ZeroHedge

Trust The "Science"...That Just Retracted 11,000 "Peer Reviewed" Papers

BY TYLER DURDEN

SUNDAY, MAY 26, 2024 - 05:20 PM

It's yet another reminder of why blindly 'trusting the science' may not always be the best go-to move in the future.

217 year old Wiley science publisher has reportedly "peer reviewed" more than 11,000 papers that were determined to be fake without ever noticing. The papers were referred to as "naked gobbledygook sandwiches", Australian blogger Jo Nova wrote on her blog last week.

"It's not just a scam, it's an industry," she said. **"Who knew, academic journals were a $30 billion dollar industry?"**

According to Nova's post, professional cheating services are employing AI to craft seemingly "original" academic papers by shuffling around words. For instance, terms like "breast cancer" morphed into "bosom peril," and a "naïve Bayes" classifier turns into "gullible Bayes."

Similarly, in one paper, an ant colony was bizarrely rebranded as an "underground creepy crawly state."

The misuse of terminology extends to machine learning, where a 'random forest' is whimsically translated to 'irregular backwoods' or 'arbitrary timberland'.

Nova writes that shockingly, these papers undergo peer review without any rigorous human oversight, allowing egregious errors, like converting 'local average energy' to 'territorial normal vitality', to slip through.

The publisher Wiley has confessed that fraudulent activities have rendered 19 of its journals so compromised that they must be shuttered. In response, the industry is developing AI tools to detect these fakes, a necessary yet disheartening development. Nova writes:

> The rot at Wiley started decades ago, but it got caught when it spent US $298 million on an Egyptian publishing house called Hindawi. We could say we hope no babies were hurt by fake papers but we know bad science already kills people. What we need are not "peer reviewed" papers but actual live face to face debate. Only when the best of both sides have to answer questions, with the data will we get real science:
>
> *In March, it revealed to the NYSE a $US9 million ($13.5 million) plunge in research revenue after being forced to "pause" the publication of so-called "special issue" journals by its Hindawi imprint, which it had acquired in 2021 for US$298 million ($450 million).*
>
> *Its statement noted the Hindawi program, which comprised some 250 journals, had been "suspended temporarily due to the presence in certain special issues of compromised articles".*
>
> *Many of these suspect papers purported to be serious medical studies, including examinations of drug resistance in newborns with pneumonia and the value of MRI scans in the diagnosis of early liver disease. The journals involved included Disease Markers, BioMed Research International and Computational Intelligence and Neuroscience.*
>
> *The problem is only becoming more urgent. The recent explosion of artificial intelligence raises the stakes even further. A researcher at University College London recently found more than 1 per cent of all scientific articles published last year, some 60,000 papers, were likely written by a computer.*
>
> *In some sectors, it's worse. Almost one out of every five computer science papers published in the past four years may not have been written by humans.*

In Australia, ABC has reported on this issue, reflecting concerns over diminishing public trust in universities, which are increasingly seen as businesses rather than educational institutions. This perception is fueled by incidents where universities, driven by financial incentives, overlook academic fraud.

The core of the scientific community is corroded, exacerbated by entities like the ABC Science Unit, which rather than scrutinizing dubious research, often shields it.

This ongoing degradation calls for a shift from traditional peer review to rigorous live debates, ensuring accountability by having people argue their cases in real time.

In December 2023, *Nature* posted that more than 10,000 papers were retracted in 2023 -- a new record.

You can read Nova's full blog post here.

158,925 625

MORE MARKETS STORIES ON ZEROHEDGE



Biden Marketing Team To Create Trump Mega-Martyr With 'Guilty' Verdict Campaign Strategy



NATO Country Says Goal Should Be Breakup Of The Russian Federation



Yields Will Stay Higher For Longer With Commodities

NEVER MISS THE NEWS THAT MATTERS MOST

ZEROHEDGE DIRECTLY TO YOUR INBOX

Receive a daily recap featuring a curated list of must-read stories.

Email Subscribe

COMMENTS MY PROFILE

Source

5000 characters remaining

POST

COMMENTING AS HAMFISTEDIDIOT.

PREMIUM COMMENTS 0 ALL COMMENTS 778

VIEWING OPTIONS

Pizza the Hutt 6 days ago

SHE BLINDED ME WITH $CIENCE!!

▲125 ▼10 Reply

Herbert C. Noodleman 6 days ago

Science!!

▲50 ▼2 Reply

ted41776 6 days ago

won't be long now before some of these "scientists" start being escorted out by friends and family of those they murdered

▲85 ▼ Reply

B Free 6 days ago (Edited)

The mission of genuine **science** is to cultivate a high level of critical thinking coupled with a willingness to be wrong about existing ideas or theories, recognizing that no science is ever entirely settled.

▲80 ▼3 Reply

ohm 6 days ago (Edited)

You have no clue what science is. Science is simply repeatable results from repeatable experiments. That is all. Science is not population studies, computer models or statistics.

▲30 ▼36 Reply

ted41776 6 days ago

the meaning of the word has evolved or devolved over the recent years, depending on how one looks at it

in it's current form, it is a type of religion

▲74 ▼

Gargolic 6 days ago

Political class motto: If there is free money to be grabbed then bastardize everything.

▲41 ▼1

Lukkiee 6 days ago

"Repeatable results from repeatable experiments" are what "statistics" is all about. Statistics is a tool that measures how repeatable these experiments are.

Population studies like demographics are not science? How? Demographics produces very accurate predictions.

I think you have no clue.

▲29 ▼5

psychopath 6 days ago

Science is fake and gay.

▲24 ▼2

PrivetHedge 6 days ago

> *in one paper, an ant colony was bizarrely rebranded as an "underground creepy crawly state."*

I immediately thought of DC and Langley, when I read that.

▲25 ▼

Mother Fletcher 6 days ago

What kind of retard downvotes that? You are correct. Science is provable and repeatable, not 'consensus'.

▲16 ▼8

ChatBot1000 6 days ago

Wrong!

Dr. Anthony Stephen Fauci is *the science*

▲15 ▼

Norm Corin 6 days ago (Edited)

ohm: "Science is simply repeatable results from repeatable experiments. That is all."

Science, also, has to take a crack at *why* certain results repeat. Otherwise, it's stupid, running around like the proverbial headless chicken, sucking up to TPTB and their harried academy charges.

To take just a single, tiny but salient "example", during the height of the (still-ongoing) COVID debacle, we needed to take a crack at *why* large masses of people would repeat uniform and absurd mask-wearing behavior when numerous academic studies, before and during, had, and were, questioning multiple aspects of the COVID approach. Hint: No one was getting access to, or able to propagate, (the info in)those studies. (EDIT: Yeah, I know that was a cheap zinger bait-and-switch re "results" :) ...but it does fit.)

Read up on Kuhn's *The Structure of Scientific Revolutions* (1962), and its continuing pro-con aftermath, then apply it to how, and why, the science(s) of both public health *and* mass psychology manipulation adoption progresses (aka the ascendance of propaganda), to add some 3-D to this picture.

▲10 ▼

Norm Corin 6 days ago (Edited)

Mother Fletcher: "Science is provable and repeatable, not 'consensus'." -- It most certainly, and *only in some ways* unfortunately, is consensus, and well before the last crazy decade. See my last paragraph above to 'ohm'.

▲1 ▼

hongdo 6 days ago

ohm. Repeatable results testing an hypothesis. How you develop your theory and hypothesis is up to you. Without theory and hypothesis, experiments are just a random assembly of facts. Using models, studies, or statistical observations to be tested is fine. But some phenomenon are very hard to test and the problem is not helped by some assuming "validation". Let alone zealots that promote propaganda disguised as science.

▲6 ▼

JNS 6 days ago (Edited)

Science has become just a 'word' to defend positions and agendas! One of the biggest lies perpetrated was how we arrived at modern medicine based on germ theory. In the 19th century a debate raged in medicine about germ theory vs. cell theory ... it was not scientifically settled, instead settled by power and money! Most of what we call science today is really just garbage ... it's about who pays for the research! I might also add that 'science' can never be truly settled. As our knowledge grows, our understanding of systems grows, much of what we think we proved 'scientifically', may be no longer valid ...

▲9 ▼

Orphanboy PREMIUM 6 days ago

E pur si muove

▲ ▼

Multi 6 days ago

I don't know why you are getting down voted.

Science is the application of the scientific method. Which is formulation of an hypothesis and its testing for validity (testing implies repeatability).

Political Science is not science, neither is Theoretical Physics.

Statistics is a mathematical tool that can be used in science though.

▲10 ▼

yekraps 6 days ago

"in it's current form, it is a type of religion"
Yes it is, Humans need to have something to 'Believe in', Science has been shuffled forward to fill the gap, you can sell a lot of ideas under the rubric of 'Science"!

▲5 ▼

axeman79 6 days ago

You are trying to define the entirety of science as the scientific method. A high-level definition of science is not the same thing as the methods used. There are simple methods and there are complex methods, each with its own level of precision and risk. Repeatability is critical, but so is critical thinking and demonstrating falsifiability.

▲1 ▼

BigCumulusClouds 6 days ago (Edited)

I once had a conversation with a liberal colleague having a PhD in physics. When I asked him where the molten metal came from following the controlled demolition of the WTC buildings on 9-11 — accepting the First Law of Thermodynamics, that energy cannot be created nor destroyed — he said, "from the frictional heat generated during the collapse." I then knew the guy had a wasted education at Tulane University. Completely worthless.

▲7 ▼

apparent 6 days ago (Edited)

Science is a numbers game of probabilities, either 95% or 99% being used as the standards in research, depending on the statistical model created by the researcher. Science only gives probabilities. I sh.t my pants when Fauci referred to scientific truth. Yes, science has become a religion.

▲2 ▼

Plato de Panqueques 5 days ago

Remember the *Journal of Irreproducible Results*?

▲2 ▼

powerman11 5 days ago

Exactly true. The development of theories as a basis for science has eroded modern science into cult status. We need to ban every originator that refuses to be honest about what science is and what it is not. Every hypothesis should come with a warning that it is to be considered wrong until proven true with repeatable lab experimentation. We make the financial people warn of their forward-thinking and science needs the same.

▲ 1 ▼

Creative_Destruct 6 days ago *(Edited)*

REAL science is not authoritarian; it is ALWAYS subject to revision by new empirical data.

Medical "science" is NOT a hard science; there is an ethically limited ability to do thoroughly rigorous tightly controlled tests on humans.

Due to this inherent uncertainty in medical "science," doctors tend to adopt an authoritarian "follow doctors orders" approach, when the approach is far from certain but represents their best "educated guess," an approach that is prudent, to the best of their knowledge.

The pandemic medical approach was an authoritarian medical dictate, aggravated by the politics of TDS and other political factors. "Follow the Science" was a meme weapon, used to control the masses.

▲ 67 ▼ Reply

Juche Tony 6 days ago

in the last 30 years, I've seen a steep deterioration in universities in people's willingness to enter debate or tolerate differences of opinion. And it wasn't great in the 90s.

we're now seeing rafts of middle managers in their 40s who simply cannot tolerate dissent. And not objection, merely querying, asking if method B might work better than method A. I think I may have been shelved after last summer for asking for references to support a hysterical (I didn't say it) claim for irreversible climate change, forming the basis of a PG project in our university. I even found the reference material myself when I got told off, but I don't think that helped my cause.

▲ 49 ▼

Hoya Saxa PREMIUM 6 days ago *(Edited)*

Doctorates and tenure will awarded in the “Science of TDS”?

▲ 7 ▼

nostops4me 6 days ago

"Science" was hijacked centuries ago for profits and control, but really got amped up in the late 1800s as industrialization began the Great Enrichment.

The problem now is, like many other products in a declining economy, "science" is suffering from crapification and shrinkflation. It's been reduced to marketing terms and the race to suck "research" and subsidies from the goverment teat.

▲ 22 ▼ 1

BLOTTO 6 days ago *(Edited)*

I've been saying a few times lately - its all coming apart as we are shifting out of this recent dark age.

Their BS science (Joe Rogan/Terrance Howard recent podcast)...

their BS papers...their BS peer studies, etc.

Tesla > Einstein going down the tubes in 5, 4, 3...

1 to 1,000(s) years of potential lies are hopefully going to unravel.

It is all being challenged as we are calling BS on it all. 'We' the front line freedom fighters who dared go where the masses did not - saying a big FU to the Covid narrative.

▲ 22 ▼

Moros-is-Here 6 days ago

In some circles, the locals still refer to the medical arts. Truth in advertising.

▲ 4 ▼

Gilhooley 6 days ago

A couple of weeks ago I wrote that, science is what the scientists say it is. Meaning, people believe it because they are "scientists". I got hammered.

▲ 10 ▼

pizdowitz 6 days ago

In the 1950s, after the polio scare, the Boys asked the “scientists” if the viruses can be weaponized, because “our democracy”. After billions spent, and not a single virus produced since anywhere, it never dawned on the gullible saps that they might have been deliberately bamboozled from the very beginning.

▲ 27 ▼ 2 Reply

PrivetHedge 6 days ago

Dis-ease appears to be from Poisons a Parasites.

▲ 11 ▼ 1

Dr. Know 6 days ago

Do an in depth, open minded read of the full text of this paper:

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC9877913/

If you still believe this is a hoax, that SARS-CoV-2 doesn't exist, then you are a feeble-minded follower of deceivers who are making a career out of misleading the public.

▲ ▼ 7

Jade_Dragon 5 days ago

In a few years time, doctors and scientists won't know how to spell or do math (but will be lauded by their comrades in gub'ment).

.

If one views the US government rather as the UN (WEF), the remaining Nazis in Switzerland who control the purse strings would love a second chance to conquer sovereign Russia and would also love to use Russia’s resources to conquer sovereign China. As the US has already been vanquished there would be no superpowers left for the UN (WEF) to conquer. How about them apples?

▲ 4 ▼

keeper20 5 days ago

https://x.com/COVIDSelect/status/1783249897006764113
>Dr. Anthony Fauci will testify publicly for the FIRST TIME since retiring from public service at a @COVIDSelect hearing on Monday, June 3, 2024.
Dr. Anthony Fauci will testify publicly for the FIRST TIME since retiring from public service at a @COVIDSelect hearing on Monday, June 3, 2024.
>Dr. Anthony Fauci will testify publicly for the FIRST TIME since retiring from public service at a @COVIDSelect hearing on Monday, June 3, 2024.
Dr. Anthony Fauci will testify publicly for the FIRST TIME since retiring from public service at a @COVIDSelect hearing on Monday, June 3, 2024.
https://oversight.house.gov/wp-content/uploads/2024/05/Underlying-Docs_Morens-Memo-5.22.pdf
https://x.com/B2SpiritNow/status/1794332814604972080
>My jaw literally dropped reading these.
>CRIMINAL TRIALS MUST BEGIN IMMEDIATELY.
>OUR CONSTITUTIONAL REPUBLIC DEPENDS ON IT.

▲ 1 ▼ 1

keeper20 5 days ago *(Edited)*

here's some science that you should have Not trusted.

https://drive.filen.io/f/84d0b40b-061e-4dde-9dd6-5b1cd3725a73#q4GdbOBgR0333XePlFWSTV2FPmN4MxjM

https://youtu.be/UuERPvBFfco?t=517

DR RALPH BARIC DESCRIBES THESE EXACT EXPERIMENTS, in great detail.
DR RALPH BARIC DESCRIBES THESE EXACT EXPERIMENTS, IN GREAT DETAIL.

i can't believe this video is still on youtube, and it doesn't have 10,000,000 views. i can't believe toe rogan and all these "alt media" e celebs haven't mentioned this video.
i can't believe Ralph Baric LITERALLY FUCKING JOKES LIKE MILTON FROM OFFICE SPACE ABOUT HOLDING THE FUCKING ENTIRE WORLD HOSTAGE OVER THE NEXT PANDEMIC BECAUSE HE'S PISSED THAT THINGS LIKE ASTEROIDS AND VOLCANOS ARE MENTIONED MORE IN THE MEDIA AND MOVIES THAN CORONAVIRUSES.

ralph worked with Shi Zhengli, the "batlady" at the Wuhan Lab, working for EcoHealth Alliance, paid for the NiH.
it's all here, right in the open.

if you watch one video, watch this one. it's 40 minutes; pyr8tom timestamped to the good parts immediately. but watch the whole thing and see how ralph's creepy demeanor about literally being pissed people aren't scared enough about pandemics.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Shi_Zhengli

In 2005, Shi Zhengli and colleagues found that bats are the natural reservoir of SARS-like coronaviruses.[8][9][10]
In 2008 Shi led a research team which studied binding of spike proteins of both natural and chimaeric SARS-like coronaviruses to ACE2 receptors in human, civet and horseshoe bat cells, to determine the mechanism by which SARS may have spilled over into humans.

In 2015, **Shi Zhengli** published a paper led by **Ralph S Baric** of the University of North Carolina, which showed that that SARS had the potential to re-emerge from coronaviruses circulating in bat populations in the wild.[13]
Shi and her colleague **Cui Jie** led a team which sampled thousands of horseshoe bats throughout China.

▲ ▼ 1

keeper20 5 days ago *(Edited)*

who approved hunter's family receiving bribe money for support of ecohealth alliance?

> *MOTHER FUKKER AUTISTS HAVE BEEN SAYING "HEY RALPH BARIC FUKKING MADE A POWER POINT ABOUT HOW HE ENGINEERED COVID IN TRANSGENIC MICE IN WUHAN WITH SHI ZHENGLI ON A GRANT FOR ECOHEALTH ALLIANCE APPROVED BY FAUCI" FOR FUKKING YEARS*
>
> *Y E A R S*
>
> *RALPH BARIC IS THE MAN WHO DID IT.*
> *WE'VE KNOWN THIS.*
>
> *OR HOW ABOUT THIS VIDEO?!*
>
> *https://youtu.be/Aw-nR6-4kQQ?t=2463*
>
> *THIS CONFERENCE WAS HELD IN LIKE 2015. BY THE TIMELINE ESTABLISHED, RALPH BARIC WOULD HAVE KNOWN HE WAS GOING TO CHINA TO DO THIS WORK.*
>
> *LISTEN TO THE QUESTION ASKED OF HIM BY A BRAVE MAN IN THE AUDIENCE.*
>
> *https://youtu.be/Aw-nR6-4kQQ?t=2463 [Embed]*
>
> *LISTEN HOW TO TELLS BARIC, TO HIS FACE, "I KNOW YOU ENHANCED THE RESPIRATORY ROUTE FOR SARS" AND BARIC NERVOUSLY LAUGHS ABOUT IT.*
>
> *BARIC SAYS "OH YEAH WE USE FERRETS AND GUINEA PIGS AND THEY DON'T EVEN HAVE THE ACE2 RECEPTOR, SO YOU'D HAVE TO DO THESE EXPERIMENTS ON HUMAN OR PRIMATE AND I MEAN.....*NERVOUS LAUGHTER* NO ONE IS GOING TO DO THOSE EXPERIMENTS LOL"*
>
> *NOW LISTEN TO THIS GUY'S FOLLOW UP.*
> *HE SAYS*
>
> *>SO MR RALPH BARIC, YOU ARE CLAIMING THAT THESE EXPERIMENTS AREN'T UNETHICAL, YOU JUST CAN'T FEASIBLY DO THEM LEGALLY?*
> *>SAY YOU HAD A *TRANSGENIC ANIMAL* THAT YOU COULD PLACE A HUMAN RECEPTOR IN, WOULD YOU THEN THINK THIS EXPERIMENT, TO INCREASE VIRULENCE OF A VIRUS, WAS ETHICAL?*
>
> *LISTEN TO RALPH'S RESPONSE!!!!!*
>
> *HE SAYS "WELL NO ONE IS DOING THOSE EXPERIMENTS *RIGHT NOW* (HE KNOWS HE IS LITERALLY ABOUT TO BOARD A PLANE TO WUHAN TO DO THESE EXPERIMENTS)*
>
> *AND THEN THE BIG ONE*
> *THE BIGGEST OF THEM ALL*
> *THE FREUDIAN SLIP OF FREUDIAN SLIPS.*
>
> *LISTEN TO THIS STATEMENT AT 44:25*
>
> *https://youtu.be/Aw-nR6-4kQQ?t=2665*
>
> *>SO JUST PUTTING THE HUMAN RECEPTOR IN AND MAKING A TRANSGENIC MOUSE-MODEL*
> *>MOUSE-M-MODEL*
> *>MOUSE-M-M-MODEL*
>
> *HE SAYS FUKKING MOUSE*
>
> *HE SAYS FUKKING MOUSE HE KNOWS HE'S GOING TO BE MAKING TRANSGENIC MICE WITH HUMAN ACE-2 RECEPTORS, AND HE'S BEEN TASKED WITH CREATING CHIMERIC BAT-HUMAN CORONA VIRUSES IN WUHAN ON A GRANT FOR ECOHEALTH ALLIANCE.*
>
> *https://threadreaderapp.com/user/BlackTomThePyr8*

▲ 1 ▼ 1

Barbacoa 6 days ago

Like vaccine?

▲ 10 ▼ Reply

Big Mack 6 days ago

Some of us "Pure Bloods" were never blinded by the science.

▲ 30 ▼

Darthpoop 6 days ago

The story was often the same.

Someone with a vagina made a compelling argument and out of fear that access to said vagina would be restricted, the men took the vax.

▲ 21 ▼

BLOTTO 6 days ago

Most of us Pure Bloods never flinched.

▲ 20 ▼

Lagrange Point 6 days ago

Bosom peril?

▲ 2 ▼

Jade_Dragon 5 days ago

Purebloods becoming outnumbered by those who refuse to look at the science

▲ 3 ▼

DaGov 6 days ago

It used to be that. Now it is to find out create data that supports the predefined policy or narrative of the government or funding body to ensure social compliance with their political principles.

▲ 11 ▼ Reply

GreatUncle 6 days ago

We need open debate on everything.

Went to a nuclear debate when at uni. and very interesting. IMHO from that debate humanity needs nuclear power to meet energy needs.

▲ 7 ▼ Reply

Htos1 5 days ago

Nuclear energy.

It's WAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAY safer than leftists/communists/facists/democrats running gov'ts, in the 20th century, as the >250 million murdered by them, in the 20th century can attest.

▲ 1 ▼

yekraps 6 days ago

"recognizing that no science is ever entirely settled."

Once the AI takes over everything will be settled then, it will be a crime to question anything AI declares as real!

▲ 4 ▼ Reply

MisterMousePotato 4 days ago *(Edited)*

"recognizing that no science is ever entirely settled."

That is an absurd statement. Are you telling me, for instance, that it is not settled that the human heart pumps blood through the circulatory system? That the Earth does not orbit the Sun? That there's still some ongoing doubt or question or debate about that?

▲ ▼

Street Chief Martin 6 days ago

🙃🫢🫵

▲ ▼ Reply

Kelley 5 days ago

That's a nice fairy tale and a worthy ideal for sure.

Science was bought, captured and slain by the Rockefeller types, starting with the Flexner Report.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply

chili palmer3 PREMIUM 5 days ago *(Edited)*

The reason for this is that it's just another way to bleed and demonize US taxpayers in perpetuity. In US most science is funded by government which means US taxpayers. In 1990-1991, to permanently enslave US taxpayers to the "climate" industry, George HW Bush placed mechanisms for it in the executive branch, out of reach of anyone. Immediately, billions of US taxpayer dollars went out all over the world to create a new industry from scratch. The best part of it was, 1. George HW Bush declared CO2 was a pollutant. And 2, That greedy, selfish Americans had hogged all the CO2, causing famine and civil wars in other countries around the world. Innocent Americans would be blamed in perpetuity--first of course, they had to pay trillions in reparations for having caused world misery. US taxpayers would fund endless "UN climate" reports. In 1992, 100% of US Senators voted to approve UNFCCC which formalized demonization of innocent Americans in perpetuity.

▲ ▼ Reply

Dr Truth 6 days ago

Any effort to read original journal articles in the last 10 years has been a real education in the capabilities of AI. They can't keep track of clear topic nomenclature, especially with any stats or verbal geometry occurs. Verbage morphs through the article. Similar definitions but not precise for scientific debate.

Then look at the list of H1b Visa authors. Publish or perish/go home And they pay to publish drivel or just restated (AI style) actions and outcomes.

▲ 12 ▼ 1 Reply

onceyouhave 6 days ago

+GOOGOL (not google)

▲ 3 ▼ Reply

cocoa2000 6 days ago

Nice pipedream cheech. Incoming missiles will make the convid shotclot a distant memory 😉

▲ 2 ▼ Reply

Mike Hunt 5 days ago

No missiles needed (that will be cover for what will be unleashed) - G6 is already deployed and we're all hooked into the "matrix" of death and destruction. They have incorporated the technology using our DNA to cause whatever pandemic they need to cull the rest of humanity. MBAN and BAN - HAL9000 Quantum Computing - we can be taken out with extreme prejudice AT ANYTIME (Reaper Drones). But I digress...

▲ ▼ Reply

cocoa2000 4 days ago *(Edited)*

Who's we??. Many of us have exit plans and didnt stick test swabs into our pineeal gland😉

▲ ▼

songofstaying 6 days ago

a sex distribution of the authors would be interesting - whose science has been retracted? cant be hers...

▲ 13 ▼ 1 Reply

B Free 6 days ago

Microbiologist Explains Why the Covid Jab Push Was So Successful:

https://rumble.com/v4xls5b-microbiologist-explains-why-the-covid-jab-push-was-so-successful.html

Nailed it 🔨

▲ 9 ▼ Reply

Barbacoa 6 days ago

Now summarize it.

▲ 5 ▼ Reply

Juche Tony 6 days ago

she's a biochemist.

data collection, based on (1) propaganda, then (2) threats, then (3) bribes, then (4) jobs.

50% stage 1, 20% stage 2/3, tiny proportion stage 4.

be a hero: stay home and be lazy.

then be a hero by taking an experimental drug.

"hero" aimed at stage 1 people, then used the 50% to target the rest.

There you go, summary, took three minutes. Don't be lazy.

▲ 23 ▼

Esso 6 days ago

Thanks for the link, B Free. The clot-shot push is ramping up again.

https://newsaddicts.com/government-stockpiling-vaccines-ahead-pre-election-pandemic/?utm_source=mailpoet#google_vignette

The deaths from the shots seems to be ramping up too. I got word last week that three more friends, that were forced to take the NottaVax to keep their jobs, bit the big syringe in the sky. I think the total is 18 now. I more or less lost count at about ten. The last was in Feb2024 with two KBV.

https://youtu.be/woXE3w46eSo?si=U_sj4mQJP3ey3pYk

▲ 5 ▼ Reply

kellys_eye 6 days ago

Just guessing but I suggest that zero climate change **advocating** science papers were rejected but ALL climate change **contrarian** papers were.

▲ 23 ▼ Reply

TheUnderdog 6 days ago

My guess is given it mentions "biomedicine", all the large quantity (>3k+) papers critical of vaccines are now subject to removal, on account a landslide of evidence is showing they murdered people.

▲ 16 ▼ Reply

Mother Fletcher 6 days ago

1,609 scientists and professionals, including over 300 academics at professorial levels, have signed the WCD.

This comes as Democrat President Joe Biden is reportedly "close" to signing an executive order to declare a "climate emergency" in America, as Slay News reported.The move would grant Biden sweeping new powers to enforce lockdowns and other tyrannical measures in order to "save the planet" from "global warming," a public policy think tank is warning.

https://www.azernews.az/region/217682.html

▲ 8 ▼ Reply

obama swallows 5 days ago

Awhile back I read that something like 90% of all peer reviewed research published in the last 10 years could not be replicated in subsequent studies. This is dramatically higher than what was observed in previous decades, although I cannot recall the exact numbers. But lots of bullshit being published as of late.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply

ohm 6 days ago *(Edited)*

> *a sex distribution of the authors would be interesting - whose science has been retracted? cant be hers...*

You are missing the point. These papers were PEER reviewed and since peer review is anonymous, none of these reviewers have suffered any consequences and are out there approving more BS.

▲ 7 ▼ 1 Reply

big_hamburger 6 days ago

Herbert C. Noodleman

\- - -

*Any relation to **Victoria Nudelman?!?!***

▲ 5 ▼ Reply

Mother Fletcher 6 days ago

His last name isn't actually Noodleman, it's Nietsche, and he's trying to prove, through the use of polyester, that God is dead.

▲ 4 ▼ Reply

El Crusty 6 days ago

I can smell the chemicals!

▲ ▼ Reply

powerman11 5 days ago

Honest science is good for everybody, so put the blame on the lack of honesty. Every fake science submission needs to have severe penalties placed on the submitter. A complete purge of everything and a 10 year ban for anything new from the submitter.

▲ ▼ Reply

RedDog1 6 days ago

I'm not questioning the concept of evidence based science, I'm questioning if evidence based science is occurring.

▲ 23 ▼ Reply

RedDog1 6 days ago *(Edited)*

This portion of President Eisenhower's "Military Industrial Complex" seems to have been universally memory holed:

"In this revolution, research has become central; it also becomes more formalized, complex, and costly. A steadily increasing share is conducted for, by, or at the direction of, the Federal government.

Today, the solitary inventor, tinkering in his shop, has been over shadowed by task forces of scientists in laboratories and testing fields. In the same fashion, the free university, historically the fountainhead of free ideas and scientific discovery, has experienced a revolution in the conduct of research. Partly because of the huge costs involved, a government contract becomes virtually a substitute for intellectual curiosity. For every old blackboard there are now hundreds of new electronic computers.

The prospect of domination of the nation's scholars by Federal employment, project allocations, and the power of money is ever present and is gravely to be regarded.

Yet, in holding scientific research and discovery in respect, as we should, we must also be alert to the equal and opposite danger that public policy could itself become the captive of a scientific-technological elite."

▲ 33 ▼ Reply

Perpetual Crisis 6 days ago *(Edited)*

Great quote. As guarded and prescient as this farewell address by Eisenhower was, even he would have been shocked by the completeness and depravity of the takeover of academia by cultural Marxists.

▲ 15 ▼ Reply

ohm 6 days ago

There is something fascinating about science. One gets such wholesale returns of conjecture out of such a trifling investment of fact.

Mark Twain

▲ 7 ▼ 1 Reply

Htos1 5 days ago

He hung out and drank beer with Nikola Tesla-lucky *(&%^$^&$&U!

▲ 2 ▼ Reply

Mother Fletcher 6 days ago *(Edited)*

And then the 1960 election was promptly and provably stolen. Didn't take long to forget his speech.

▲ 8 ▼ Reply

Htos1 5 days ago

After 11/22/63, by 12/63, someone screamed "I WANNA HOLD YOUR HAND!"

But I can see for miles and miles.............

▲ 3 ▼ Reply

willisjr 6 days ago

They are actively re-writing history, to confuse future generations to the Truth of the "Plandemic" of 2020s (which is really just seasonal flu) and all the deaths & injuries resulting from the MotB injections.

Many world leaders, health ministers and hospital doctors who had imposed lockdowns and "jibby jab mandates" are now trying to walk back and alter the records & words of their medical falsehoods & blatant lies.

I foresee that over time, all the Jutube videos / Wikipedias / Twitter rants / official guberment websites that promoted / coerced / enforced the jabs will be removed or EDITED to say that it was all voluntary, so no one is to blame, except YOURSELF for choosing to take the MotB injections. Lol.

Perhaps by the year 2040, as past records are eventually scrubbed, then ANOTHER "Plandemic" can start.

Most of the current generation has already wised up, which is why the recent WHO global plandemic treaty failed to garner support, so the "Global Elites" needs to WAIT for the memories to fade and/or the next "naive" generations to fall in line obediently for the "new-er" special serums.

Similar tactics are being used elsewhere too :

https://www.bitchute.com/video/puAv1Qk882Da/

I read Orwell's 1984 back when I was a 15 year old teen, and almost everything it warned about has come true. in some form or fashion. So good luck to humankind.

"Every record has been destroyed or falsified, every book rewritten, every picture has been repainted, every statue and street building has been renamed, every date has been altered. And the process is continuing day by day and minute by minute. History has stopped. Nothing exists except an endless present in which the Party is always right."

— George Orwell (excerpt from 1984)

▲ 30 ▼ 2 Reply

TheUnderdog 6 days ago

Partial work to document, so people will Remember: They Forced You To Vaccinate.

▲ 10 ▼ Reply

willisjr 6 days ago

Admirable effort but my point is that future generations of Sheeples will unfortunately believe more in the MSM, guberment websites or some "authoritative" figurehead on TV or on some elevated stage.

By the year 2040 or earlier, the "Globalist Elites" can just label all non-mainstream websites on this topic as "Conspiracy Theorists"; while they teach school children (inverted... I mean "revised") history books to arbitrarily claim that 6 billion were actually saved by their "mRNA vaxxines", and that all statistics show those who had died were 99% "un-vaxxed". Then, Mr. Fraudci and Tedros had both shared a Noble Prize for "Humanitarian Achievement" in 2026... and the young Sheeples will all nod in unison to their teacher.

Anyway, genocidal psychopaths NEVER stop... they just need to WAIT for the next "opportunity"...usually on a Friday before some long holiday season to bull-doze through various legislations ;-)

https://www.bitchute.com/video/Yf35M5KluqfR/

The worst part for those who had fallen for the demonic trap, is that they might now be technically NON-humans and will be considered as GMO "hybridized" or "patented" organisms that are literally OWNED by those who hold the patents on those artificial genetic sequences.

This is an old vid but this guy nails it succinctly. https://www.bitchute.com/video/JqioIT70YmY0/

Then, this lady also rightly pointed out that if the germline of those "mRNA vaxxinated" had been modified (LNP - the capsule which holds the mRNA "payload" is already proven to accumulate in ovaries, testicles and bone marrow), then technically & legally, their (future) CHILDREN might also be GMO organism and thus will be OWNED by the Corporations holding those genetic patents... just like some cattle or farm animals?

https://www.bitchute.com/video/rwlHvqeiCaMD/

In other words, those who took the MotB injections might have just F**Ked all their (future) descendants lives, even if they themselves were somehow not injured or killed by the "mRNA vaxxines". And those who were severely injured now can only pray or ask for help from GoFundMe...

Just like this case of a "vaxxine" paralyzed Canadian lady who was encouraged to go die via euthanasia by her guberment /hospital repeatedly : https://www.bitchute.com/video/jMYaxP2Eq1NX/

This is the planet we live in now... I might go read Dante again sometime /s

▲ 13 ▼ Reply

Moros-is-Here 6 days ago

You assume anyone will be around in 2040. Given the frothing at the mouth warmongers of NATO, global thermonuclear war is very likely before then. Even Star Trek referrers to the "post atomic horror."

▲ 5 ▼ Reply

Htos1 5 days ago

Wasn't that done in July 2069 to "honor" America and it's achievements post Apollo? With big "fireworks" and everything!

The WHOLE world was violently FINALLY disgusted at the euro bankers, and went to free energy systems to bypass babylonian/usury systems. The "science class" of society took over adjudication of justice and finances vs the financially controlled political elite lawyer "class'. They were allowed to live, and allowed to live in the rewards OF, but not allowed to participate in the quest for the stars. (EXTREMELY dangerous service/profession where you pledge your mortal life-and to save others) Everyone saw "them" as three eyed purple aliens going forward.

I KNOW! Like boiling cream on an electric burner, it's never happening.

▲ ▼

Wilde1 6 days ago

There is no break between scamdemics. It's one after the other.

The number of sales per scamdemic just increases. The number of countries forced into the WHO plan to take control of every country's pandemic responses just increases.

> *"We're an empire now, and when we act, we create our own reality. And while you're studying that reality - judiciously, as you will - we'll act again, creating other new realities, which you can study too, and that's how things will sort out. We're history's actors... and you, all of you, will be left to just study what we do." - Karl Rove*
>
> *https://www.azquotes.com/quote/646373*

> *European Parliament to Investigate WHO and "Pandemic" Scandal*
>
> *The Council of Europe member states will launch an inquiry in **January 2010** on the influence of the pharmaceutical companies on the global swine flu campaign, focusing especially on extent of the pharma's industry's influence on WHO. The Health Committee of the EU Parliament has unanimously passed a resolution calling for the inquiry.*
>
> *The step is a long-overdue move to public transparency of a **"Golden Triangle" of drug corruption between WHO, the pharma industry and academic scientists that has permanently damaged the lives of millions and even caused death.***
>
> *The parliament motion was introduced by Dr. Wolfgang Wodarg, former SPD Member of the German Bundestag and now chairman of the Health Committee of PACE (Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe). Wodarg is a medical doctor and epidemiologist, a specialist in lung disease and environmental medicine, who considers the current "pandemic" Swine Flu campaign of the WHO to be **"one of the greatest medicine scandals of the Century."**1][1]*
>
> *The text of the resolution just passed by a sufficient number in the Council of Europe Parliament says among other things, "In order to promote their patented drugs and vaccines against flu, pharmaceutical companies influenced scientists and official agencies, responsible for public health standards to alarm governments worldwide and make them squander tight health resources for inefficient vaccine strategies and needlessly expose millions of healthy people to the risk of an unknown amount of side-effects of insufficiently tested vaccines. **The "bird-flu"-campaign (2005/06) combined with the "swine-flu"-campaign seem to have caused a great deal of damage not only to some vaccinated patients and to public health-budgets, but to the credibility and accountability of important international health-agencies."**1][2]*
>
> *The Parliamentary inquiry will look into the issue of ,,falsified pandemic" that was declared by WHO in June 2009 on the advice of its group of academic experts, SAGE, many of whose members have been documented to have intense financial ties to the same pharmaceutical giants such as GlaxoSmithKline, Roche, Novartis, who benefit from the production of drugs and untested H1N1 vaccines. They will investigate the influence of the pharma industry in creation of a worldwide campaign against the so-called H5N1 "Avian Flu" and H1N1 Swine Flu. The inquiry will be given "urgent" priority in the general assembly of the parliament.*
>
> *In his official statement to the Committee, Wodarg criticized the influence of the pharma industry on scientists and officials of WHO, stating that it has led to the situation where **"unnecessarily millions of healthy people are exposed to the risk of poorly tested vaccines,"** and that, for a flu strain that is "vastly less harmful" than all previous flu epidemics.*
> *..................................*
>
> *https://healthcare-in-europe.com/en/news/european-parliament-to-investigate-who-pandemic-scandal.html*

> *IT'S HERE: FDA Warns 25% Kill Rate in New Virus*
>
> *On the eve of the vote on the WHO's Global Pandemic Treaty, FDA Commissioner Dr. Robert Califf issued a stark warning on capitol hill surrounding Bird Flu:*
>
> *'This virus, like all viruses, is mutating,' he told the policymakers. 'We need to continue to prepare for the possibility that it might jump to humans.*
>
> *[The] real worry is that it will jump to the human lungs where, when that has happened in other parts of the world... the mortality rate has been 25 percent.*
>
> *We gotta have testing, gotta have anti-virals and **we need to have a vaccine ready to go**."*
>
> *https://www.zerohedge.com/sponsored-post/its-here-fda-warns-25-kill-rate-new-virus*

https://www.zerohedge.com/markets/vaccine-stocks-erupt-after-bird-flu-cases-reported-us-australia

▲ 5 ▼ Reply

Mother Fletcher 6 days ago

I dunno. Milli Vanilli's videos are still there, as is Genesis' 'Illegal Alien'.

▲ 3 ▼ Reply

Htos1 5 days ago *(Edited)*

I have BOTH!

The Genesis cd from Jan. 1983 was burned on the 40 ton SIEMENS system in Berlin at the time.

Collectable. I bought in '84.

The Milli Vanilli-bought it for a dime in 1997-because collectable now-original printing/release.

▲ 2 ▼ Reply

Street Chief Martin 6 days ago

She turned her tender eyes to me, then failed me in biology. Huh. Huh. Huh.

It's poetry in motion. Now she's making love to me. The spheres are in commotion, the stars are in harmony. Then she hit me with technology.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply •

InsProgs 6 days ago

(Eee-ooh-ooh)

▲ 1 ▼ Reply •

ETWinOdd 6 days ago

"Pubic Culture"

Thomas Dolby

▲ 1 ▼ Reply •

Elon_Jack Ripper 6 days ago

The reason leftists and homosexuals had traditionally been pushed to the edges of society isn't because they like anal sex, weird shit and are annoying. It's because they're morally indignant and cannot be trusted in any position of authority.

▲ 55 ▼ 2 Reply •

wom1027 PREMIUM 6 days ago

They're going to get the Middle Ages treatment they deserve in the future.

▲ 31 ▼ 1 Reply •

Elon_Jack Ripper 6 days ago

At the age of 21 in 1986, I had to pass a five hour lifestyle polygraph as a condition of employment. The questions included "have you ever had sex with another male, sex with family members, jerked off in front of a mirror" and a slew of other weird shit. I recall feeling sad for humanity when I completed it, because it never occurred to me that there were people who did that weird shit. Now they're in charge.

▲ 23 ▼ Reply •

Re_Pretzel_Logic 6 days ago

And, as you now know, there are people who do much, much worse than that. More of them than we care to realize. It was nice being innocent, wasn't it? Much more peaceful.

▲ 31 ▼ 1 Reply •

Elon_Jack Ripper 6 days ago

It certainly was. It wasn't better place.

▲ 16 ▼ Reply •

Tarzan 6 days ago

What's worse, we're learning more every day, those in charge have it seems been up to this weird crap all along, since lone before we were born.

The whole world is run by criminal Syndicates of one sort or another, all tied to a systematic criminal banking cartel who profit mostly from perpetual wars, leaving the winning and losing nations of every war further in debt to the international banking cartel.

This cartel planned America's capture in a room off the coast of Georgia that was built over a stone altar where centuries earlier a tribe of 8' giants ruled and would hack the heads of babies off, pouring babies blood onto a stone altar. The Federal Reserve was planned in secret in a cabin built over that alter, an alter that still exists today, protected by the State of Georgia. Yeah, people do "weird shit".

Did you know there is evidence that suggests America derived it's name from ancient tribes that dominated North and South America, not some explorer, and that it's root meaning refers to a land of the fire serpent, or in modern vernacular, land of Satan?

Wolves come dressed as a lamb, and America is the opposite of what it seems.

▲ 12 ▼ 1

Re_Pretzel_Logic 6 days ago

Yep, that sounds right. There is a lot of nasty throughout history, American included.

I've been to Jekyll Island, many years ago. Didn't know about that bloody altar.

Altar on Jekyll Island - https://search.brave.com/search?q=jekyll+island+altar&ext=1&ssrc=desktopSummary+1&summary_oo=84ef4c9e27c71a7d5e8468

I will remind that Georgia was also the location of the Georgia Guidestones, about 1 hour outside Atlanta. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Georgia_Guidestones

There's probably some sick, twisted historical aspect to that land they were erected on.

▲ 12 ▼

Tarzan 6 days ago

@ND_pretzel_logic

My wife lived on Jekyll Island, her step father was the local lawyer back in the 70s. She and her friends roamed those woods, looking for the albino deer mostly. We've been there many times, but neither of us had any idea what happened on that island.

▲ 7 ▼

wom1027 PREMIUM 6 days ago

That's the truth. It's all by design. The mail power brokers know exactly who to use to execute their agenda. They have no conscience. How could they? What's killing someone's or denying their human rights when you've been screwed by a family member?

▲ 15 ▼ Reply •

ceeee 6 days ago

In Australia, ABC has reported on this issue, reflecting concerns over diminishing public trust in universities, **which are increasingly seen as businesses rather than educational institutions.** This perception is fueled by incidents where universities, **driven by financial incentives**, overlook academic fraud.

So you're saying...taking in academically below average students...and then inducing them to sign personal contracts to take on insurmountable debt...in order to give them a piece of paper at graduation...qualifying them as experts in the field of climate science...sounds more like...a dirty business practice...

...being run by charlatans, con men and criminals for their own self enrichment rather than...institutions of higher learning for the best & brightest of our youth for the betterment of all mankind?

What a novel theory!

We should find a way to scientifically test this ;-)

▲ 11 ▼ Reply •

Lord Corona 6 days ago

So they let me join the federal government

▲ ▼ Reply •

Lord Corona 6 days ago

Low level, because I didn't have to jack off in a coffin. Or finger Geronimo's skull.

▲ 5 ▼ Reply •

Rickettsiowell 6 days ago

There's still time.

▲ 3 ▼

tab1775 6 days ago

they were always in charge, they were just better about hiding their degeneracy instead of flaunting it in front of the whole world

▲ 10 ▼ Reply •

ohm 6 days ago

At the age of 21 in 1986, I had to pass a five hour lifestyle polygraph as a condition of employment

In 1980, I was told during orientation to notify the company of change of name, address and sex. I laughed because I thought it was a joke but was then informed they had two sex changes the previous year. None of this is new folks.

▲ 8 ▼ 2 Reply •

Leisdrinker_fdt 6 days ago

I didn't realize you were that old ohm

▲ 2 ▼ Reply •

ohm 6 days ago (Edited)

Yep. And back then the company provided free medical insurance with no deductible or co-pay or network restrictions which also paid for the sex changes.

▲ 1 ▼ 1

Jacks Jump 6 days ago

"sex with a family member"?????

▲ 3 ▼ Reply •

serfergirl 6 days ago

If you answer most of those questions in the affirmitive, you can write your own ticket in the deepstate.

▲ 5 ▼ Reply •

Mother Fletcher 6 days ago

Well, I've jerked off in front of a mirror.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply •

Lurk Skywatcher 6 days ago

cannot be trusted in any position of authority.

Them having authority would not be a problem if the world wasnt mostly midwits who cant question authority.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply •

Mother Fletcher 6 days ago

Homosexuals, broads, Jews and blacks.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply •

ethan1 5 days ago

Chicago is gonna BE LIT in August!!!

▲ 1 ▼ Reply •

dsterling 6 days ago

The peer review process is a scam.

A tool use to suppress into they don't like, and to promote their fake narratives

▲ 48 ▼ Reply •

ohm 6 days ago

Except for the peer reviewed papers that say Ivermectin is effective against Covid, right germ theory tards?

▲ ▼ Reply •

Redsleeping 6 days ago

Go back in your little gigaohm.

We know you take pharma's money on the side to try to discredit the movement.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply •

Re_Pretzel_Logic 6 days ago

This article reminds me of that Rick Singer guy, who took big checks from wealthy parents to get their lame kids into prominent colleges by fraudulent means.

Just expect everything to be crooked and be pleasantly surprised when something turns out not to be. It's a better strategy.

▲ 12 ▼ 1 Reply •

Lord Corona 6 days ago

It's a big club... and if you don't toe the line, they use it to sodomize you.

▲ 4 ▼ Reply •

serfergirl 6 days ago

Exactly, and there's no such thing as blind peer review because the journal's board can screen out a paper and not send it for peer review. They're basically just sentinels protecting the establishment.

▲ 4 ▼ Reply •

Jolly Biden 6 days ago

The peer review process like our politicians is bought and paid for to further {{their}} agendas and increase {{their}} shareholder profits.

▲ 2 ▼ Reply •

GlobalCon 6 days ago

Peer reviewed has simply come to mean "grant money whore produced" in most cases.....

▲ 13 ▼ Reply •

tab1775 6 days ago

they demoralized some folks

▲ 6 ▼ Reply •

Lord Corona 6 days ago

Publish or perish, a scientific method we can bet the farm on.

▲ 3 ▼ Reply •

Yikes Stripes 6 days ago

It means GROUP THINK.

▲ 2 ▼ Reply •

Howdydoody 6 days ago

Big Pharma employs ghost writers who are skilled at gaming the system to get papers past peer review.

▲ 3 ▼ Reply •

ipok_facts 6 days ago

It is because 'academic integrity' is in reality been a fraud for decades.

▲ 34 ▼ Reply •

Re_Pretzel_Logic 6 days ago

BOOM! ^^^^^

▲ 7 ▼ Reply •

ohm 6 days ago

It's because peer reviewers are anonymous and can sabotage their competitors with abandon.

▲ 3 ▼ Reply •

Lord Corona 6 days ago

The view from ivory towers is a rarified air. They know what is best for the meek deplorable working in the field.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply •

AnonymousBadCredit 6 days ago (Edited)

It's crazy out there.

I read somewhere that a Hardvard college president was even too stupid to use AI to write her PhD thesis paper and fake people out that she wrote it herself, so she plagiarized much of it from another person who actually wrote the paper.

True story! Hardvard! Of all places.

▲ 33 ▼ 1 Reply •

Zero 6 days ago (Edited)

"I read somewhere that a Hardvard college president was even too stupid to use AI..."

...between '97 and '01??

▲ 5 ▼ Reply •

Twitchet_dread. 6 days ago

They've had artificial insemination for a very long time

▲ 5 ▼ Reply •

Elon_Jack Ripper 6 days ago

Sir, I learned from Thurston Howell III that the correct pronunciation is Haavard.

▲ 11 ▼ Reply •

Jacks Jump 6 days ago

are the alumni Hardyarks?

▲ 1 ▼ Reply •

yo_right 6 days ago

Those that can, do.

Those that can't do, teach.

Those that can't do nor teach, administrate.

▲ 11 ▼ Reply •

Obamainomist 6 days ago (Edited)

Back in the late 90s my nephew was at college and said a class mate went to do a study of Squirrels. He ask for $2500 funding and was rejected. The professor said to change the title to Squirrels and how they are effected by Climate change. He got $8000 funding and wrote 200 words on climate and squirrels. in a 10000 word thesis Even back then it was corrupt

▲ 27 ▼ Reply •

Judge Smalls 6 days ago

It's not only "if you peer-review mine, I'll peer-review yours." It's that 80 percent of the research and/or hypotheses in these papers are ***not repeatable,*** therefore bogus. That's the big scandal.

▲ 27 ▼ Reply •

Jacks Jump 6 days ago

or falsifiable. Or, if they are, TPTB make sure noone ever tries.

▲ 5 ▼ Reply •

I Write Code 6 days ago (Edited)

The major journals were totally corrupt and incompetent during COVID.

ALL of them.

Shameful. Sick jokes, no kidding folks. Must have thought they were WaPo.

And we need not even talk about the CDC publishing their own tripe, that couldn't even meet the corrupt journals' standards.

▲ 23 ▼ Reply •

AM1 6 days ago

And now WHO wants to create a superstate vaccine regime run by them!

We can't vote our way out of this.

It is time for resistance, refusal and revolt on a global scale.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply •

I Write Code 6 days ago (Edited)

You are prepared to cheat, to forge, to blackmail, to corrupt the minds of children, to distribute habit-forming drugs, to encourage prostitution, to disseminate venereal diseases—to do anything which is likely to cause demoralization and weaken the power of the Party? If, for example, it would somehow serve our interests to throw sulphuric acid in a child's face—are you prepared to do that?

/Orwell 1984

/FB 2024

▲ 10 ▼ Reply •

motorbeating cob 6 days ago

You have earned our mistrust.

Last needle I took was in 1994. So called flu shot from uncle sugar gave me the flu and a 104° temp for four days. Never again, it was time to leave these idiots anyways, and no I do not want to fly around the world dropping off bullets and bombs.

Then (forget what year, would like to view it again) I saw a round table on pbs discussing what to do in a pandemic, there were so called leaders of science, media, government, etc discussing what came to be the pandemic. At the time I thought what is Bill Gates doing there?

Then Gates says that the population can be REDUCED through vaccines. Huh?

Mistrust is EARNED

▲ 13 ▼ Reply •

aarockstar 6 days ago

Amen. I was prepped w IV in my arm at Kaiser for a colonoscopy when a nurse (?) with clipboard under her arm asked me if I wanted a flu shot….. after the previous days degradation in the bathroom, I almost kicked her.

▲3 ▼ Reply

aarockstar 6 days ago

No, I dont want your flu shot, your covid boosters, your elder pneumonia shots, your UPV 50 sunscreen, etc etc etc…

Give me a 5 miles a day walk and sunshine like the good Lord designed….

▲12 ▼ Reply

---

hollywoodguy 6 days ago

Peer reviewed? C'mon man. It's been 'pal review' for at least a decade.

▲18 ▼ Reply

willisjr 6 days ago

Those "Scientism" goons are not pals with each other.

Its mostly greed or pushing ideological agendas greased with money, so it should be called "Pay-per-Review".

▲5 ▼ Reply

---

onceyouhave 6 days ago

There are zero scientific publications worth reading today.

Science has been captured and arrested century+ ago. Old worn bogus theories still with us. Dubious reliance on theoretical and mathematical modeling. And abandoning empirical methods.

Meh. I have been my own scientist for few years now.

▲17 ▼ Reply

Ted_010 6 days ago

It's science vs scientism, people love a good new religion.

▲10 ▼ Reply

AA1 6 days ago

People fought for religious freedom back in the days when they burned witches.

It is time to fight for religious freedom now again, as for many global warming has become a religion.

No amount of logic, common sense or science is going to help here. These people are too far gone.

It is going to be war~!

▲9 ▼ Reply

umdesch4 6 days ago

Well I dunno. Back in 2018 there were some white papers talking about how mRNA vaccine testing had killed over half of the animals it was tested on, rendering it unfit for human trials. I read those. I even bought some of them and have pdf copies of 'em from before they were all pulled offline in 2020, for some crazy unknown reason. Go figure.

▲14 ▼ Reply

Real Angus McHugePenis 6 days ago

Mostly peaceful peer reviewed fraud.

▲17 ▼ Reply

Lord Corona 6 days ago

Fact checked by the most credible cis gendered lesbians identifying as dolphins.

▲10 ▼ Reply

---

secretargentman 6 days ago

This whole civilization is rife with fraud and corruption. Like a huge tree that's 90% hollow inside.

▲16 ▼ Reply

Cardinal Fang 6 days ago

I'd go for 99%

Civilization is a very thin veneer over a very ugly baseline.

▲12 ▼ Reply

Fred Hayek 6 days ago

In the early 2000's, when global warming (now rebranded "climate change") was flaring up with the publication of Mann's "Hockey Stick" path of temperature rise claim, Canadian mining engineer Stephen McIntyre asked to see the proof. He eventually got to review some papers for one academic journal in the climate discipline. He asked to see the raw data used in the paper and the program used to analyze it. They told him no one had ever asked for those things before. So much for the value of "peer review". He pretty conclusively proved that Mann was a fraud and that his program would turn any set of data into a rising hockey stick shaped graph.

McIntyre found that other papers regarded as serious proof of global warming (again, since rebranded) included one analyzing Finnish lake sediments that had "accidentally" reversed the data to say that there was global warming, and another that, when he was finally able to obtain the data, professed to prove that the world was heating up due to human activity based on a single tree in Siberia.

Global warming/climate change is a giant fraud.

▲15 ▼ Reply

BandGap 6 days ago

In the 70s the fear porn was global cooling.

▲7 ▼ Reply

SausageWizard 6 days ago

and in the 80's it was the Rainforests. There would be no oxygen left.

The ministry of propaganda has a new lie for each decade.

▲6 ▼ Reply

Schooey 6 days ago

And this Mann character is still employed and speaks at global warming cultist events.

▲3 ▼ Reply

Codesmith PREMIUM 6 days ago *(Edited)*

Thank you for posting this.

Steve McIntyre is still largely an unsung hero, especially in academic circles.

What gave McIntyre his edge was a career of inspecting and reviewing mining assay reports from companies trying to claim they had workable mineral deposiits. He is an expert in spotting fraud in statistics.

Perhaps he also took Mark Twain's advice on mining reports: "A gold mine is a hole in the ground with a liar standing on top."

McIntyre will eventually earn a well deserved mention in thie history of science.

▲5 ▼ Reply

Yikes Stripes 5 days ago

Thanks.

Never heard that Mark Twain quote before:

"A gold mine is a hole in the ground with a liar standing on top."

Makes me think of all Government agencies....

"A government agency is a hole in the ground with a liar standing on top."

▲5 ▼1 Reply

ZIRPdiggler 6 days ago

Shut up sheep. Take your death shots.

Trust the fauci science.

Eat GMOs and zee bugz.

Take your SSRIs and O-o-o-ozempic

▲15 ▼ Reply

---

joethegorilla 6 days ago

Some people call me cynical and negative. Maybe I am. But I believe 99% of what I read or hear is bullshit. And I'm almost never disappointed.

▲15 ▼ Reply

DayWear 6 days ago

11,000 retractions is outright fraud. Shut that journal down.

▲14 ▼ Reply

DickJones 6 days ago

Now, re-review all the convid-19 journals.

▲14 ▼ Reply

OrangeCircles100 6 days ago

Frist, if it's coming from our corrupt criminal gov..IT AINT SCIENCE.. IT'S BS LIES/MISINFORMATION.

Second, our gov CANNOT BE TRUSTED - PERIOD.

▲14 ▼ Reply

---

dustnwind 6 days ago

I'm guessing 11,000 isn't half of the actual number of garbage papers. Probably not even a third. I'm guessing it's just the papers that were obvious BS after reading the first few sentences.

▲14 ▼ Reply

ohm 6 days ago

Correct. Almost all research papers are garbage.

https://journals.plos.org/plosmedicine/article?id=10.1371/journal.pmed.0020124

> *Why Most Published Research Findings Are False*

▲4 ▼1 Reply

---

whittler 6 days ago

Been going on for decades.

Various groups (guys at a college) and individuals have been submitting for publication fake papers to peer reviewed journals for bragging rights/shits and giggles for at least 35 years.

Last I read about in Science News (.org, the real one from 1920) was an actual professional/organized submission of 25 papers. ALL were 'peer' reviewed (passed through the circle jerk 'machine') and published. All 25 were all just gobble goop.

Circle Jerk (peer reviewed) Journals have always been a scam.

Remember Piltdown Man? The British guy pushing it (today AKA Fauci/Fraudsky and NIH-CDC friends) was at the top so everyone had to agree that it was real unless they wanted to be black listed. After his death Piltdown 'became' a widely known fraud.

▲14 ▼1 Reply

Orly 6 days ago *(Edited)*

A female woke professor out of Britain published a paper that was a 'bombshell' that the mask of Tutankhamun had to be made for a woman because the mask sported earrings! Yes...because no man ever wore earrings, especially a pharaoh! Two giant holes in the pharaoh's ears were apparent since day one, by the way.

After much acclaim, she was put in her place because, indeed, the pharaohs wore earrings and the mask did not belong to Neferneferuaten (Nefertiti snuck herself on the throne, you see...) but actually belonged to a male pharaoh.

What they don't tell you at all is that the mask of Tutankhamun was actually the mask of his cousin and previous pharaoh named Semenkhkare. So the guy you have been told is King Tut since the 1920s is not him at all.

▲3 ▼ Reply

Juche Tony 6 days ago

"Semen..." ng ng ng.

▲1 ▼ Reply

I Write Code 6 days ago *(Edited)*

> *Various groups (guys at a college) and individuals have been submitting for publication fake papers to peer reviewed journals for bragging rights/shits and giggles for at least 35 years.*

Mostly the journal *Playboy Advisor*.

The Steele Dossier was *exactly* what one of those shits&giggles things looks like.

Playboy Advisor probably knew, most of the time, that it was fake but just didn't care, rather like the FBI.

▲3 ▼ Reply

---

k-nock k-nock 6 days ago *(Edited)*

When the organization "science" told me wear a painters mask and stay 6 feet apart they forever lost my support!

▲13 ▼ Reply

Ant 6 days ago

> *an ant colony was bizarrely rebranded as an "underground creepy crawly state."*

how dare you

▲13 ▼ Reply

Maghreb1331 6 days ago

??????????

▲ ▼1 Reply

Lord Corona 6 days ago

An authoritarian matriarchy.

▲3 ▼ Reply

Juche Tony 6 days ago

colonialism is not ok, dude.

where's my compensation?

▲1 ▼ Reply

---

honest injun 6 days ago

This is only one form of fraud that is rampant today. Others include faking the data and political funding. If you want to do research on the striped minnow you don't get funded but if you want to do research on how climate change effects the striped minnow, you get funded.

▲12 ▼ Reply

---

misc.etc 6 days ago

"Peer-reviewed" is only as good as the 'peers' and we all know how stupid they are.

▲12 ▼1 Reply

BandGap 6 days ago

That makes zero sense.

▲ ▼5 Reply

MyOpinion141 6 days ago

Modern science is determined by a collection of paid idiots possessing fake diploma emanating from fake studies who vote whether the science meets with their opinions. Many of them are in government.

▲12 ▼ Reply

LouTurks PREMIUM 6 days ago

So same old same old.

▲3 ▼ Reply

---

fortressamericana 6 days ago

I warned the journal Science over twenty years ago about fake and fraudulent articles. I am pretty sure my letter ended up in their trash.

▲11 ▼8 Reply

Barbacoa 6 days ago

Sponsors approve of the garbage content

Big pharma has extra sugar for every one, as needed. But no Elloquis

▲1 ▼ Reply

MaF 6 days ago

I don't think I'd trust a naked gobbledygook sandwich as is.

▲11 ▼ Reply

No_Pretzel_Logic 6 days ago

I'll consider it after you take a bite first. I'll watch and see what happens...lol.

Like I did with the toxic Covid injections. My suspicions were confirmed. RIP Hank Aaron.

▲5 ▼1 Reply

Luc X. Ifer 6 days ago *(Edited)*

["Science" ... Just Retracted ... "Peer Reviewed" Papers] - where is the shock?! This is normality, this is what good practiced science is. Science must be done in a non-ending control loop offering the opportunity to re-evaluate and adjust.

What about religion? 100% of all papers shall be retracted, forgotten and burned.

Same about all other ideologies - especially politics.

Indeed, the title actually shows that *science works*, that it is the real deal as it has built-in an immunitary mechanism to clean-up itself, not like ideologies.

▲ ▼5 Reply

JRobby 6 days ago *(Edited)*

"that were determined to be fake without ever noticing"

https://m.youtube.com/watch?v=4wc7SG8mHWc

▲ ▼ Reply

Norm Corin 6 days ago *(Edited)*

"Indeed, the title actually shows that *science works* ..."

Yeah, well, I don't think unlimited *peer-reviewed and accepted* article *retractions* can be construed as part of *any* kind of optimal process. Peer review is the proper brake-point -- not the retraction.

If the current science was done properly, but future science also done properly overturns that (in a later publication, with further insights), *that* is much closer to how science progresses (i.e. overturns the old science) when it's working properly.

▲1 ▼ Reply

Luc X. Ifer 5 days ago

"Peer review is the proper brake-point -"

Nope. There is plethora of former scientific results with peer reviews which where invalidated later and everyone proved mistaken. It happens, at least the mechanism is built-in and works.

▲ ▼1 Reply

Norm Corin 5 days ago

"There is **plethora** of former scientific results with peer reviews which where invalidated **and everyone proved mistaken**"

By "former scientific results" I assume you mean cases of previously "settled", or at least quiesced, science. If you've reached this point in the fields you are familiar with, it's troubling. If I understand you correctly, the methods themselves by which science (in those fields) is being performed are frequently (perpetually?) being invalidated. A meta problem, and a dangerous one.

▲ ▼

Luc X. Ifer 5 days ago

@Norm Corn

Full of BS u r.

"If I understand you correctly, the methods themselves by which science (in those fields) is being performed are frequently (perpetually?) being invalidated."

Nope, you try to generalize to create a perception when the reality is different.

The methods are validated by the process itself, it is discovered they where not properly used and followed, rhat's the reason results get invalidated.

In relation, religion fails continously 100% *every* time when scrutinized trough scientific and phylosophical process and metbods.

▲ ▼1

Ex-Ex-Oligarch 6 days ago
Wiley did a $298 million acquisition of a company whose assets turned out to be worthless.

The real question is: what idiot did the due diligence?

▲1 ▼ Reply

crazybob369 5 days ago
Here is the reality: Science no longer exists. The motivation of modern day scientists is not to make new discoveries, but rather to write papers to satisfy the grant givers and persuade them to part with more grant money. Case in point: scientist claims that there is no evidence of man-made climate change. Great job, thank you. But no more funding needed. Scientist claims that there might be a link between industrial activity and climate change. More studies needed. Great job. Here's $10million more to get to the bottom of this.

Tell me where the incentive for real science is. There is none. Science goes where the money tells them to go.

▲10 ▼ Reply

Messystateofaffairs2 5 days ago
Everything goes where the money tells them to go, that's Babylonian Money Magik.

▲2 ▼ Reply

Biggcatt1 6 days ago
The entire world has become a grift.

▲10 ▼ Reply

Meganeura 6 days ago
It kind of always was...

▲6 ▼ Reply

Htos1 5 days ago
"Worshiping" money....

▲ ▼ Reply

Soloamber 5 days ago
This in part is how the" earth has a fever" fraud has been perpetuated .

The IPCC hires academics so review papers to validate the earth has a fever hoax .

Thousands of papers are repackaged to look legit when they are wholly or partially a scam .

The original authors stay secret after being paid off and the IPCC says see look at the science . we have proof.

The con artist insiders know sooner or later they will be caught so they change the narrative to

be "the science is settled " . Nothing to see here folks . .

Who in this world is going to sift through all these papers to call bull shit ?

Someone did . Now we learn that a growing percent are just puked out by AI manipulation .

1,600 scientists just wrote the Government of California .

Their message " THERE IS NO CLIMATE EMERGENCY " .

This massive fraud is done . Tens of thousands died , $TRILLIONS pissed asway over a scam .

That's the real inconvenient truth .

▲8 ▼ Reply

dmsii 6 days ago
Science says chicks can have d*cks. Enough said.

▲9 ▼ Reply

AlexCat3741 6 days ago
No truer words have been spoken:

""It's not just a scam, it's an industry,""

It begins with taxpayer funding Universities and Colleges to have Doctoral Programs for Science. An alleged benefit is so that the Federal Government may contract to have research work done for them, e.g., DARPA. A candidate gets a fully paid scholarship to be educated (or is it "Indoctrinated") and upon years absorbing the subject matter, even while involved in conducting a USG Research Contract, a PhD Degree is granted.

Depending on the discipline of the PhD Degree, the person can start as high as $200 K per year while most are North of $100 K per year to start. So next, the task is securing a feeding trough to get onto the "Research Gravy Train."

The USDA does lots of Research as do other Federal Government Institutions. A PhD can get a "Job for Life" studying endlessley a subject, e.g., Global Warming, Climate Change.

If the PhD is related to Archaeology, they can take decades to dig up an ancient city like Pompei to investigate what killed its inhabitants around 79AD [HINT: Volcano Vesuvius is nearby] and get funding from PBS that is funded by taxpayers to produce a show to solicit donations.

Always, there is a need to produce an Investigation of something and to write a paper to report on the endeavor, no matter the subject does little to benefit those paying the costs.

Of the billions that are spent employing these PhD credentialed people, ask what benefit to the Public that paid for it may be. Former Senator William Proxmire (D) used to author his "Golden Fleece Awards" that publicized a small amount of the chicanery related to the biggest, most ridiculous or most ironic example of government spending, typically asinine Research Projects. Current Senator Rand Paul (R) publishes his "Festivus Report" to fill the void of Senator Proxmire's "Golden Fleece Award" and former Senator Tom Coburn's (R) "Waste Book."

Then there is that $6 Billion Research Slush Fund that was controlled by Tony Fauci to pay for Gain of Function Research that most taxpayers never knew about. We wonder how many more Nefarious Actors likfe Fauci may be conducting similar "Research" and consuming billions of taxpayer's money. Who is in control of Fauci's $6 Billion Research Slush Fund now that he's retired to earn $350K/year while having 24/7 Secret Service Protection that amounts to being in jail where he rightfully belongs if not ona scaffold.

▲8 ▼ Reply

I Write Code 6 days ago *(Edited)*
Proxmire's awards would sometimes hit some very reasonable stuff, there is good research out there y'know, ... but perhaps a shrinking percentage over time, so it was good that he was on the look-out.

And that Pompeii show on PBS that was just repeated last night, is pretty good, and the research interesting. No, it doesn't cure cancer, but it's remarkable that nobody in the last 100 or 2000 years even tried to go look (that is, in an area plundered for the last 2000 years they managed to find a big section still intact), and seeing well-preserved Roman ruins is almost always interesting, and for the price of one F-35 you can dig up, film, and publish about a thousand ancient cities. And when they're done they have another tourist site, or land for some new condos, whichever.

Of course they know what killed them, but the question is more, how many were brave or stupid enough to hang around an active volcano as it tuned up? How many had the sense to run the second it went big-time? How far away did they have to be?

[BBC - History - Pompeii: Portents of Disaster]

They say that when (not if) Mammoth Mountain in California blows again it will likely cover Los Angeles in several feet of ash, of course probably an improvement but even so, some idea of exactly what happens is worth a little research.

And, now and then, today, just CO2 spouts asphyxiate a few cross-country skiers down along the creek, but people still go there in the thousands, LOL.

▲4 ▼ Reply

Pureblud 6 days ago
During FAUXvid, I tried to "follow the science", but it was nowhere to be found.

However, once I decided to follow the money, voila, I found the science

▲8 ▼ Reply

Lt. Shicekopf 6 days ago
Very wise. A return to the way it used to be. "Follow the money" used to be the mantra and then something happened.

▲2 ▼ Reply

yerfej 6 days ago
Its all as a result of the progressives (liars and grifters) taking over education and universities some 60 or 70 years ago. They're con artists the whole bunch of them. They don't care about anything other than their own personal gain and they do it by destroying anyone who's honest and productive.

▲7 ▼ Reply

Schooey 6 days ago
It has been a long march indeed.

▲4 ▼ Reply

Jack of All Trades 6 days ago
It is difficult to get a man to understand something, when his salary depends upon his not understanding it.

▲7 ▼ Reply

MortAuxCons 6 days ago *(Edited)*
Great statement. From Upton Sinclair.

▲1 ▼ Reply

YourWorld77 PREMIUM 6 days ago
Trust The "Science"...That Just Retracted 11,000 "Peer Reviewed" Papers."

This is no revelation to anybody with critical thinking skills. Everything these days are geared to the elites to gain more money and control. If only the divisions created by these evil pos could be mended, they'd be in a world of hurt. We outnumbered these psychopaths and if we all got on the same page, they'd be heading directly to their underground bunkers. Stop all the hate and division let's keep healing our world to unify against this evil.

▲7 ▼ Reply

Mario Landavoz 6 days ago
"...the industry is developing AI tools to detect these fakes"

AI to detect fake papers generated by AI? So AI will write the papers, and AI will read the papers. OK.

▲7 ▼ Reply

secretargentman 6 days ago
Up next, they'll build a machine that masturbates.

▲3 ▼ Reply

Cardinal Fang 6 days ago *(Edited)*
Lol

I never heard about that one in all the studying I've done on Kurzweil.

I guess that's what they really mean by the 'Technological Singularity'

▲ ▼ Reply

motorboating sob 6 days ago
You CAN trust the science.

Trust it to say whatever the money tells it to say.

NOTHING else.

▲7 ▼ Reply

secretargentman 6 days ago
"Follow the money" and "qui bono" are fantastic tools for those who want the truth.

▲1 ▼ Reply

ZH Snob 6 days ago
four years too late, but the unvaxxed are finally vindicated.

all of the sudden it's hard to find anyone that was vaxxed. no one wants to appear stupid or foolish, so they either avoid the subject altogether or deny their decision. they all ebb and flow with popular opinion, not factual research.

▲7 ▼2 Reply

BandGap 6 days ago
They avoid it because they know they are in serious trouble. Two friends, one in their 30s and one in his 50s, have cancer. They know where it came from.

▲4 ▼2 Reply

ZH Snob 6 days ago *(Edited)*
you have to hand it to these guys. if they'd offered a shot that just killed everyone instantly, they would have only gotten a fraction of the people. instead, they gave everyone a poison that kills over a period of years, and they all die from things that people commonly die from: heart attacks and cancers. so who can claim the people are dying from a vax they took years ago?

▲3 ▼1 Reply

BanjoMama 6 days ago
Hopefully your friends and others will kill a politician or bankster before checking out.

▲ ▼ Reply

Joe Asshole 6 days ago
Because it is 'publish or perish' in the academic world. The best situation for a professor is to get some grad student slaves that do research under the 'supervision of the professor' who does some editing here and there and puts his name on the paper. And voila, another publication under your belt.

I worked on several EU projects and I have seen some papers developed which were fishy. The methods for collection of data were often dubious and quite often statistically insignificant due to lack of subjects and the lack of control groups. The data gets strewed to fit a hypothetical hypothesis which the researchers try to prove and establish. This most often the case in social sciences research.

▲7 ▼ Reply

Jesus_of_Nazareth_00 6 days ago
"The Data gets strewed to fit an hypothetical hypothesis."

Absolutely! Ask any geologist about carbon dating, potassium argon or helium atom diffusion testing. Dr. Mark Armitage was terminated from his position at CalTech for releasing specific information regarding the age of dinosaurs. Bear with me here. He just released the data and was fired as a result. Dr. Willard Libby, the father of carbon dating, has a thought provoking quote.
https://www.cbsnews.com/amp/losangeles/news/scientist-alleges-csun-fired-him-for-discovery-of-soft-tissue-on-dinosaur-fossil/

https://blog.drwile.com/another-dinosaur-soft-tissue-discovery-by-mark-armitage/

"You read statements in books that such and such a society or archeological site is 20,000 years old. We learned rather abruptly that these numbers, these ancient ages, are not known accurately; in fact, it is at about the time of the First Dynasty in Egypt that the first historical date of any real certainty has been established." - Dr. Willard Libby

https://www.acs.org/education/whatischemistry/landmarks/radiocarbon-dating.html

"But my recent advice to my graduate students has been direct and revealing: If you disagree with theories of evolution, keep it to yourselves if you value your careers, unless you're one of those champions for proclamation; I know that that fire exists in some, so be ready for lead-ridden limbs. But if the scientific community has taken these shots at senior faculty, it will not be comfortable for the young non-conformist." - Dr. James Tour, Professor of Chemistry, Professor of Materials Science and Nanoengineering, Rice University.
https://profiles.rice.edu/faculty/james-tour

▲2 ▼1 Reply

Dash8 6 days ago
Social sciences?

You mean lefty shit?

Never believed it anyway.

▲6 ▼ Reply

Offthebeach 6 days ago
" Directed Cognition".

Shills.

▲1 ▼2 Reply

True Blue 6 days ago
When "money" becomes meaningless tokens to be printed at will with no underlying intrinsic value corruption flows in its wake and corrupts everything else.

▲7 ▼ Reply

big_hamburger 6 days ago *(Edited)*
(1) Trump is a well-known germophobe so I am quite sure he did not touch either that ancient neurotic b*tch who calls her cat "Vagina"; Trump surely did not touch that sloppy-seconds, **gorilla-breasts**, dragging-on-the-pavement beef-curtains Stormy...

(2) But I sure wish for Trump's sake that he had read **The Science!(TM)** about **Bosom Peril!** before he ever posed for pics with her; he would've been forewarned.

▲7 ▼ Reply

consider me gone 6 days ago *(Edited)*
He'll, the physics community has been trying to prove string theory for 50 years with zero success. How many peer reviewed papers have they put out? The reviewer for the New England Journal of Medicine said 55% of the papers put out were garbage.

▲7 ▼ Reply

Detective Miller 6 days ago
Come on man! String cheese is one of the greatest inventions!

▲4 ▼ Reply

Orly 6 days ago
Nasty.

▲ ▼ Reply

Juche Tony 6 days ago
party string is even cleverer.

▲1 ▼ Reply

smp21 6 days ago *(Edited)*
Academics and Research Scientists are some of the biggest frauds running around society; they will say or do anything to keep the government money rolling in.

▲7 ▼ Reply

Stuck on Zero 6 days ago
I'm wondering if we shouldn't replace review editors with AI. That goes for the US President, too.

▲7 ▼ Reply

desertdog 6 days ago
AI only does what it is programmed to do.

▲10 ▼ Reply

Lord Corona 6 days ago
Max Headroom says hold my jelly beans.

▲2 ▼ Reply

QuiteShocking 5 days ago *(Edited)*
These days I no longer identify as a conspiracy theorist. You can now refer to me as 'That guy was right all along.' lmao

▲6 ▼1 Reply

J H 5 days ago
Check with your doctor to find out if myocarditis is right for you.

▲6 ▼ Reply

WuhanJohnny 5 days ago
This is part of what Putin refers to as the Empire of Lies.

Everything in the West is a lie; Science, News, Money, the border, the War, theGet Trump Courts, our elections. ALL OF IT, is a lie.

▲6 ▼ Reply

liberty2day 5 days ago
who did this to America?

Luciferian zionists.

where do they hail from?

Caucasus Russia.

no wonder Putin laughs at it all.

▲2 ▼1 Reply

J H 5 days ago

If you want to have a scary read before bedtime, dip into the “Old Testament”. Truly the work of a “god from hell”.

Reply

Let's Go Brandon 5 days ago

Bolchevism at last !

2 Reply

Chargly 5 days ago

Oh. Did I miss the part where Russia or China warned Westerners about mrna?

Big presumption for many perhaps, however, presuming mrna is exceedingly dangerous means what about Putin and "our" leadership?

1 Reply

Schooey 6 days ago

Get Psychology degree, then team up with Ph.D. in Nutrition or some medial sounding field. Get government grant to "scientifically" prove that fat people are more depressed than people who are not over-weight. Call it the Jolly Fatman Myth, (JFM Research lab). Set for career, get tenure, retire.

6 Reply

Snikkster 6 days ago

Roughly 50% of papers in the New England journal of medicine fail the peer review process. Look it up.

Covid destroyed their legitimacy

6 Reply

ebsusee 6 days ago

NEJ is a pharma-paid journal and has no credibility.

1 Reply

Codesmith PREMIUM 6 days ago

That's NEJM to you...

1 Reply

ebsusee 5 days ago

Thanks!

Reply

Pernicious Gold Phallusy 6 days ago

An India-born doctor old me how easy it is to have AI write papers on topics in your field, submit them, get them published and enhance your resume. He said the AI is so good he doesn't even need to read the papers he submits.

6 Reply

fuelman 6 days ago

Probably should rethink that?

Reply

ebsusee 6 days ago

Indian? should write in Hindi!

Reply

11b40 6 days ago

Does he work for Boeing?

Reply

ERASTUS TURNIPSEED 6 days ago

9 out of 10 "scientists" agree with who's funding them.

6 Reply

Jack Offelday 6 days ago

Kind of like 51 "intelligence experts" blatantly lying about Russian collusion.

4 Reply

Schooey 6 days ago

And those "engineers" that claimed the 9/11 story was plausible.

1 Reply

Sid Finch 6 days ago

John Ionnidis (a statistician and epidemiologist at Standford) estimates that something like only 5% of published research is legitimate. This would be the 5% we never hear about and never gets put into practice. So we are stuck with 95% bullshit.

6 Reply

Thaddeus H. Leukawa III 6 days ago (Edited)

That 5% is so damning it would put companies like Pfizer out of business. The world is a gigantic unflushed toilet right now.

4 Reply

I Write Code 6 days ago (Edited)

Back in the day there was a computer journal, "Communications of the ACM" (Association for Computer Machinery or some such). As a CS student I read and subscribed, for maybe ten or twenty years, and have been a reviewer for similar journals. But when I tried to actually read CACM, back in the old days, they tried to be a fully formal journal. Half the articles were just unreadable, half were old stuff and I couldn't figure why they were published, half were just wrong.

(and you should see the stuff that reviewers reject)

They changed style at some point, focusing on more current and more readable articles, and it helped a bit, but at some point I gave up, I hadn't *learned* anything from reading it for probably ten years. This would be about twenty, no thirty, maybe forty, years ago.

Then came the Internet, and DEI, and ESG, and all that jazz, and now there's just nothing much published anywhere, worth reading. So it goes.

2 Reply

Demologos 6 days ago (Edited)

So three halves make a whole? There's your problem.

1 Reply

mastice 6 days ago

If you ever said things like "*trust the science*" or "*the science is settled*" you didn't pay attention in school. The whole idea behind science is to question things. Hell we're still trying to break Einstein's theory of relativity just to see if we can do it. Don't tell me science is settled.

6 Reply

Breakaway Book Series 6 days ago

Along these lines, and their anthropogenic climate claims, do you have a multi billion dollar grid of weather satellites to replicate their research? No? Then all we can do is take their word for it, and by the very definition of the word we've entered the realm of dogma.

2 Reply

Sid Finch 6 days ago

Einstein was actually a well known plagiarist. He was the equivalent of a Neil deGrasse Tyson.

Tesla was probably the real deal because his name is on all the patents associated with our modern world, from radar to wireless communications.

6 2 Reply

markar 6 days ago

Don't forget free energy.

1 Reply

Codesmith PREMIUM 6 days ago

Rubbish.

Reply

mastice 6 days ago

While there were others working on the theory of relativity at the same time as Einstein, most notably Lorentz, Poincare and Minkowski, they themselves are on record as assigning the principal credit to Einstein. The idea of Einstein being a plagiarist actually originated from Philipp Lenard. Einstein and Lenard were friends up until around WW1 when Einstein started to break away from German nationalism whereas Lenard stuck on the path to Nazism into WW2. This is what led to the claim that Einstein was a plagiarist. When in truth there is little evidence to back that up. Sorry.

But as for Tesla; he was a genius. That is absolute truth.

1 Reply

Jack Offelday 6 days ago

Ironically, Big Bang, evolution, relativity, gravity, climate change, etc. are theories that are presented as scientific facts.

1 Reply

tlnzz 6 days ago

Medical science credibility is totally gone for the foreseeable future. Utterly destroyed.

6 Reply

Bill of Rights 6 days ago

As well as the trust. Its over for a long long time.

4 Reply

Solio 6 days ago

Like countless lives worldwide!

1 Reply

Feuer Tanzer 6 days ago (Edited)

I will never get another vaccine. When they tell me my cholesterol is “too high,” I will not take statins or anything else they're pushing.

They have been exposed and have lost all of my trust.

5 Reply

Galtsghost 6 days ago

One by one they have systematically destroyed trust and confidence in the key institutions in the country. The last one will be the currency, and we are closer to that than people realize.

2 Reply

walküre 6 days ago (Edited)

> *Germany: Federal Health Minister Karl Lauterbach has expressed alarm at the increase in the number of people in need of care. "Demographically, only an increase of around 50,000 people would have been expected in 2023. But the actual increase is over 360,000," the SPD politician told the editorial network Germany.* ***"We don't yet understand exactly why this is the case."*** *Lauterbach spoke of an "acute problem in nursing care insurance."*

That's a big miss. Wonder what happened to increase the number of people needing assisted living or care homes 7x more than budgeted?

6 Reply

Bearistotle Against Play Dough 6 days ago

Corrupted governments lose and misplace billions of counterfeited units every day. It's what they do!

Reply

BanjoMama 6 days ago

All that money is going to support the imported brown human trash aka ILLEGALS.

Reply

DjangoCat 6 days ago

It is spelled V.A.X.

1 1 Reply

Moros-is-Here 6 days ago

Publish or perish, the mantra of the professorial class looking for tenure leads to this BS (bad science).

6 Reply

hongdo 6 days ago

HA HA! It's why they used to teach actual science and analysis and rational thinking to students instead of irrational trust in poser "scientists". Fucking Marvel and Kong movie posers are the new hip "scientists".

Remember the old saw - if you can't spot the mark at the poker table, it's you?

Same thing. If you can't think clearly enough, you are the mark. Sucker.

6 Reply

Cardinal Fang 6 days ago

The experts tell us to rely on the experts.

> *Science is the Belief in the Ignorance of the Experts*
>
> *-Richard Feynman*

4 Reply

hongdo 5 days ago

Feynman was a true grounded genius. His diagrams and summation method I think were a simplistic technique to approximate a deeper reality requiring the ability to understand infinity. But what do I know. And I will never know.

Thanks for the quote. I will get a T shirt made.

Reply

Xi Joe Ping 6 days ago (Edited)

Next up. The beauty contest known as the Nobel Prize.

6 Reply

Kickaha 6 days ago

These renowned prizes are handed out by organizations that view the prizes as a revenue source rather than a recognition of great work or accomplishments.

A fine example is the accolades given to the people who participated in the final season of Game of Thrones. Viewers almost universally ridiculed and derided that season as worse than zero, so stupid and so bad as to negate the value of the many fine seasons that had come before it. It was like watching a lovely young gymnast approach the vault, slip, ram headfirst into the end of the apparatus, split open her skull, and have grey matter fly in all directions. The season won 22 Emmy awards.

Reply

Urban Roman 5 days ago

-- they've been debased into irrelevance ever since they gave Kissinger the peace prize.

Reply

AlwaysBeLurking 6 days ago

“Peer Review” is a circle jerk - always has been.

6 Reply

Soloamber 6 days ago

They write this bull shit because the "scientists hired by the IPCC are paid to screen journals and

put weight to the ones that promote scary globull warming .

Garbage in garbage out , but it sounds like rigorous science when in fact it's a calculated fraud .

The 1600 scientists that just wrote California crazies said " THERE IS NO CLIMATE CRISIS " .

This bull shit scam needs to end .

6 Reply

fortressamericana 6 days ago

The other problem is the people sitting on committees to dole out grant money (from NIH for example) are exactly the same people who get the grant money. The only stipulation is that you must recuse yourself if the grant proposal is from your university. It is a revolving door, you scratch my back, I'll scratch yours. Corrupt as hell.

6 8 Reply

dustnwind 5 days ago

What? People didn't know it was a giant circle jerk? Now imagine what else you're clueless about.

5 Reply

Pritchards Ghost 5 days ago

There's lies.

There's dam*ed lies.

And then there's statistics.

Anyone for hockey?

5 Reply

Yagyu Jubei 6 days ago

So now they need to use AI to detect the use of AI in scientific articles....I suppose that would fit the definition of "peer review".

5 Reply

Inflation is a tax 6 days ago

Academia is a scam as well. They have one narrow set of beliefs that cannot allow different opinions or views. That's called a cult.

5 Reply

ebsusee 6 days ago

Tenure DEI cult.

1 Reply

BigCumulusClouds 6 days ago (Edited)

I once had a conversation with a liberal colleague having a PhD in physics. You know, one of these guys who let politics trump science. He believed in global warming.

When I asked him where the molten metal came from following the controlled demolition of the WTC buildings on 9-11 — accepting the First Law of Thermodynamics, that energy cannot be created nor destroyed — he said, “from the frictional heat generated during the collapse.”

I then knew the guy had a wasted education at Tulane University. Completely worthless.

5 2 Reply

jerry'skid 6 days ago

It's called, "compromised". The same prick would support "evolution" in a universe experiencing entropy while claiming "man evolved first".

3 1 Reply

BigCumulusClouds 6 days ago

It is called “brainwashed” I'd say.

2 Reply

paranoid.dragon 6 days ago

Can't have any level of confidence in the scientific or medical establishments as long as the liberals are politicizing them for social engineering.

Liberal filth.

5 1 Reply

View More Comments

COPYRIGHT ©2009-2024 ZEROHEDGE.COM/ABC MEDIA, LTD