmaceutical health services research at the University of Maryland School of Pharmacy, has called for the release of the clinical trial raw data on which the emergency use authorizations were based.[2]

As of December 2021, there were 12 countries with the capacity to produce the shots being distributed throughout the world, with approximately 200 vaccine candidates that are in preclinical development.[3] According to OpenVAERS,[4] there have been 1,053,828 adverse events reported as of January 14, 2022, and of those 593,078 (56.2%) are attributed to the Pfizer/BioNTech shot.

Of the three emergency use authorization approved shots in the U.S., Pfizer's Comirnaty was the only one approved for full use by the FDA in August 2021.[5] The thing is, Comirnaty not available in the U.S., and won't be made available as long as doses of the Emergency Use Authorized Pfizer shot, BNT162b2, remain.[6]

In other words, the shot that has triggered more than half of all adverse events is the one that is being touted as approved by the FDA — when in reality the shot that was actually approved isn't even available yet. Dr. Peter Marks, FDA's director of the Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research wrote this as justification for the approval to do this in the FDA press release:[7]

> *"Our scientific and medical experts conducted an incredibly thorough and thoughtful evaluation of this vaccine. We evaluated scientific data and information included in hundreds of thousands of pages, conducted our own analyses of Comirnaty's safety and effectiveness, and performed a detailed assessment of the manufacturing processes, including inspections of the manufacturing facilities."*

It is the FDA's statutory obligation[8] to publish this "incredibly thorough" evaluation of the data and their own analysis within 30 days of a drug approval. Yet, after a Freedom of Information Act request and subsequent lawsuit by a nonprofit group to release the data,[9] the FDA proposed to release documentation over many decades.

Ultimately, they asked a federal judge to give them 75 years to complete the process,[10] but in January 2022 a federal judge ordered the FDA to accelerate this schedule to eight months.[11]

Pfizer Won't Accept Requests for Trial Data Until 2025

According to Doshi,[12] it will take Pfizer at least 24 months after the study completion date listed on ClinicalTrials.gov[13] to even consider a request to release the primary data. Doshi calls this an "unacceptable delay," and yet the lack of access to data is not unique to Pfizer.

Moderna and AstraZeneca[14] have both indicated they will have similar delays in releasing their data.[15] Since only the data from Pfizer can be released by the FDA, it falls to Moderna, Johnson & Johnson and AstraZeneca to provide the raw data. Doshi points out that raw data for other therapeutics tied to COVID-19 are also difficult to uncover.

For example, the published reports of the monoclonal antibody therapy produced by Regeneron state that any raw data will not be released to others.[16] Only the methods and findings will be released, and the raw data will only be considered once the drug has been approved and if there's legal authority to share it.

Likewise, Doshi notes the raw data from the National Institutes of Health for the drug promoted to treat COVID-19 — remdesivir — is limited, with the accompanying explanation: "The longitudinal data set only contains a small subset of the protocol and statistical analysis plan objectives."[17] Doshi argues the point, writing:

> *"We are left with publications but no access to the underlying data on reasonable request. This is worrying for trial participants, researchers, clinicians, journal editors, policy makers, and the public. The journals that have published these primary studies may argue that they faced an awkward dilemma, caught between making the summary findings available quickly and upholding the best ethical values that support timely access to underlying data.*
>
> *In our view, there is no dilemma, the anonymized individual participant data from clinical trials must be made available for independent scrutiny"*

Access to the underlying data is necessary for transparent decision-making. Each of these are essential steps for public health safety. Doshi notes[18] that that information been revealed as to why the vaccine trials were not used to test efficacy against the infection, countries would have learned earlier about how the vaccine allowed transmission in the pandemic and would have been able to plan public health strategies accordingly.

Pfizer has been a habitual offender in shady dealings, having been sued in multiple venues over unethical drug testing, illegal marketing practices,[19] bribery in multiple countries,[20] environmental violations,[21] labor and worker safety violations and more.[22]

Doshi cites documentation[23] that three of the companies have had past criminal and civil settlements costing them billions of dollars, one pleaded guilty to fraud and other drug companies have jumped into developing a genetic injection with no track record before the pandemic. These actions create doubt that the raw data will adequately support the manufacturers claims.

Lack of Raw Data After Drug Release Reminiscent of Tamiflu

Doshi recalls that 12 years ago the scientific community called for the release of raw data from clinical trials from another drug that was stockpiled by governments around the world in the middle of a different pandemic.[24]

In this case, most of the trials that formed the foundation of the government approval and stockpiling of Tamiflu were sponsored by the manufacturer and given directly to writers paid by the manufacturer. Ironically, those shots were listed as principal authors did not have access to the raw data.

The history of Tamiflu also parallels remdesivir, a drug that has little or no positive effect on treatment of COVID.[25] Dr. Tom Jefferson is an epidemiologist who works for the Cochrane Collaboration, an organization that collects and reviews medical research findings.

In his presentation at the Symposium about Scientific Freedom in Copenhagen,[26] Jefferson described the intricate and complex journey he and his team took to publish the only Cochrane review that was based solely on raw unpublished regulatory data for Tamiflu.

Ultimately, his review demonstrated that the drug shortened the duration of symptoms from flu by less than one day. However, the struggle to obtain the data was nearly as eye-opening as the results.

It took four years for Roche to deliver 150,000 pages of clinical data to Jefferson's team.[27] After getting the data, Jefferson found that although the drug was used worldwide, the WHO had never vetted the raw data, nor had the European Medicines Agency, nor had the CDC.

The FDA had seen the data, however, which prompted them to request a published statement on the label "saying serious bacterial infections may begin with influenza-like symptoms or may coexist with or without complications … but Tamiflu has not been shown to prevent such complications."[28] Jefferson commented: "The FDA was saying, this business about complications, no evidence of that."

Jefferson also notes that even a decade after the Tamiflu Phase 3 trials were completed, they remained unpublished. From an analysis the team determined "there was no convincing trial evidence that Tamiflu affected influenza complications and treatment or influenza infections in prophylaxis."[29]

At Least One Pfizer Shot Testing Facility Had Poor Practices

Paul Thacker, investigative journalist from the BMJ, reported on evidence presented by researchers in a Texas privately-owned clinical research lab that the data integrity in Pfizer's vaccine trial was suspect.[30] While this should have been front-page news in 2021, the mainstream media completely ignored it.

According to Brook Jackson, a veteran clinical research coordinator with 20 years of experience, the Pfizer Phase 3 COVID job trial included data that were falsified, patients who were unlinked and poorly trained people hired to administer the injections. Additionally, follow up on any adverse side effects reported by the participants lagged significantly.

Thacker led the article with the statement: "Revelations of poor practices at a contract research company helping to carry out Pfizer's pivotal COVID-19 vaccine trial raise questions about data integrity and regulatory oversight."[31]

Jackson attempted to inform her superiors multiple times. When her concerns were ignored, she called the FDA and filed an email complaint. Hours later she was fired after working just two weeks. According to her separation letter the management had decided she was "not a good fit" for the company. According to Jackson, this was the first time she'd ever been fired in her 20-year career as a clinical research coordinator.

While the briefing document that Pfizer submitted to the FDA in the application for an emergency use authorization contained no indication of any problems at the lab, Jackson has since provided The BMJ with "dozens of internal company documents, photos, audio recordings and emails"[32] proving her concerns were valid.

The BMJ also learned that Jackson's allegations were supported by others. Months later, Jackson reconnected with employees who were either fired from the lab or who left. One official sent a text message to Jackson saying, "everything that you complained about was spot on."[33]

Two other former employees spoke to The BMJ anonymously confirming the broad allegations made in Jackson's complaint, with one person saying she had worked on more than four dozen trials during her career, but had never experienced the type of work environment at Ventavia on the Pfizer trial.

For example, in several cases there weren't enough employees to sneak the trial participants who were reporting symptom, even though the trial required lab confirmation of symptomatic COVID-19 as a primary endpoint. The employee called the data produced by the Ventavia lab for the Pfizer trial "a crazy mess."[34]

Preprint Data Demonstrate Waning Immunity by March 2021

Doshi also addressed the need for adequate and controlled studies with long-term follow-up before granting approval for vaccinations, most notably the COVID-19 genetic therapy injection.[35] In an opinion piece published August 23, 2021, he discussed the updated results that Pfizer had posted for their ongoing Phase 3 COVID-19 vaccine trial.[36]

Months before, the company had announced the vaccine efficacy was estimated to be "up to six months" after injection.[37] While updated results were published one year after the trial began,[38] there were not 10 months of data in the follow-up. The paper appeared to be based on the same data included in the April 1, 2021, news release from Pfizer.[39]

The efficacy results were identical, claiming 91.3% efficacy against symptomatic disease "up to six months of follow-up." Doshi points out that this matters because it is thus far the most information Pfizer had offered to the public as they were pursuing full approval from the FDA. Both Pfizer[40] and the CDC[41] have claimed the shot is 95% effective.

Without addressing whether that 95% is absolute or relative risk reduction, or how Pfizer arrived at those claims, it's also important to note that little can be said about how long vaccine-induced immunity could last when researchers had only measured two months of data.

"Waning immunity" is a known issue for some vaccines, such as the influenza shot.[42] Doshi notes[43] there have been some studies that found near zero effectiveness only three months after the flu vaccine was administered. The crucial question is the level of effectiveness of the vaccine after an individual is exposed to the virus.

In early July 2021, Israel's Ministry of Health reported that efficacy against asymptomatic disease fell dramatically in the months following vaccinations. Israel exclusively uses the Pfizer vaccine. Which Pfizer's chief scientific officer, Philip Dormitzer, told a Zoom meeting:[44]

> *"Early in the pandemic we established a relationship with the Israeli Ministry of Health where they used exclusively the Pfizer vaccine and then monitored it very closely, so we had a sort of laboratory where we could see the effect."*

Only 7% of Trial Participants Reached 6 Months of Data

Data released from Israel show the efficacy fell to 64% over one month from June 6, 2021, to July 5, 2021.[45] By late July, the efficacy had dropped dramatically again to 39%.[46] While these numbers are low, the FDA's expectation is that any approved vaccine should be at least 50% effective.[47]

Starting in December 2020, Pfizer unblinded the majority of the participants in the trial and allowed the placebo group to get vaccinated. By March 13, 2021, 93% of those participating in the Pfizer trial had been unblinded. This means the reference to six months of safety and efficacy in the preprint paper reports on only the 7% of trial participants that had reached the six-month follow up.

While the paper was published one year after the trial began, the data reported do not go past the first six months, which is the time period in which Israel reports efficacy dropped to 39%. Doshi goes on to say:[48]

> *"It is hard to imagine that the >10% of trial participants who remained blinded at six months (which presumably further dwindled after 13 March 2021) could constitute a reliable or valid sample to produce further findings. And the preprint does not report any demographic comparisons to justify future analyses."*

Although claims have been made that the vaccine prevents severe disease, the trials were not designed to study severe disease, which Doshi details in another paper published in The BMJ.[49] In the opinion piece published in The BMJ, Doshi writes:[50]

> *"But here we are, with FDA reportedly on the verge of granting a marketing license 13 months into the still ongoing, two-year pivotal trial, with no reported data past 13 March 2021, unclear efficacy after six months due to unblinding, evidence of waning protection irrespective of the Delta variant, and limited reporting of safety data."*

Coincidentally, the very day Doshi's paper was published in The BMJ, the FDA announced approval for the Pfizer COVID-19 shot being marketed as Comirnaty.[51]

Yet, without adequate data analysis, and with mounting numbers of adverse events reported to VAERS,[52] the FDA still expanded eligibility for the jab to include children 12 years and older to receive a single booster dose and approved emergency use authorization for children 5 years old and older.[53] Doshi ends with a reasoned and logical call to action to the FDA:[54]

> *"FDA should de-emphasize that the companies complete the two-year follow-up, as originally planned (even without a placebo group, much can still be learned about safety). They should demand adequate, controlled studies using patient outcomes in the now substantial population of people who have recovered from covid. And regulators should bolster public trust by helping ensure that everyone can access the underlying data."*

By popular demand, I am pleased to share with you an exciting update — my entire Censored Library has finally returned! Through Substack, an information sharing platform, I am once again able to share with you all the valuable research I have gathered through the years. Click below to access my Censored Library now.

Access Now

As a reminder, my daily newsletter is still free and content will be released on Mercola.com before anywhere else. After 48 hours, the articles will be transferred to my Censored Library on Substack.

Sources and References

Previous Article: Race Is On for an Omicron Jab

Next Article: Farmed Salmon Contaminated With Toxic Flame Retardants

Hide Comments (29)

Post your comment

Sort Comments by: Top Rated | Newest | Oldest | Top Poster

justlove
Joined On 1/5/2012 11:13:54 AM
Add as Friend · Send Message

So what happened to Warp Speed? Why, even 8 months? Oh, because Big Pharma says it needs 75 years. So, Warp Speed is fine to throw out their products, to assure safety takes 75 years, according to the Fraies who have captured the Sheep Dogs.

Reply · Mark as Spam · Posted On: 2/1/2022 8:38:30 AM

Like · Dislike

wallguy
Joined On 12/19/2015 10:23:35 AM
Add as Friend · Send Message

Hey Steve, this would depend on the definition of the word "Warp". Is it the Holt Intermediate dictionary version? Or the Star Trek version? Hahahah I'd lean towards Holt myself. Full ahead Mr. Zulu! Hahha

Mark as Spam · Posted On: 2/1/2022 7:23:43 AM

Like · Dislike

skups59
Joined On 5/2/2009 7:28:21 AM
Add as Friend · Send Message

They should have known how bad the shots are before they came out even with Warp Speed. I'm sure they knew about some of the issues.

Mark as Spam · Posted On: 2/1/2022 7:25:58 AM

Like · Dislike

juststove
Joined On 1/5/2012 11:13:54 AM
Add as Friend · Send Message

The more we see, the more we see just how warped their pretzel logic is. It seems hard to deny Big Pharma does & did know how bad these are. Plenty of evidence from years ago. Mina also's one of an appropriate approach to vaccine injuries. Complete disasters in India & Africa are sadly data suggested a Trifecta, turned into a horrible mess as once test subjects became exposed to the wild virus, they saw what we see now: damaged, crippled immune systems. Horrible results.

Mark as Spam · Posted On : 2/1/2022 7:31:56 AM
Like · Dislike

skupe59
Joined On 5/3/2009 7:28:21 AM
Add as Friend · Send Message

It's like with the Banksters and your money that nobody is forcing the big medical institutions/drug companies to follow the rules. They police themselves and they aren't doing a very good job at it. So we have to suffer for it.

Reply · Mark as Spam · Posted On : 2/1/2022 1:33:28 AM
Like · Dislike

junkgrl
Joined On 12/9/2021 7:26:15 AM
Add as Friend · Send Message

It's called privatize the profit. Socialize the loss. The shot companies are protecting their profit and sending the costs of malfeasance down to us. Exactly what the Banksters did. And anything else the elites do.

Reply · Mark as Spam · Posted On : 2/1/2022 6:45:23 AM
Like · Dislike

don3724
Joined On 11/26/2020 7:10:16 AM
Add as Friend · Send Message

I don't think there's any data to collect? It was never set up to collect meaningful data post-release and anything/everything else clearly corrupt. That's evidence/statement enough IMO to stop this unmitigated disaster. I will continue to say so. Husband got JJ for work-wouldn't listen to me - told him if he wanted to sell physically restrain him from getting any kind of booster

Reply · Mark as Spam · Posted On : 2/1/2022 3:34:13 AM
Like · Dislike

junkgrl
Joined On 12/9/2021 7:26:15 AM
Add as Friend · Send Message

Same here. Hubby got J.J. I'm never getting these abominations. He is no hurry to get boosters. I think he has an idea what's going on now but won't admit it. We are heading west with our camper for vacation. To same free (for now) states. I have no interest in flying. So he can care drone around without papers please checkpoints. For now. We're retired but I do feel for those being coerced into the shots to keep their jobs. So inhumane, illegal and against everything this country ONCE stood for. Satan is very busy. Bet he's laughing his **** off at the corruption.

Mark as Spam · Posted On : 2/1/2022 6:51:32 AM
Like · Dislike

fundamentalassumptions
Joined On 6/11/2009 12:17:58 PM
Add as Friend · Send Message

Junkgrl, I am thankful my husband works for Tyson who didn't mandate. Interestingly, they suddenly lost the right to sell anything but electric material handling equipment in this country shortly after the election...this that's not necessarily an endorsement. Just a note to the little people to show away. He's not jabbed. I can also testify that the churches were emptied of legitimate Bibles pretty much across the board in the 70's...by the 90's at the latest as the infiltration & transformation of corporation worked its way down into the pews.

People who clung to the unchanged word, & in the independent churches were targeted by select lawsuits no one could afford to win, & the 'brotherhood' who come in with their moving vans & covert manipulations of boards, committees, judgmental committee selection & such. By the late 90's Peter Wuthrich was making the rounds, promoted by that type, & the 'small group' circles & such began working....those 'pillars holding up progress' and 'caterpillars crawling in and out' or 'toddlers pounding their high-chair trays because they weren't being fed anything but humanist gubbin & compromised filth were 'loved out the door'....and none too gently.

Many wondering what on earth had just happened to them, it was so sudden, so unexpected & so unChristlike. That's because it WAS unChristian & antichrist. The churches have been neutered & largely destroyed. The true church remains, but is largely underground as before in eras of state sponsored religious & persecution, such as the scientized thing that calls itself 'Christian' now, & which is neither objectively & verifiably 'scientific' in its pronouncements OR in its doctrine. Be wise, & don't only get a King James Bible if you don't have it.

Mark as Spam · Posted On : 2/1/2022 7:17:08 PM
Like · Dislike

forbiddenhealing
Joined On 2/21/2011 9:51:43 AM
Add as Friend · Send Message

Warp speed rapped 'Fast Track' in in Bush Sr. approval of Monsanto GMOs, decided by technology or blinded by greed? It's all a "Test Shaffer" enacted quickly before opposition can be mined, it's the gord, M.O., Strike first with a bundle of lies, print trillions for friends, release a smokescreen to cover the fraud and by the time taxpayer-shmucks figure it out they are onto the next outrageous scam. Like Covid is transaction to the genetic-test cartel to Ukraine-Russia-bad-cold war....How many times have we seen these treasonous games play out? CBS headlined Pfizer's "approval of nosing babies" but not a word of Canadian truck convoy to Ottawa...Spun Joe Rogan as a "misinformation" spreader, but the Ukraine-mongering w expanded U.S. arms shipments...but not the bombing of Yemen..AGAIN..by Saudis using their gift of U.S. weapons...Never ending narative bullshit!

Reply · Mark as Spam · Posted On : 2/1/2022 8:42:00 AM
Like · Dislike

brodiesnock12
Joined On 2/21/2011 2:31:51 PM
Add as Friend · Send Message

They do it because they CAN. ZERO accountability!

Mark as Spam · Posted On : 2/1/2022 9:16:23 AM
Like · Dislike

forbiddenhealing
Joined On 2/21/2011 9:51:43 AM
Add as Friend · Send Message

Brodie, They can and they do as they have always done....video search yahoo.com/yhs/search?fr=yhs-mozilla-003&ei=UTF-8&...

Mark as Spam · Posted On : 2/1/2022 10:09:26 AM
Like · Dislike

moinmoi
Joined On 4/23/2011 6:20:23 AM
Add as Friend · Send Message

Great post, forbidden!

Mark as Spam · Posted On : 2/1/2022 12:27:37 PM
Like · Dislike

Randytest
Joined On 5/5/2010 6:42:42 PM
Add as Friend · Send Message

Covid (if it even exists), is a COLD virus! I don't think anybody cared 'two years of insane, idiotic "measures" and our situation is worse than ever. Clearly their "measures" have done nothing but destroy the lives of millions. Now they're telling us that we need to "learn to live" with covid, this cold virus - when we've been living with cold and flu viruses from the time we were born! This world has become a most evil place! The statement should have read: "Learn to live with vaccines".

Reply · Mark as Spam · Posted On : 2/1/2022 7:27:00 AM
Like · Dislike

Keyboog
Joined On 5/3/2021 12:07:23 PM
Add as Friend · Send Message

2021 because the "vaccine" (toxic) corporation executives want to make massive profits murdering people and have ample time to disappear before their criminality is fully revealed. Also, by 2025 most of the injected will probably be dead or too ill to do much, so few fewer people will be around to sue the corporations with discovery. Apparently USA covid systems are so clogged that it maybe many years before a case gets to a jury trial, this will probably further lengthen if jabbed court staff, etc., are no longer around! Any court action will probably need to be such a significant case that the courts will have no choice but to allow it to jump the queue, and allow forced discovery possibly with forensic specialists pulling the data and documentation out of seized computer systems at the 'vaccine' corporations' and 'regulators'! Warp Speed, was not merely alleged rapid production and testing, it also warped people's bodies at speed.

There is no way that the amount of risks distributed could have been made in a mere 8 months or less. In 2018 the US DOD made a contract with Pfizer to make, trial, market, etc., an 'RNA' 'vaccine', developed by Moderna; so this manufacturing obviously started years earlier, and this contract schedule was clearly far too fast to be legal! There is far too much earlier patent evidence, some dated decades ago, for the stated and discovered toxic components, with the toxicity and some of the ingredients not or clearly stated in the "Covid-19" vaccine' ingredients lists, and other documentation, for any of this to be legal! You'd have to find and look at several patents to discover this all. These facts reveal these 'vaccines' were quite obviously part of an evil and deadly conspiracy!

Reply · Mark as Spam · Posted On : 2/1/2022 8:15:32 AM
Like · Dislike

Raytheth
Joined On 7/19/2012 8:10:52 AM
Add as Friend · Send Message

Just like bacteria and mold...Wickedness will always thrive in darkness. Without complete transparency it's easy for evil men to do evil things.

Mark as Spam · Posted On : 2/1/2022 1:42:13 PM
Like · Dislike

svmayer
Joined On 11/5/2009 8:22:41 AM
Add as Friend · Send Message

Unfortunately, if Peter Doshi and the BMJ push too hard, they will either be bought and paid for by Pfizer and the democrats or he will be found dead somewhere in the UK along with a host of investigative journalists from the BMJ. Your dermal if you do and your dermal if you don't. The BMJ and all of their investigative team (those on the vaccine story) ride with a target on their back. Get ready for the warning shots from the democrats.

Reply · Mark as Spam · Posted On : 2/1/2022 5:23:28 AM
Like · Dislike

junkgrl
Joined On 12/9/2021 7:26:15 AM
Add as Friend · Send Message

Maybe B Man will booster himself into a drooling idiot. Well. He already is one.

Mark as Spam · Posted On : 2/1/2022 6:54:02 AM
Like · Dislike

Catz21
Joined On 4/21/2021 2:51:47 PM
Add as Friend · Send Message

Now we have the Cyber Polygon describing how organizations can react to cybercrimes of the 2020s. Cybersecurity basics more important than ever in the new normal of cyberattacks. Kaspersky, Jan Achos, Salesforce Chief Trust Officer. Founding partners : Accenture, Palo Alto Networks, Salesforce, Sberbank. Platform Partners : AIG, Amazon Web Services, Bank of America, BLACKROCK, Boston Consulting Group, China Southern Power Grid, Cisco, Cloudflare, Credit Suisse, Deloitte, Equifax, PayPal, Swift, Mastercard, Microsoft, Morgan Chase, UBS, Zurich Insurance Group, Hitachi, Huawei, etc. Finance and Tech heavy companies. Plan to take down one or both, power grid and finance.

Will happen quickly and impacts everyone, Banking will be affected. President Trump passed in 2017, Executive Order 13-800 to strengthen Cybersecurity of Federal Networks and Critical Infrastructure. Pummeled with predictive programming through the media about the threats to our power grids, particularly. Same Russians who hacked the election are now targeting our power grids. Wearing the lies. Teachers are targeting the remote workers who keep your lights on". Cyber Security is more important than ever due to C-19? "Why C-19 is making utilities more vulnerable to cyberattack - and what to do about it". "Whether facing a cyberattack or a pandemic, preparation is critical".

The US power sector has prevented millions of cyberattacks in 2020- that takes 24/7 commitment". Laying the framework. Giving you the sense it is already happening. Just like Fauci did in 2017 when he announced that there would be a pandemic during Trump's presidency. Media planting the seeds. Critical part of the Great Reset Agenda. Jeremy Jurgens - the next crisis is inevitable, more significant. Need to start preparing for that now, eg. medical equipment in the Co-Protocols, channels not in place. Countries realize they are not in a position to address this on their own. Needs "global effort". - Don't underestimate the severity.

Reply · Mark as Spam · Posted On : 2/1/2022 3:56:16 PM
Like · Dislike

Almond
Joined On 2/26/2008 4:23:33 PM
Add as Friend · Send Message

Shaming boosters for the unwaxed! Unbelievable! https://yadi.sk/i/UFPGrDSPVY

Reply · Mark as Spam · Posted On : 2/1/2022 3:39:08 PM
Like · Dislike

Catz21
Joined On 4/21/2021 2:51:47 PM
Add as Friend · Send Message

If you are not already an anti-vaxer, you will be once you watch this video. LETHAL INJECTION - THE STORY OF VACCINATIONS www.bitchute.com/.../kBRRcCVgUHrf. You will also become an anti-abortion advocate when you realize that the sole motivation of the Roe v. Wade was so that Pharma could get free trial tissue rather than pay for it from Dealers, where abortion was allowed. Fetal tissue is in vaccines today, and they are still using the fetal tissue from the 1960s. That Roe was not what they said she was. All lies. That there are 30% less African Americans today because of abortions. Secondly, the Gulf War Syndrome was likely caused by the anthrax vaccine.

Read - Vaccine: a covert government experiment that's killing our soldiers, and why GI's are only the first victims by Gary Matsumoto. The half of our population that stops getting vaccines will be healthier than those that do. We need to stop this madness now. The purpose of the plandemic was to get the population isolated and dependent on a digital life. Next Crisis Bigger than COVID" - Power Grid/Finance Down. Jeremey Jurgens, Managing Director, World Economic Forum, July 8, 2020 and Klaus Schwab, Founder, World Economic Forum, July 8, 2020 - pre sufficient attention to a comprehensive cyber attack that would bring to a complete halt to the power supply transportation, hospital services and society as a whole.

The Covid crisis would be seen as a small disturbance in comparison to a major cyber attack. The next Cyber Pandemic - Promising a new crisis scam that dwarfs Covid. To implement their agenda, The Great Reset. Like the plandemic, Cybercrime does not respect borders or ideologies, and not one organization can fight it alone. Teams come to the conclusion that no one nation or organization or business can successfully address global cyber threats. The Case for Prioritizing Net Zero Carbon Emissions, Especially in Value Chains because global problems require global solutions.

Reply · Mark as Spam · Posted On : 2/1/2022 2:55:58 PM
Like · Dislike

Almond
Joined On 2/26/2008 4:23:33 PM
Add as Friend · Send Message

My grandma used to say, "Some people gotta learn the hard way". All kinds of shortages in many parts of the country but especially food. It is very bad to see that people have not done enough to prepare for even one week without grocery stores during the last 2 years of mandates. Not so if a rational person could not have anticipated "something" happening. Food does not come from supermarkets. It comes from farmers, gardens, fields and forests. I recall when I was young, simple and very poor, I would keep extra spaghetti on the shelf for unexpected company.

Back then, it was the cheapest food avail. When I went to the grocery store, I would buy one extra item a week to stock my pantry. Other than that, I stuck to my list as there was not much I could afford. Nowadays, people do not even do that much. I see people discarding bean/rice and canned meat from food boxes. You can grow a lot of food in a 10 x 10 garden spot. Bakery buckets are free and you can grow a lot with intensive and vertical gardening on a balcony or patio if that is all you have.

Add microgreens and sprouts grown on a windowsill or in front of a glass door over the winter. If there are supply chain disruptions in your area, consider what is produced locally that is backed up and go to the source. Be resourceful. See what is avail, when avail. Organic dairy products are backed up in our area, so I will be making cheese today. Butter would be easier, but hubby says "cheese, please" (Wrigley cream will top dessert).

I have been sharing fruit and veg all summer long-friends share meat and unwanted lard when they butcher. Kind people saw me canning jars I can recycle from commercial foods... I share wild or garden fruit jams and jellies with them. Go fishing on a nice day. I am waiting for smelt to run! How many people are now food insecure because they did not preserve what was available cheap or free over the summer-either someone's garden surplus, gleaning or foraging.

Reply · Mark as Spam · Posted On : 2/1/2022 12:56:54 PM
Like · Dislike

Almond
Joined On 2/26/2008 4:23:33 PM
Add as Friend · Send Message

Part of the classic book, A Tale of Two Cities, discusses what a wine person should do to prepare for food shortages when supply chain disruptions are anticipated. What smart people do and what dumb people do not do. I buy very little in the way of groceries anymore, except to stock up when certain items come this on sale. For example, recently I purchased a little known brand of gourmet coffee (vacuum-sealed) for pennies on the dollar. We do like an occasional cup of coffee, but it is also good for barter or socializing.

Being a good shopper means being knowledgeable about how food is processed/packaged, where it comes from if imported (food lanes and contaminants), regular prices vs. sale prices, shelf life, how to use or preserve it, nutrition, etc... These are not necessarily things you learn in school. It takes time to educate yourself. There may be foods you would not choose to eat, but that may be very good to keep on hand, anyway, because they are shelf-stable and would help get you through a crisis-if not you, then others who will be asking you for food.

Mostly, when I find sources of surplus or unwanted food, I will redistribute it, telling people to stock their own freezers and pantries. I also explain that they must not expect free food will always be available. I find it interesting that our fairly "Marxist" system works very well among people. Each person contributes whatever surplus they have and gives freely to anyone in our network that wants it.

We seem to have a good cycle year around but mostly at harvest time of seed swapping, honey, meat, fish, fruit and vegetables. Sometimes, clothing and household. Other causes of food insecurity are picky eaters. How many people go to the store and will only buy a certain brand of commercial food (frozen pizza, ready-made hash browns, etc.)? Cooking from scratch saves money and health. How many people save bones and make bone broth? Save leftovers and pan drippings to make soup?

Mark as Spam · Posted On : 2/1/2022 1:21:53 PM
Like · Dislike

Almond
Joined On 2/26/2008 4:23:33 PM
Add as Friend · Send Message

Add as Friend | Send Message

This is in reply to all the people who are feeling sorry for themselves because no one is doing things to take care of them. "poor me" is not a very effective strategy. You do not just sit on your doofus and wait for people to give you stuff. You must be proactive, involved and doing things to help yourself. You must get your brain out-of-the-box and become a creative thinker. You must be resourceful, thinking in ways other people do not. No one else picked the wild foods and foods I gleaned. I had to do it myself. No one found my favorite mushroom hunting grounds on public lands. I had to find them myself. No one else caught the fish we serve at our table.

No one else shot and butchered the buck I got last fall. No one else planted my garden and preserved the food I harvested. I understand that not everyone can do everything, but some people are not doing anything except being dependent. You can either plan ahead and acquire the stuff you need or borrow it when you need it. (tools, fishing poles, pressure canner, etc.) many states allow people to catch certain fish without a license and there may even be a few days a year set aside when you can fish without a license. Check out the calendars and laws--esp. about limits allowed. Every household with responsible adults should own and be trained in the use of firearms.

I do not mean a formal schooling--many people grow up learning this at home. Pistols for short range, such as home defense and rifles for longer range and hunting. People should educate themselves about how to butcher--or, at least, know how to cut up a chicken. Note on your calendar when you find or harvest certain foods every year, so you can plan ahead. Also note the locations for foraging and the contact information of people/places where you can glean every year so you can contact them before the beginning of the season. It helps to plan head and be organized. Then, have jars/containers and recipes ready to process food.

Mark as Spam | Posted On 2/1/2022 1:36:41 PM

Like Dislike

---

ric5998
Joined On 3/23/2021 11:44:36 AM
Add as Friend | Send Message

My explanation for vaccine efficacy is the efficacious vaccines are the placebos, and any and all efficacy is your God given immune system. The vaccine lot codes seem to indicate there are at least 50% placebos, if not even up to around 90%.

Reply | Mark as Spam | Posted On 2/1/2022 11:17:01 AM

Like Dislike

---

ric5998
Joined On 3/23/2021 11:44:36 AM
Add as Friend | Send Message

The FDA from Janet woodcock and down need to be arrested and charged with genocidal murder.

Reply | Mark as Spam | Posted On 2/1/2022 11:13:35 AM

Like Dislike

---

likabel
Joined On 1/22/2022 9:42:19 AM
Add as Friend | Send Message

Reminding me of a sick, sad song from the early or mid 1980s, off KFAT music: https://youtu.be/s0R7_gdErOA JIMMY JONES "HITCHHIKING IN GYANA"!

Reply | Mark as Spam | Posted On 2/1/2022 9:39:04 AM

Like Dislike

AndymAndym
Joined On 4/26/2016 7:07:05 PM
Add as Friend | Send Message

Can anyone explain how "50% effective" is any different from "you have a 50/50 chance of getting sick"? Also, the time component of effectiveness evaluation seems to be an ignored factor. If I take a magic pill that makes me immune to getting hit by a car, then cross a busy street once a day, then finally on day one-hundred I get creamed, can I say that my magic pill works great for the first 99 days? Now give that pill to 100 people and send them out on a busy street. Are they all going to get hit by a car on day one? Obviously, the chances of succumbing to an environmental hazard increase with time. What am I missing here?

Reply | Mark as Spam | Posted On 2/1/2022 5:52:59 AM

Like Dislike

Keythong
Joined On 3/2/2021 12:07:23 PM
Add as Friend | Send Message

Was that 50% a relative or an absolute statistic? Beware, relative percentage statements were/are a common statistical deception, especially in drug studies. The "Covid-19" "case" numbers were BS, because all the "Covid-19" PCR and strip flow tests were/are fraudulent, because the detected thing is arbitrary and not specific enough. The "Covid-19" PCR tests were/are negligent misuse of PCR testing technology, including excessive cycles, and a video appeared to show a "Covid-19" strip flow test give a false positive result from juice squeezed from an Orange. The death statistics were more useful, but also polluted by significant fraud as to the actual cause of death, because of obvious other cause of death, or no confirmation by postmortem, and blood and tissue testing. Diagnosis only from alleged symptoms is BS, because multiple things may cause the same symptoms, that's why proper tests are also needed.

Mark as Spam | Posted On 2/1/2022 8:55:22 AM

Like Dislike

Post your comment

Previous Article Next Article

Join the World's #1 Natural Health Newsletter for FREE!
Latest Trustworthy News from Dr. Mercola — delivered straight to your inbox!

Enter your email address Subscribe Now!

Mercola proudly supports these charities and organizations. View All

Disclaimer: The entire contents of this website are based upon the opinions of Dr. Mercola, unless otherwise noted. Individual articles are based upon the opinions of the respective author, who retains copyright as marked. The information on this website is not intended to replace a one-on-one relationship with a qualified health care professional and is not intended as medical advice. It is intended as a sharing of knowledge and information from the research and experience of Dr. Mercola and his community. Dr. Mercola encourages you to make your own health care decisions based upon your research and in partnership with a qualified health care professional. If you are pregnant, nursing, taking medication, or have a medical condition, consult your health care professional before using products based on this content.

If you want to use an article on your site please click here. This content may be copied in full, with copyright, contact, creation and information intact, without specific permission, when used only in a not-for-profit format. If any other use is desired, permission in writing from Dr. Mercola is required.

Terms & Conditions • Updated Privacy Policy
© 1997-2022 Dr. Joseph Mercola. All Rights Reserved.