Superspreader of Truth
FOR OVER 25 YEARS
LEARN MORE
See my response to The New York Times
Covid-19 Vaccination

Why the COVID Jab Should Be Banned for Pregnant Women

Articles by Dr. Joseph Mercola ✓ Fact Checked

📅 August 30, 2022

« Previous | Next »

STORY AT-A-GLANCE

- Since the rollout of the experimental COVID shots, U.S. health officials have adamantly claimed the shots are safe for pregnant women and their unborn babies
- Meanwhile, now-released Pfizer court ordered, released data — which the Food and Drug Administration wanted to hide for 75 years — reveal the miscarriage rate among women whose pregnancy outcomes were known was 87.7%. The true rate may be higher or lower, as Pfizer did not record or report pregnancies outcomes for 229 of the 274 women known to be pregnant during the trial
- A DC government study that was widely used to support the claim that the shot is safe during pregnancy misreported the data. The actual miscarriage rate in that paper was 83%
- As of August 12, 2022, the U.S. Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting (VAERS) database listed 4,641 miscarriages post-COVID jab. For comparison, the Reid death reports for all other vaccines reported to VAERS in the last 30 years is 2,239
- Israeli research found the Pfizer COVID jab impacts male fertility for three months after each dose, dropping sperm concentration by 15.4% and total motile count by 21%, compared to baseline

COVID-19 Vaccination

Since the rollout of the experimental COVID shots, U.S. health officials have adamantly claimed the shots are safe for pregnant women, and have been urging all pregnant women to get the jab "to protect themselves and their babies." To this day, the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention recommends the COVID shot for:

> "…people who are pregnant, breastfeeding, trying to get pregnant now, or might become pregnant in the future."

The CDC further recommends:[1]

> "People who are pregnant should stay up to date with their COVID-19 vaccines, including getting a COVID-19 booster when it's time to get one."

And claims:[2]

> "Evidence continues to build showing that:
>
> - COVID-19 vaccination during pregnancy is safe and effective.
> - There is currently no evidence that any vaccines, including COVID-19 vaccines, cause fertility problems in women or men."

All the while, they've had Pfizer data showing the shots cause shocking rates of miscarriage which, adding insult to injury, have been blatantly misconstrued as a "recovered/resolved" adverse effect.[3] Who in their right mind would consider SEARCH a resolved side effect unless they had a depopulation agenda in mind all along?

I don't see how this could be described as anything but a criminal cover-up. The only reason we know any of this is because U.S. District Judge Mark Pittman ordered the U.S. Food and Drug Administration to release Pfizer documents at a rate of 10,000 pages per month. The FDA and Pfizer had asked to release the documents at a pace of 500 pages per month, which meant it would take 75 years to disclose them all.[4]

Criminal Cover-Up

Dr. Naomi Wolf recently reported that an analysis of Pfizer data revealed 44% of the women in the trial suffered miscarriages.[5] That statistic turns out to have been the result of a miscalculation; as Pfizer listed the miscarriages in two separate columns, resulting in them being counted twice.

We've repeatedly found Pfizer's data collection and reporting to be all over the place, and seemingly on purpose, to make hazards more difficult to ascertain. Wolf admitted the error and took down the original report. However, while fact checkers are gloating over the perceived victory thereby plenty of other evidence in the Pfizer material to demonstrate these shots should be banned for all time.

In an August 28, 2022, Substack article, Dr. Peter Doshi wrote, Pfizer, "absolutely horrifying," findings on miscarriages found in the Pfizer data dumps:[6]

> "…let's do a dive on just one page of the many thousands. See below, Section 5.3.6, Page 12 of the document called 'Cumulative Analysis of Post-Authorization Adverse Event Reports.'"

SECTION 5
Cumulative Analysis of Post-authorization Adverse Event Reports

Table 5. Description of Missing Information

Missing Information	Description
Pregnancy	For a Spontaneous Case, if a female is reported to be PREGNANT, Total Number of Cases in the Reporting Period is 1 (Yes).
Pregnancy Outcome	Total Number of Cases in the Reporting Period is 1 (Yes). Pregnancy outcome: Ongoing (Yes) Pregnancy outcome: Spontaneous abortion (No) Pregnancy outcome: Elective termination of pregnancy (No) Pregnancy outcome: Stillbirth (No) Pregnancy outcome: Ectopic pregnancy (No) Pregnancy outcome: Unknown (No) Pregnancy outcome: Live birth, normal (No) Pregnancy outcome: Live birth, abnormal (No) Pregnancy outcome: Unknown (No)

Pregnancy: 275 cases reporting:
- 19 mother cases with Ongoing Yes, cases reporting: 19 fetus/ectopic cases, 0 Spontaneous abortion cases, 0 Elective termination of pregnancy cases, 0 Stillbirth cases, 0 Ectopic pregnancy cases, 0 Unknown cases, 0 Live birth, normal cases, 0 Live birth, abnormal cases, 0 Unknown cases
- 256 mother cases with Ongoing No, cases reporting: 256 fetus/ectopic cases, 0 Spontaneous abortion cases, 0 Elective termination of pregnancy cases, 0 Stillbirth cases, 0 Ectopic pregnancy cases, 0 Unknown cases, 0 Live birth, normal cases, 0 Live birth, abnormal cases, 0 Unknown cases
- 0 mother cases with Ongoing Unknown, cases reporting: 0 fetus/ectopic cases, 0 Spontaneous abortion cases, 0 Elective termination of pregnancy cases, 0 Stillbirth cases, 0 Ectopic pregnancy cases, 0 Unknown cases, 0 Live birth, normal cases, 0 Live birth, abnormal cases, 0 Unknown cases

Looking at the first bullet under the header: **Pregnancy cases: 274 cases including:**

> In this paragraph, at first read, it is just a list of adverse events and numbers, detailed in a way that is confusing at best, and obfuscating at worst. I think it is the latter because, if you do some simple arithmetic trying to parse that paragraph, you end up with this:
>
> 270 pregnancies were reported in vaccinated women during the first 12 weeks of the vaccine campaign. In 256 of them, 'no outcome was provided'. So, they only know the outcome of 32 pregnancies reported. What happened in those 32 pregnancies they followed up on?
>
> My hands are literally trembling as I write this, but here goes. In those 32 pregnancies, there were:
>
> - 23 spontaneous abortions
> - 2 spontaneous abortions with intra-uterine death
>
> So, 25 of the 32 pregnancies they knew the outcome of 87.5% resulted in the death of the fetus or neonate. I'm doing the math in the way and not alerting the world to what they found, is criminal activity. "
>
> To be perfectly clear, the failure to record and report the outcomes of 238 out of 274 pregnancies during a drug trial is simply unheard of. It's shockingly unethical. And the fact that both the Food and Drug Administration and the CDC accepted this, and claim there's "no evidence" of harm to pregnant women and their babies is proof positive of reprehensible malfeasance.
>
> There's no fixing what's gone wrong at the FDA and CDC. Their credibility with the public is ruined beyond any possible recovery. The CDC can review and reorganize itself in months, but it changes nothing. They are, to this day, urging pregnant women to take a shot that they KNOW will cause babies to die. Calling it a dystopia of epic proportions is a profoundly serious understatement.

CDC-Sponsored Study Also Tried to Hide Data

Need more evidence? How about the fact that the CDC-sponsored study published in The New England Journal of Medicine (NEJM) in April 2021 — which was widely used to support the U.S. recommendation for pregnant women to get injected — also obfuscated data to hide a shockingly elevated miscarriage rate.

According to this paper, the miscarriage rate within the first 20 weeks of pregnancy was 13.5%, which is only slightly above the normal average of 10%. Looking at statistical data, the risk of miscarriage drops from an overall, average rate rate of 21.5% for the duration of the pregnancy as a whole, to just 5% between Weeks 6 and 7, all the way down to 1% between Weeks 14 and 20.[7]

However, there's a distinct problem with this calculation, as highlighted by Drs. Ira Bernstein, Sanja Jovanovic and Dwain McLeod, HBSc, of Toronto. In a May 20, 2021, letter to the editor, they pointed out that:[8]

> "In table 4 the authors report a rate of spontaneous abortions <20 weeks (SA) of 12.5% (104 abortions/832 completed pregnancies). However, this rate should be based on the number of women who were at risk of an SA due to vaccine receipt and should exclude the 700 women who were vaccinated in their third trimester (104/1727 = 6%)."

In other words, when you exclude women who got the shot in their third trimester (since the third trimester is after week 20 and therefore should not be counted when determining miscarriage rate among those injected before week 20), the miscarriage rate is 6%. The errors in that NEJM article were also reviewed in Science, Public Health Policy and the Law paper published in November 2021.[9]

Of those 104 miscarriages, 96 of them occurred before 13 weeks of gestation, which strongly suggests that getting a COVID shot during the first trimester is an absolute recipe for disaster. So, here was yet another attempt to hide the fact that more than 8 in 10 pregnancies may be terminated as a result of the jab.

As of August 12, 2022, the U.S. Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting (VAERS) database listed 4,641 miscarriages post-COVID jab.[10] For comparison, the Reid death reports for all other vaccines reported to VAERS in the last 30 years is 2,239.[11]

Birth Rates Are Suddenly Plummeting Worldwide

In addition to miscarriages, we're also looking at abruptly plummeting birth rates, suggesting the COVID jabs are having an adverse impact on future fertility as well.

> "They are large drops, and they are occurring, almost like clockwork, approximately 9 months after pregnant women around the world started to be vaccinated," Kory notes.[12]

For example, Germany recently released data showing a 10% decline in birth rate during the first quarter of 2022.[13]



The live birth rate graph for Sweden looks much the same, with a 14% drop.[14] According to Gunnar Anderson, a Swedish professor in demographics at Stockholm University, "We have never seen anything like this before, that the bottom just falls out in just one quarter."[15]

Between January and April 2022, Switzerland's birth rate was 15% lower than expected, the U.K.'s was down by 10%, and Taiwan's was down 22%.[16] [17] In Hungary, MP Dávid Ónix has expressed concern about a 33% drop in birth rate during January 2022, compared to January 2021.[18]

The U.S. is also showing signs of a drop in live births. Provisional data from North Dakota show a 10% decline in February 2022, 15% reduction in March and an 11% reduction in April, compared to the corresponding months in 2021.[19]

> **In the five countries with the highest COVID jab uptake, fertility has dropped by an average of 12.4%, whereas the five countries with the lowest COVID jab uptake have seen an average reduction of just 4.66%.**[20]

In a July 5, 2022, Counter Signal article, Mike Campbell reported that in the five countries with the highest COVID jab uptake, fertility has dropped by an average of 12.2%, whereas the five countries with the lowest COVID jab uptake have seen an average reduction of just 4.66%. Below is a chart from Birth Gauge[21] on Twitter comparing live birth data for 2021 and 2022 in a large number of countries.

Many Women Report Menstrual Irregularities Post-Jab

High rates of menstrual irregularities post-jab are also a warning sign that reproductive capacity may be impacted. As of August 12, 2022, there were 31,443 VAERS reports of menstrual disorders.[22]

Changes include heavier and more painful periods[23] and changes in menses length, as well as unexpected breakthrough bleeding or spotting among women on long-acting contraception or those who are postmenopausal and haven't had a period in years or even decades.[24]

Health officials have tried to brush off the reports, but a study published in Obstetrics & Gynecology — funded by the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD) and the National Institutes of Health's Office of Research on Women's Health — confirmed an association between menstrual cycle length and COVID-19 shots.[25]

According to the authors, it's possible that the immune response created by the mRNA shots affects the hypothalamic-pituitary-ovarian axis, which plays a well-known role in the timing of a woman's cycle:[26]

> "Our findings for individuals who received two doses in a single cycle supports this hypothesis. Given the dosing schedule of the mRNA COVID-19 vaccines in the United States (21 days for Pfizer and 28 days for Moderna), an individual receiving two doses in a single cycle would have received the first dose in the early follicular phase.
>
> Cycle length variability results from events leading to the recruitment and maturation of the dominant follicle during the follicular phase. …"

Other Disturbing Evidence

A Japanese biodistribution study for Pfizer's jab also showed the COVID spike protein from the shots accumulate in female ovaries and male testes,[27] and there's mounting concern that the COVID jabs will cause heart with syncytin (a retroviral envelope protein) and reproductive genes in sperm, ova and placenta in ways that may impair fertility and reproductive outcomes.

A Pfizer BioNTech rat study[28] revealed the injection more than doubled the incidence of preimplantation loss (i.e., the risk of infertility), and led to most-type malformations, gastroschisis (a birth defect of the abdominal wall) and abnormalities in the right-sided aortic arch and cervical vertebrae.[29] As noted by The Epoch:

> "With this being the case, how on earth have medicine regulators around the world managed to state in their official guidance that 'Animal studies do not indicate direct or indirect harmful effects with respect to pregnancy'? And how have they managed to state 'It is unknown whether the Pfizer vaccine has an impact on fertility'?
>
> The truth of the matter is that they actively chose to cover it up. We know this thanks to a Freedom of Information (FOI) request[30] made to the Australian Government Department of Health Therapeutic Goods Administration (TGA).[31]

You can read more about that in The Exposés July 19, 2022, article, "FOIA Reveals Pfizer & Medicine Regulators Hid Dangers of COVID Vaccination During Pregnancy After Study Found It Increases Risk of Birth Defects & Infertility."[32]

We're also seeing a sudden uptick in infant mortality. The Exposé[33] highlighted data from Scotland, showing neonatal deaths were 119% higher above the annual norm in March 2022.

COVID Jab Affects Male Fertility Too

Male fertility is also under attack by these toxicoupes. Israeli research[34] published in the journal Andrology found the Pfizer COVID jab temporarily but significantly impairs male fertility, dropping sperm concentration by 15.4% and total motile count by 22.1%, compared to baseline pre-jab.

Both eventually recovered, some three months after the last jab, but if you destroy a male sperm for three months every time he gets a COVID shot, you're significantly reducing the probability of him fathering a child for a good part of any given year and the number-wise element support this.

Remember, the mRNA shots are recommended at three-month intervals for the original series, and boosters are being recommended at varying intervals thereafter. In the video above, Amy Kelly, project director for the Daily Clout's Pfizer document analysis team, reviews this study and other past jab male fertility concerns.[35]

End the COVID Shots Now, Before It's Too Late to Recover

In October 2021, when the FDA was voting on whether to authorize the COVID jab for children aged 5 through 11, Dr. Eric Rubin, an FDA advisory panel member, Harvard professor and editor-in-chief of the NEJM, stated:[36]

> "We've never going to learn about how safe this vaccine is unless we start giving it. That's just the way it goes. …And I do think we should vote to approve it"

So, in this and other instances, they've openly admitted that anyone who takes the jab is part of an experiment. Yet at the same time, the FDA and CDC have insisted that the jabs are perfectly safe — all while in possession of data showing they're anything but! In conclusion, I agree with Kory, who writes:[37]

> "…when a new medicine or device is introduced, you must first assume any adverse effects or deaths reported to be related to the intervention until proven otherwise. That is what I am doing here.
>
> We must assume the vaccines are impacting fertility unless some other provable or credible explanations for a sudden drop in month-birth rates. So stop the shots until you can prove they are not …
>
> Too many young people dying.[38] too many becoming disabled, too many pregnancies resulting in fetal or neonatal death as above, and now we find out that if we continue with this vaccine obsession, they will not be replaced. This is a catastrophic catastrophe beyond atrip the one caused by dangerous gain-of-function research.
>
> When will the world wake up to this rapidly unfolding horror? For those of us who know what is going on, it is hard not to feel helpless as we are forced to watch increasingly apparent and widespread needless death. But we will continue to try to put these truths out despite the massive censorship and propaganda overwhelming the globe.
>
> We have a moral and ethical obligation and take that responsibility seriously no matter what befalls us. Stop the vaccines, now. And if we can't stop them, we must try to convince everyone we know to no longer agree to get vaccinated. Their lives and our future depend on it."

My #1 Best-selling Book – Now in Paperback!

My latest book, "The Truth About COVID-19," is an instant best-seller. After thousands of reviews it has a nearly perfect 5-star rating, so grab your copy today before it's too late!

The Truth About COVID-19 | The Truth

COVID-19
Coronavirus Vaccine Tracker
Follow the coronavirus (COVID-19) vaccine development globally.
Stay informed with COVID-19 vaccine updates.
See the latest COVID-19 news.
LEARN MORE

About COVID-19
by Dr. Joseph Mercola
★★★★★
7,062 ratings
ORDER NOW

Sources and References

TODAY'S FREE ARTICLES

1 Pharmaceutical Adverse Reactions Are Skyrocketing
2 Mass Vaccination Will Harm Your Health
3 Superspreader of Truth

Login or Join to comment on this article

Hide Comments (74)
Post your comment

Sort Comments by: Top Rated | Newest | Oldest | Top Poster

Dannsidl
Joined On 5/19/2021 8:38:50 AM
Add as Friend · Send Message

Thanks for your review!!!!!! Have about.....DAMAGED FOR EVERYBODY!!!!!

edpartcomcast.net
Joined On 9/10/2013 6:25:08 PM
Add as Friend · Send Message

We are being exterminated. Sounds dramatic, I know. But the facts tell the story.

Reply · Mark as Spam · Posted On : 8/30/2022 6:29:17 AM
Like · Dislike

---

rmalone
Joined On 11/10/2011 8:09:01 AM
Add as Friend · Send Message

Less than 3 minutes long - "HOW TO EXTERMINATE 100% OF THE POPULATION! LISTEN TO THE RAT CATCHER'S STORY"
www.bitchute.com/.../eBwHcRTQ0qqm

Mark as Spam · Posted On : 8/30/2022 11:17:06 AM
Like · Dislike

Micha_El
Joined On 4/24/2009 4:32:00 PM
Add as Friend · Send Message

We have a small Lodge in Portugal, and the sudden increase in summer colds and flu in the guests is noticeable. The job uptake here is among the highest in Europe (and in the one case above, double), I was chatting to a younger woman yesterday who was recovering from a bad case of pneumonia, and the prescribed treatment for her was multiple antibiotics. She was telling me that there are literally hundreds of couples who are struggling with fertility and conception. According to the Dr's it is caused by the pesticides in the food chain and the environment, and she believes them. It may be so, but their inability to also connect the dots with the shots is astounding. This leaves me with mixed feelings of anger and sorrow.

Reply · Mark as Spam · Posted On : 8/30/2022 5:17:11 AM
Like · Dislike

---

rmalone
Joined On 11/10/2011 8:09:01 AM
Add as Friend · Send Message

Going to repeat this: WE have several generations of kids who we taught it is normal to take shots whenever they visited the doctor. Following examples set by their parents, these young people normally do not question anything the doctor says. Pharma is now Preying on these generations for increasing their profits...

Mark as Spam · Posted On : 8/30/2022 6:48:45 AM
Like · Dislike

---

jeanednlorna
Joined On 9/7/2011 9:14:26 PM
Add as Friend · Send Message

I know of three individuals who are now struggling. One miscarriaged at 7 months (but of course it was attributed to something else, not the jab), and two have developed very rapid neonatal cycles. And these women are in their early thirties!

Reply · Mark as Spam · Posted On : 8/30/2022 9:25:28 AM
Like · Dislike

---

ch6619
Joined On 10/3/2018 11:29:55 AM
Add as Friend · Send Message

The really sad fact about all of this idiocy that has been in the planning stages since our Founders drafted the Constitution and made us a Republic is that the elites (morons in charge) have convinced the masses that all of this is good for us. I rarely have a conversation with someone who doesn't believe that the Covid shots saved lives. I rarely have a conversation with someone who doesn't believe that Putin is the bad guy. The sad part is that the Ukrainian people are being used as pawns in this game of chess. The losers in WW2 are now attempting to take over the Ukraine which is another force. If the elite in charge of that country was truly concerned about the well being of the Ukrainian people he would have conceded to Putin and that country would not be in ruins with people being buried in holes dug by a backhoe. Consider also the Ukraine files admin sent there which is unprecedented for Dem staff for any big wet wone Rosenstiel other. Very soon you will be told another mandate for the reaper booster will be ordered

Reply · Mark as Spam · Posted On : 8/30/2022 7:26:16 AM
Like · Dislike

---

jeanlijm
Joined On 12/28/2008 11:15:04 PM
Add as Friend · Send Message

It's all about the great reset/new world order/hell back better/ green new deal.

Mark as Spam · Posted On : 8/30/2022 8:16:12 AM
Like · Dislike

---

kar1272
Joined On 10/11/2011 10:20:20 PM
Add as Friend · Send Message

The Constitution was written mainly by the elites, for the elites.

Mark as Spam · Posted On : 8/30/2022 9:19:52 AM
Like · Dislike

---

brianallen1
Joined On 8/7/2021 10:12:38 AM
Add as Friend · Send Message

How many women who lost babies will blame the shot? How many will even associate it?

Reply · Mark as Spam · Posted On : 8/30/2022 7:14:09 AM
Like · Dislike

---

snowwedding
Joined On 11/26/2012 12:26:45 AM
Add as Friend · Send Message

Genocide, no more no less.

Reply · Mark as Spam · Posted On : 8/30/2022 12:50:30 AM
Like · Dislike

---

jeanlijm
Joined On 12/28/2008 11:15:04 PM
Add as Friend · Send Message

Now that the dust settles and the cover is lifted on impact The shots were been dumping around the world for decades. Sadly, it. Were dropped in mass in 2021. They are all 0.01 (more people dying than being born). Is it the plan? I will say the jabs helped in this, but most of it. Korea took the Moderna jab which is NOT an mRNA jab. Therefore, their fertility decline is probably less to do with vaccination rates and more to do with social economic issues or Omicron. They counted through 2020 and 2021 unscathed by Covid-19 and very few were vaccinated. They jumped on the vaccine band wagon around April 2021. They had the lowest infective rate of all 1st world countries up until Jan 2022.

Omicron wiped S. Korea out! Going from about 100k plus to the top 10 overnight. Omicron is a whole other animal that NONE of the vaccines work against. In fact, studies show that if you were vaccinated prior to Oct 2021 you have a 100% chance of catching Omicron, testing positive, getting sick and (symptomatic). The unvaccinated only have about a 5% chance of catching it and over 2500 percent chance of getting sick from it. This makes you have to think WHY? Omicron was first detected in S. Africa which has one of the lowest vaccination rates. The vaccines are what's driving all the different variants so what that caused Omicron?

The city that Omicron was first detected just happens to have a level 5 Biolab that Bill Gates was sponsoring.Pat Corona Virus Research Institute of South Africa. Coincidence? Maybe. Maybe not. Bill Gates is a very, very, very,

poplegendpop
Joined On 2/13/2012 3:18:26 PM
Add as Friend | Send Message

De-population has been going on for decades using the food supply, water supply, chemicals, pharmaceuticals, chemtrails, wars, EMF, the "shot" is the nuclear option to speed up the process.

Reply | Mark as Spam | Posted On 8/30/2022 9:54:43 AM
Like Dislike

SomeMo888
Joined On 7/17/2022 11:04:17 AM
Add as Friend | Send Message

Disturbing that the jab is still required to enter the U.S. as a noncitizen - why hasn't this rule relaxed with the CDC restrictions? Many other countries uphold that requirement as well. Feels like they aren't done with us yet.

Reply | Mark as Spam | Posted On 8/30/2022 9:24:41 AM
Like Dislike

---

cralef
Joined On 11/29/2013 12:09:11 PM
Add as Friend | Send Message

If the jab is causing spontaneous abortions, does that make the jab illegal in states that have anit-abortion laws?

Reply | Mark as Spam | Posted On 8/30/2022 9:10:25 AM
Like Dislike

Randyfast
Joined On 5/6/2010 8:42:42 PM
Add as Friend | Send Message

Fraud, Lies, Deception and Illusion! This is the norm. Evidently, people still don't get it! You don't get to run a global corporation; or even be an employee, unless you are a pathological liar! Do you know what "Marketing" means? It means to brainwash, condition and manipulate the masses into believing whatever BS the liars and deceivers are dishing out! "Covid" is not a "mountain"...it's not even a molehill! It's is however, definitely the biggest distraction of all time!

Reply | Mark as Spam | Posted On 8/30/2022 8:20:01 AM
Like Dislike

njlady
Joined On 11/21/2006 8:03:31 PM
Add as Friend | Send Message

Lying has became as common and acceptable as the eff word, and total body tattoos...unfortunately, we can't believe anything we are told anymore.

Mark as Spam | Posted On 8/30/2022 10:54:01 AM
Like Dislike

---

magickey
Joined On 1/18/2019 10:06:28 AM
Add as Friend | Send Message

It is all intentional. Bull Cooper in Behold a Pale Horse. This might be useful for those who like to listen while doing other work.
https://youtu.be/HExGIRwV6rY

Reply | Mark as Spam | Posted On 8/30/2022 8:02:36 AM
Like Dislike

---

rarnold3
Joined On 6/15/2011 4:17:14 PM
Add as Friend | Send Message

The results of this subset of a subset are as dismaying as described. As described by Mercola. It would have been much more statistically relevent to know the outcomes of the remaining 274 pregnant women. If, for instance, they were only reporting adverse outcomes, and thr remaindet if thst subset had no reportable adverse affects, that would result in a 9% adverse effect rate, well within the normal average of 12-15%. Not having all the data makes it hard to draw any conclusion except that it was a flawed analysis.

Reply | Mark as Spam | Posted On 8/30/2022 7:02:34 AM
Like Dislike

eketahuna
Joined On 7/12/2008 8:00:44 AM
Add as Friend | Send Message

--- "the outcomes of the remaining 274 pregnant women" --- "remaining" or "dead" ??

Mark as Spam | Posted On 8/30/2022 7:49:05 AM
Like Dislike

PaulCardin
Joined On 2/14/2021 3:31:54 AM
Add as Friend | Send Message

Dr. Naomi Wolf: "Pfizer notes the miscarriages as serious adverse events with moderate or severe toxicity ratings. However, all of them were recategorized, by Pfizer, in the internal documents under the category of adverse events that were 'recovered' or 'resolved.'
twitter.com/E_V_Assistance/status/1563470058474446848?s=20&t=zJESc..

Reply | Mark as Spam | Posted On 8/30/2022 6:09:59 AM
Like Dislike

---

afreece
Joined On 12/5/2021 11:47:31 PM
Add as Friend | Send Message

You don't have to be a wiz at maths to work out that the rate of spontaneous abortions (SA's) in women vaccinated in the first trimester is the number of SA's divided by the TOTAL NUMBER OF WOMEN IN THE STUDY WHO WERE VACCINATED IN THE FIRST TRIMESTER. The Toronto people who criticised the NEJM study also got it wrong when they used the total number of completed pregnancies. That's because many of the women in the study WERE STILL PREGNANT in April 2021 when the first data analysis was done. It is hardly surprising that the rate of SA's was high because that's the usual way that a pregnancy ends if it ends in the early days of pregnancy.

Reply | Mark as Spam | Posted On 8/30/2022 5:50:12 AM
Like Dislike

---

lou62363
Joined On 10/26/2015 9:45:24 AM
Add as Friend | Send Message

Horrifying. The hideous fraud is coming into view now.

Reply | Mark as Spam | Posted On 8/30/2022 5:29:18 AM
Like Dislike

BrianDossDMD
Joined On 4/18/2022 7:24:22 AM
Add as Friend | Send Message

I personally know people that have died from heart attacks and had blood clots and tremors and tachycardia and full body rashes and are permanently disabled that will never be attributed to the jab because their adverse reactions happened before two weeks after the last dose would of been given so legally all these issues go down as unvaccinated So whatever the numbers end up being I'm pretty sure the jab can take the blame for any increase!!! I mean how many people and babies have to die before society starts to care!!! Seriously there's been double the miscarriages in one year compared to the last 30 years and 30,000 actual reported deaths and hundreds of thousands adverse reactions , I mean really how much more is it going to take???

Reply | Mark as Spam | Posted On 8/30/2022 5:09:11 AM
Like Dislike

jamNjim
Joined On 2/20/2008 11:15:54 PM
Add as Friend | Send Message

When the population gets down to their desired goal this will end.

Mark as Spam | Posted On 8/30/2022 8:28:13 AM
Like Dislike

---

ruskar
Joined On 12/7/2007 9:42:39 AM
Add as Friend | Send Message

They knew this all along, that's why they banned abortions.

Reply | Mark as Spam | Posted On 8/30/2022 5:54:06 AM
Like Dislike

jamNjim
Joined On 2/20/2008 11:15:54 PM
Add as Friend | Send Message

Who is "they"?

Mark as Spam | Posted On 8/30/2022 8:30:31 AM
Like Dislike

brianallen1
Joined On 8/7/2021 10:12:38 AM
Add as Friend | Send Message

Anyone who ain't us.

Mark as Spam | Posted On 8/30/2022 9:03:05 AM
Like Dislike

DebbyW
Joined On 5/27/2010 9:07:35 AM
Add as Friend | Send Message

Abortions weren't banned. Whether to allow them was given back to the states to decide.

Mark as Spam | Posted On 8/30/2022 10:34:34 AM
Like Dislike

---

healingartist
Joined On 1/17/2009 4:03:15 PM
Add as Friend | Send Message

Is there any data on the J & J jab? My daughter-in-law, a medical engineer for a J&J subsidiary, had 2 shots. She is 7 months pregnant. The only issue, so far, is the absence of one of the 3 pathways of nutrition delivery via the umbilical cord. She was told that is not at all uncommon. Im highly skeptical. The only info i can find suggests that the child may have increased risk of UTI's.

Reply | Mark as Spam | Posted On 8/30/2022 7:30:55 AM
Like Dislike

brianallen1
Joined On 8/7/2021 10:12:38 AM
Add as Friend | Send Message

www.howbadismybatch.com

Mark as Spam | Posted On 8/30/2022 7:41:00 AM
Like Dislike

har1272
Joined On 3/6/2019 11:00:25 PM
Add as Friend | Send Message

Here is information re the Pfizer jab using the same mRNA delivery system: "Toxicity conclusions The absence of reproductive toxicity data is a reflection of the speed of development to first identify and select COVID-19 mRNA Vaccine BNT162b2 for clinical testing and its rapid development to meet the ongoing urgent health need. In principle, a decision on licensing a vaccine could be taken in these circumstances without data from reproductive toxicity studies animals, but there are studies ongoing and these will be provided when available. In the context of supply under Regulation 174, it is considered that sufficient reassurance of safe use of the vaccine in pregnant women cannot be provided at the present time: however, use in women of childbearing potential could be supported provided healthcare professionals are advised to rule out known or suspected pregnancy prior to vaccination.

Women who are breastfeeding should also not be vaccinated. These judgements reflect the absence of data at the present time and do not reflect a specific finding of concern. Adequate advice with regard to women of childbearing potential, pregnant women and breastfeeding women has been provided in both the Information for UK Healthcare Professionals and the Information for UK recipients." Summary of the Public Assessment Report for COVID-19 Vaccine Pfizer/BioNTech - GOV.UK (www.gov.uk)

Mark as Spam | Posted On 8/30/2022 8:41:23 AM
Like Dislike

DebbyW
Joined On 5/27/2010 9:07:35 AM
Add as Friend | Send Message

My friend had the same issue with the umbilical cord with her daughter who is now forty. It caused failure to thrive in the womb. The baby weighed about three pounds at full-term birth. But her daughter also has a genetic birth defect.

Mark as Spam | Posted On 8/30/2022 10:37:57 AM
Like Dislike

Arlen1
Joined On 8/1/2020 1:45:38 PM
Add as Friend | Send Message

Har1272, the J&J jabs are different from the Pfizer and Moderna jabs. J&J uses the adenovirus as a viral vector which makes it similar to older vaccines except that the viral vector is carrying mRNA instead of virus fragments.

Mark as Spam | Posted On 8/30/2022 11:06:00 AM
Like Dislike

Arlen1
Joined On 8/1/2020 1:45:38 PM
Add as Friend | Send Message

Healingartist,if your daughter had no reaction to the 2 shots she's probably OK. The J&J jabs are hit and miss at best and they mostly miss. The reason for that is the virus being used as a viral vector (adenovirus). Because a LOT of vaccines use that technology for delivering the product that's supposed to stimulate your immune system, most people become "IMMUNE" to the shot itself. People actually develop antibodies for the adenovirus and attack that virus. In doing so, your immune system destroys the virus and the mRNA that was being delivered. If your daughter works somewhere that requires jabs regularly she probably has immunity to the J&J Jab!

Mark as Spam | Posted On 8/30/2022 11:13:04 AM
Like Dislike

Post your comment

Previous Article | Next Article

Join the World's #1 Natural Health Newsletter for FREE!
Latest Trustworthy News from Dr. Mercola — delivered straight to your inbox!
Enter your email address
Subscribe Now!

MeWe

Mercola proudly supports these charities and organizations. View All

Disclaimer: The entire contents of this website are based upon the opinions of Dr. Mercola, unless otherwise noted. Individual articles are based upon the opinions of the respective author, who retains copyright as marked. The information on this website is not intended to replace a one-on-one relationship with a qualified health care professional and is not intended as medical advice. It is intended as a sharing of knowledge and information from the research and experience of Dr. Mercola and his community. Dr. Mercola encourages you to make your own health care decisions based upon your research and in partnership with a qualified health care professional. If you are pregnant, nursing, taking medication, or have a medical condition, consult your health care professional before using products based on this content.

If you want to use an article on your site please click here. This content may be copied in full, with copyright, contact, creation and information intact, without specific permission, when used only in a not-for-profit format. If any other use is desired, permission in writing from Dr. Mercola is required.

Terms & Conditions • Updated Privacy Policy
© 1997-2022 Dr. Joseph Mercola. All Rights Reserved.