

SARS Variants, Spike Proteins and Fat Assumption

Published 1 day ago on February 25, 2021
By Makia Freeman

AT A GLANCE...

- > **THE STORY:** As the WHO, CDC and Governments ramp up COVID fear with new scary has never been true virus isolation.
- > **THE IMPLICATIONS:** No matter how much the MSM tries to convince you, those pro fraudulent foundation of the scandemic. There is no virus.

Without virus isolation, the SARS variants

brainwashing theme is being increasingly pushed by the NWO (New World Order) social engineers to prop up the pandemic. With more people becoming aware that there is no emergency and there is no pandemic, the COVID manipulators are propagating more lies inventing **SARS variants** and using them as fuel to continue the scandemic. Apparently, there are now South African, UK and Californian variants of which you need to be very afraid. However, whether it's new variants, **spike proteins** or other SARS-CoV-2 paraphernalia, all these stories depend on a basic assumption: that a new virus SARS-CoV-2 exists. You can't have variants of a virus that doesn't exist. You can't have spike proteins on a virus that doesn't exist. Likewise, you can't make a true traditional vaccine (not the gene editing devices of Moderna) of a virus that doesn't exist. So we keep coming back to the same point: SARS-CoV-2 has never been isolated or purified, and thus so much of the current reporting about disinformation that cannot be true.

One Big, Fat, Unfounded Assumption

As always, Dr. Tom Cowan and Dr. Andrew Kaufman (whom I have quoted extensively in previous discussions), discuss the true state of affairs. According to them, no true isolation of a virus has EVER happened, and in a previous discussion, they talk about the lack of scientific evidence for the proof of viruses alleged by Dr. Judy Mikovits and Kaufman. The discussion became a little tense as Kaufman proclaimed to have repeatedly done), when all she had done was show viruses budding out of cells for retroviruses, because the human body would eat up loose RNA or DNA. Mikovits did not isolate.

Cowan makes the following point around the 16-minute mark about **virus isolation**:

"If they [viruses] are not isolated ... they don't exist as independent entities that can cause disease, there's no way we can characterize them, the way we characterize things 'that's unique to this', so there's no way

Kaufman brings up an interesting jaguar analogy around the 26-minute mark. Imagine a European explorer visiting South America for the first time and claiming he found a new wild cat (a jaguar)? A scientist claiming discovery a new virus, amidst all the million other things like a European explorer visiting South America for the first time and claiming he found a new wild cat (a jaguar)? A scientist claiming discovery a new virus, amidst all the million other things like a European explorer visiting South America for the first time and claiming he found a new wild cat (a jaguar)? Why does a tiny piece of RNA count as proof of a

Statement On Virus Isolation (SOVI)

Cowan, Kaufman and Sally Fallon Morell have together written a short document entitled *Statement on Virus Isolation (SOVI)* that, according to *"common sense, the laws of logic and the dictates of science,"* the alleged discovery of a new virus without isolation is often defined differently by virologists trying to justify their methods (adding in things like chemicals like antibiotics). The SOVI statement includes the Oxford definition of the word *isolated* or *standing alone; separation from other things or persons; solitariness.*" Therefore

- *the structure and composition of something not shown to have the structure, and function of any hypothetical*

- *the genetic sequence of something that has n*

- *“variants” of something that hasn’t been st*

- *it’s impossible to demonstrate that SARS-CoV-2*

The writers then outline how a person would isolate the virus if they were being scientific

“In as concise terms as possible, here’s the proper way to isolate the virus. First, one takes samples (blood, sputum, secretions) from many people to find one that is unique and specific enough to characterize an illness. With modern technology and products that also contain genetic material, the virologist makes a micrograph of the specimen. This common virology technique, done for decades for identifying giant viruses in every virology lab, then allows the virologist to see thousands of identically sized and shaped particles. These

These identical particles are then checked for uniformity by performing a purity test. If the purity is determined, the particles may be further characterized by structure, morphology, and chemical composition of the particles. This is done by characterizing the genetic material directly from the particles using sequencing techniques, such as Sanger sequencing, that have been used for decades. An analysis to confirm that these uniform particles are exactly what we conceptualized to be, and not the normal breakdown product of a cell, is performed. We know that virologists have no way to determine whether the particles are the normal break-down products of dead

That is how it would be done to ensure proper virus isolation. However what has happened over and over and over again:

*“Instead, since 1954, virologists have taken unpurified samples, with a similar disease. They then minimally process this onto tissue culture containing usually four to six other types of **genetic material as to what is called a “virus.”** The tissue culture disintegrates into many types of particles, some of which contain sense, logic, use of the English language and scientific integrity. A brew containing fragments of genetic material from many sources which then creates in a computer-simulation process the allelic in silico genome. At no time is an actual virus confirmed by being extracted and sequenced from an actual virus.”*

The observation that the unpurified specimen – inoculated on bovine fetal tissue, amniotic fluid and other tissues – destroy is given as evidence of the virus’ existence and pathogenesis.”

Mainstream Virology Claims True Virus Isolation is Impossible

Let’s return to what Mikovits said, and what many mainstream virologists say: we can’t isolate them, they’re too small or they would immediately die if we did so (and therefore must be destroyed). True virus isolation means when it comes to viruses. Cowan has countered this point repeatedly:

“From now on, when anyone gives you a paper that suggests to check the methods sections. If the researchers used Vero cells, their process was not isolation. You will hear the following

1. *There were not enough virus particles found in sputum.*
2. *Viruses are intracellular parasites; they can't be found in sputum.*

If No. 1 is correct, and we can't find the virus in the sputum, how do you think the virus is dangerous or even lethal? If No. 2 is correct, how do you think the virus is dangerous or even lethal? We are told it emerges from the cell to infect other cells.

Imaginary SARS-CoV-2 Variants and Spike Protein Changes

Predictably, both the WHO and the CDC are pushing the idea that imaginary variants of SARS-CoV-2 exist. These so-called variants have technical names: the supposed UK variant is called B.1.1.7, the supposed US variant is B.1.427 and B.1.429 (aka CAL.20C/L452R). The supposed South African variant is called B.1.351. This is scientific shorthand for the substitution of one protein building block (amino acid) for another in the spike protein. Speaking of spike protein, we all have all been told since the start of this fake pandemic: the spike protein is part of the digital, in silico, computer database genome of SARS-CoV-2, not a real virus with a real spike protein. It's more technical gobbledegook to give the virus the appearance of a real virus.

Once this concept is fully grasped, the implications are quite astounding. Even people who have been told that the virus has been isolated and purified when it simply has not. Lyons-Weiler has written scientific papers claiming that the virus has been isolated and purified when it simply has not.

the premise of an existing virus whose genome has been actually sequenced from a real virus sequence.

The Vaccine Can't Possibly Work, Because It's Based on an In Silico, Theoretical Virus

Vaccines are dangerous inventions, but even if you are pro-vax, the COVID vaccines can't possibly work. Big Pharma manufacturers never had a real viral specimen to use to develop them. They used a digital, in silico, computer database genome of SARS-CoV-2, not a real virus. The COVID vaccines are even less desirable, and lower their benefit-to-risk ratio to 0.

the COVID vaccines even less desirable, and lowers their benefit-to-risk ratio to 0.

Conclusion

It is a famous maxim of life that you can know the tree by its fruits. Thus, if the root of a tree is rotten, the entire **COVID narrative** is not just shaky but indefensible scientific fraud. Without virus: just more stories of no substance. By now, many people have awoken to the truth that the disease (essentially just reclassification of existing disease) and not one cause of that disease. What are the causes? – not poor hygiene, poor sanitation, toxic elements, pollution, EMFs (5G), pesticides, inadequate exercise and deficiency of vital nutrients like vitamins B, C or D? We must keep the truth.

Makia Freeman is the editor of alternative media / independent news site The Freedom Art and senior researcher at ToolsForFreedom.com. Makia is on Steemit and Parler.

Sources:

*<https://thefreedomarticles.com/not-a-vaccine-mrna-covid-vaccine-chemical-pathogen-d>

*<https://thefreedomarticles.com/10-reasons-sars-cov-2-imaginary-digital-theoretical-virus>

*<https://www.bitchute.com/video/IN609LZMOI9A/>

*<https://thefreedomarticles.com/covid-admissions-cdc-scientists-accidentally-destroy-of>

*<https://thefreedomarticles.com/sars-cov-2-stitched-together-frankenstein-virus/>

*<https://rumble.com/vd4c17-special-event-roundtable-with-dr.-judy-mikovits-discussing-t>

*<https://www.andrewkaufmanmd.com/sovi/?#voices>

*<https://www.who.int/csr/don/21-december-2020-covid-19-variants/en/>

<https://www.who.int/csr/don/31-december-2020-sars-cov-2-variants/en/>

*<https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/more/science-and-research/scientific-brie>

*<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7142689/>

*<https://thefreedomarticles.com/toxic-vaccine-adjuvants-the-top-10/>