

The Truth Behind Operation Warp Speed: A Special Interview With Whitney Webb

By Dr. Joseph Mercola

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

Welcome everyone. This is Dr. Mercola helping you take control of your health. And today we're in for a real treat. We are going to be joined by one of the, what I view, as the most brilliant investigative journalist out there today in this space. And that journalist is Whitney Webb. She's been doing this for a while and really is able to scour the internet for details that really only a truly committed high-integrity individual who is sincerely interested in finding the bottom line and the details on this can do. So we're really excited to have her and so I want to welcome, and thank you for joining us today.

Whitney Webb:

Thank you. It's my pleasure.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

All right. So before we start, I think it's always wise to get a little historical background on our guests. So as I mentioned you're an investigative journalist, but I didn't say this, you're down in Southern Chile. And maybe you can tell us a little bit of the backstory why you're there. And then also how you started this work, because you really have achieved a level of investigative excellence that I just rarely encounter.

Whitney Webb:

Well, my time here or the way I arrived at Chile was kind of accidental in a couple ways. After I graduated from university, I ended up working in Cusco, Peru and I met the father of my daughter, who is Julian, and eventually came down here and that's where I've been ever since. I started writing while I was down here in 2016. I originally started writing in Spanish, actually on a Spanish language blog that no longer exists. I was mostly writing about environmental issues here in Chile specifically around the red tide issues and how it was tied to the industrial salmon farming operations in the rivers and the ocean here in Chile.

Whitney Webb:

And then after that I ended up getting hired at a page that doesn't really exist anymore called True Activist that used to be big on Facebook before the 2016 extreme social media censorship began. And soon after that, I was hired by MintPress News, where I worked as a staff writer, an investigative reporter. From the beginning of 2017 until earlier this year in March when I went independent, but I also collaborate pretty often with a website and a formerly a YouTube channel that was recently deplatformed called The Last American Vagabond. Yes.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

Yeah. Literally a few days before this interview, took your site down and a bunch of others, so.

Whitney Webb:

Well, YouTube which of course is owned by Google which is involved in Operation Warp Speed, as I'm sure we'll be getting into, they said that basically anyone who questions certain aspects of the coming COVID-19 vaccine, which hasn't even been approved and is not yet on the market, is able to be deplatformed if YouTube deems it through their subjective lens of censorship, if they deem it information that they say doesn't belong on their platform.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

Yeah. So we're going to go into Operation Warp Speed, but before we do, I just wanted to talk a little bit about BitChute, which really has come to be widely appreciated as the essential information for people who want to know or a site for information is posted that is essentially from people who've been deplatformed. So it's a decentralized platform. It's not censored at all. And you can find your collaborative site, The Last American Vagabond there as well as almost all the other sites that have been deplatformed from Google or used Google's YouTube, including our site. We post on both platforms. So it's pretty easy to use. You can subscribe to a channel and then you may not know how that works, but once you subscribe, that's easy and obvious and intuitive, but if you go into your – there are three horizontal lines in the upper right, walking through this because it took me a while to figure out, but then you can go into the settings of your account and then you can find all your subscriptions so that you can totally have access.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

And as long as you're checking it regularly, be informed of when the new postings and the videos are. Because for me watching video is one of the most convenient ways to become educated, because there's a lot for – I just enjoy it far more than audio. And I've found your videos earlier this year. And boy, for the first one, I was so impressed, which is why I'm so excited to have you on today. So that's a little detail-

Whitney Webb:

Thank you.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

-on BitChute. But we're going to discuss Operation Warp Speed today, which is of course the term given by the government for their development process of this new vaccine. And it's very clear from watching your videos that Operation Warp Speed, you would expect it to be governed, some federal regulatory agency like the FDA (Food and Drug Administration) or the CDC (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention) maybe HHS (Health and Human Services). But no, it's almost all being funded — The funding is going to, and the supervision is being done by the CIA (Central Intelligence Agency) and the military. So why don't you enlighten us, more details about Operation Warp Speed?

Whitney Webb:

Right. Well Operation Warp Speed was announced earlier this year and when it was announced, it was essentially sold to the public as a joint operation between HHS and the Department of Defense. So the military was involved from the beginning, but oddly enough last month, a lot of information about Warp Speed started to come to light. One of this was the organizational chart of its leadership, which showed that by and large, the entire operation is dominated by the military. There were very few civilian health officials, and most of those civilian health officials are involved in the therapeutic side of Warp Speed. Which as we know now is the most drastically underfunded part of this initiative because it was an initially given a \$10-billion budget, and they've already spent \$10 billion on the vaccine and of the project itself. And only \$450 million have really been given through Warp Speed to a therapeutics project, which of course, we know is Regeneron, which is allegedly what Trump received when he was at Walter Reed.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

But Regeneron is not a vaccine though.

Whitney Webb:

No, no, no, no. The therapeutics. Originally Warp Speed sold itself as being, “We're going to develop a therapeutics and a vaccine.” What I'm saying is that the vast majority of the money and time and energy has gone to a vaccine specifically, not really therapeutics. And so if you look at the organizational chart, like the civilians, the people who aren't directly deployed by the department of defense or military intelligence were essentially put in the therapeutics part, which was drastically underfunded, and there's clearly not a focus of Warp Speed itself. It's focused largely on the vaccine. What's also interesting from this is that we know that Operation Warp Speed is currently – they have about six vaccine candidates. And we know now that they plan to use them all and that they plan to allocate a specific vaccine to specific, what they identify in their official guidance, as “critical populations.” Which they announced just a few days ago, the determination of those critical populations and the vaccine allocation strategy. Palantir was contracted to do that on behalf of Warp Speed, of course Palantir is a company that was created by Peter Thiel.

Whitney Webb:

It was also funded into existence by In-Q-Tel, the CIA's venture capital arm. The CIA was its only client for the first three years of its existence. As a company it's currently a contractor to all 17 U.S. intelligence agencies and also the U.S. military, to a large degree, especially over the course of this year they've received contract after contract from the military. And Palantir is also behind the HHS “protect data” ecosystem for COVID-19 data that the Trump administration has essentially forced hospitals to report their COVID-19 data to. And if they choose not to, Medicaid and Medicare funding will be withheld to them. So they're essentially forcing them to feed all of this information into a database. It's essentially run by a very controversial organization like Palantir because Palantir also is very involved in things like predictive policing and other initiatives of the government that have been accused of abusing civil liberties or targeting minorities in the case of their contracts with ICE (Immigrations and Customs Enforcement) of which is part of DHS (Department of Homeland Security). We also know now, also that Warp Speed is not just-

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

What does-

Whitney Webb:

Oh sorry.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

What does ICE stand for? It's an acronym.

Whitney Webb:

I think it's about immigration. The immigration I can't remember exactly. I don't report on them often because I'm usually covering other things but it's part of DHS. Yes. Right. So there are a lot of things in Warp Speed that are concerning and there are a lot of different ways we can go about discussing that. One of the things I read about recently is that Google and Oracle, which are two other large tech companies that have longstanding ties to the CIA, are going to be involved in what they describe as a pharmacovigilance surveillance system. Or what was more recently referred to by the head of Warp Speed as an incredibly precise tracking system where whereby everyone that receives one of these vaccines from Operation Warp Speed will be tracked and surveilled, not just to make sure that they get a second dose of what they have already said is going to be a multi-dose vaccine for coronavirus.

Whitney Webb:

But also to see what happens to people's physiology, because they admit that every single one of these vaccine candidates in Operation Warp Speed uses an experimental vaccine technology that has never been brought to market or licensed by the government before. So these are all unlicensed and essentially largely untested technologies that they're attempting to rush out at Warp Speed, using speed as the justification to jump through all of the regulations that would normally apply in these circumstances. And so in order to get around that, they're essentially making the Americans who receive the Warp Speed vaccine to be guinea pigs. And they want to monitor you for 24 months after you receive the first dose to see what happens essentially, and monitor people for adverse health effects.

Whitney Webb:

How are they going to do that? That, of course, is a question they haven't been very open about, but there are indicators that I think are quite alarming that we can look at. One of them is that first of all, Moncef Slaoui, who was the long-time head of GlaxoSmithKline's vaccine division, he was put in charge of this operation, is one of the leading proponents of something that he refers to as "bioelectronic medicine," which is the use of injectable or implantable technology for the purpose of treating nerve conditions, what MIT Technology Review referred to as "hacking" the nervous system and covering Moncef Slaoui's ambitions but also monitoring the physiology of the human body from inside.

Whitney Webb:

And then we look under him who was the vaccine coordinator for Operation Warp Speed. We have Matt Hepburn, who was a former program manager for DARPA (Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency) and at DARPA, Matt Hepburn oversaw the development of something called Profusa that you can go and look up. And it is also an under-the-skin implantable device that allows a person's physiology to be examined long distance via a smartphone connectivity. And Profusa by the way, is backed by Google. And oddly enough we have months Moncef Slaoui being co-invested in a company called Galvani Bioelectronics that was co-founded by a Google subsidiary. And so you have Google being contracted to monitor this system, this pharmacovigilance surveillance system that aims to monitor the physiology in the human body for two years. And then, so you have those ties to the Profusa project, which oddly enough, is supposed to work inside the human body for 24 months, which is the exact window that they've said will be used to monitor people after the first dose is given.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

Is there any speculation mechanically how this is going to work? Is it like an RFID (radio-frequency identification) chip? Is it broadcasted or is it only activated when you hover your smartphone over it? Because this is a small piece of electronics and you've got the power issue. How is it going to be powered to activate and work? It seems to me it'd have to be a passive display, it couldn't be active.

Whitney Webb:

Right. So from what I understand, Profusa works when there is a device placed over where the Profusa chip is inserted into the human body. And from there, it can send signals to smartphone and things like that. So I mean, they've been developing it for several years. It's set to be approved by the FDA under an emergency use authorization in January of this year, which is also the same month that Operation Warp Speed claims that it will be April to give doses of a COVID-19 vaccine to 300 million Americans.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

Yeah. They use similar technology for continuous blood glucose monitoring, CGMs, and the sensor is implanted under the skin. And then you just hover your smartphone over it and like an NFC (near-field-communication) chip, it communicates and the data's given out.

Whitney Webb:

Right. So this is something similar they seem to be promoting for the purpose. But it's not for the purpose you just mentioned, it's [crosstalk 00:13:54] basically tests [crosstalk 00:13:56]. Right. Well, it's to test these unlicensed and untested vaccine technologies and people after it's approved and taken to market. And this is actually a strategy that the FDA has been trying to push through really since the H1N1 crisis back in 2009 when they developed something called the Sentinel Initiative, which has a sub program called PRISM. Which is all about I think PRISM, if I remember the acronym correctly, it's Post-Licensure Rapid Immunization Safety Monitoring program. Basically after something is licensed, monitoring immunization or a vaccine for safety after it's already taken to market and approved by the FDA, whether by an emergency use authorization or by other means. So this pivot to have safety testing for vaccines essentially done en masse after it's already approved by the government and allowed to be injected into everyday

Americans. This was something that a certain clique, I could guess you could say at the FDA, has been promoting for over a decade essentially.

Whitney Webb:

And the key FDA official involved in Warp Speed, Janet Woodcock, is a major proponent of that system, of the Sentinel Initiative and the PRISM project. And it's worth pointing out that the Sentinel Initiative, like Operation Warp Speed, is a public-private partnership, it has also partnered with Silicon Valley firms and also intelligence contractors like Booz Allen Hamilton. For people who remember the Edward Snowden revelations, Edward Snowden was at the time of leaking those documents about NSA (National Security Agency) spying worked for Booz Allen Hamilton. So it's also interesting that the project that the Snowden revelations exposed that was involved, that was the NSA spying was also called PRISM. And FDA's subprogram for monitoring vaccines, testing these technologies and monitoring people after they receive an essentially untested vaccine, is also called PRISM. Seems kind of odd.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

[inaudible 00:16:04]. So is there any suggestion, or do you have any indication as to what type of biological parameters are going to be assessing through these chips? I mean, I'm wondering.

Whitney Webb:

No, there is not. And that's probably due to the fact that Warp Speed is honestly shrouded in extreme secrecy. For example, the parameters of the clinical trials that are ongoing right now are also not made public. And for example, the vaccine contracts, they said they were not going to release publicly, but after some public pressure and some congressmen wrote letters asking for those to be made public, they released them, but they're largely redacted in their entirety. So, I mean, the secrecy continues despite the fact that they allowed them to be "accessible," but with extremely heavily redacted. So it really doesn't make sense. If you think about it for something that should be ostensibly Operation Warp Speed should be, it's funded by American taxpayers to produce a medical countermeasure or a vaccine for American taxpayers and peacetime. But it's being run by the military under extreme secrecy with a lot of involvement of intelligence contractors, or intelligence agencies themselves.

Whitney Webb:

We now know, for example, that the NSA and the DHS are directly involved in Operation Warp Speed, but they won't really say exactly what parts they're doing, but there are some indications as to what they could be involved with. And the fact that we're having Silicon Valley companies that have been known to collaborate with intelligence [agencies] for the purpose of spying on innocent Americans. Google and Oracle, for example, are going to be involved in this surveillance system as they describe it, for everyone that gets the vaccine. I mean, it's certainly alarming, and it seems to point to the fulfillment of an agenda that was attempted to be pushed through or foisted on the American public after 9/11 that was called Total Information Awareness that was managed originally by DARPA. And was about using medical data and non-medical data, essentially all data about your demographic data, your economic history, your financial information, your travel history, what types of magazines. This was before social media, right?

Whitney Webb:

But what type of magazines you were subscribed to, what type of TV you watched in addition to medical records and things like that, using all of that data together to prevent terror attacks before they could happen, and also to prevent bioterror attacks before they could happen. And to even prevent naturally occurring disease outbreaks, and a lot of those same initiatives that were proposed under that original program after 9/11 have essentially been resurrected under different ways of course, with updated technology under the guise of combating COVID-19.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

Interesting. So I'm wondering what your thoughts are as we're recording this it's a week before the election, we'll probably be posting this interview on the Sunday before the election. And I suspect the likely outcome of the mandatory implementation of the vaccine program will be different depending on which candidate wins. I'm wondering what your views are on that, or you don't think it really matters. Because it seems like the most current polls, which is actually incredibly encouraging to me is that the majority of people, more than 50% will refuse to take this vaccine. Unless of course it's mandatory, it's a whole other scenario. But what are your thoughts on being mandatory and the likely initial implementation? Because it's the end of October, beginning of November and it sure doesn't look like they're going to have this vaccine available this year.

Whitney Webb:

Well, it is kind of a complex question, right? But from what I understand Operation Warp Speed was something that was essentially created by the Trump administration, but the Biden administration has stated that they would continue the exact same program as it is right now, if he happens to win the election. So in terms of Warp Speed being forwarded by the election results or anything about its operations changing in a big way, I find [it] very unlikely. In terms of Biden though, I mean, he has stated his willingness to make mask, for example, like a nationwide mask mandate. Would he go a step further and perhaps do mandatory vaccines? I mean, it's certainly possible. But I wouldn't necessarily rule it out. If the Trump administration wins either, there are a lot of things to point to during Trump's time in office that have also been not very great for American civil liberties, sort of continuing what a lot of other previous presidents have done following George W. Bush, Obama included, continuing that same agenda in that sense.

Whitney Webb:

And of course Trump essentially put Moncef Slaoui of all people in charge of this operation decided to name him vaccine czar. So that's certainly not encouraging. In terms of the mandatory aspect though, I think a lot of it has to do with what we may see. In the months ahead, there are indications that there is some sort of event in the works perhaps on the scale of 9/11, perhaps smaller, perhaps larger. We don't really know, but if that does end up happening, that obviously will change whether or not the vaccinations could be mandatory, if there is a situation that creates enough fear among the American populace that causes people to feel like it's necessary to force everyone to take a particular vaccination. The plan for Warp Speed is to have the vaccine available for 300 million Americans, but they've bought more doses of vaccine and there are Americans, it seems kind of odd that they would buy at this point 800 million doses of vaccines

and not plan to use any of them. We'll see what happens. I don't want to be too speculative, because this is-

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

It should be a multi-dose vaccine regimen. So it's going to be at least two more likely possibly three. Maybe that's why they purchased them.

Whitney Webb:

Right. But even 800 million doses, even if it's two doses, that's 400 million. So two doses each. So that's still more than more – I think the American population is around 320 million or so, it's quite a bit. So it seems there's a plan for universal vaccine coverage, but 2020 is really an unprecedented year in a lot of ways. And it really seems regardless of who wins after the election, the election itself is going to be a flexion point for chaos. So I really don't want to speculate too much about what's going to happen in the months ahead because really anything could happen at this point. And I certainly don't want to fall into the category of fear-mongering, but I think the extreme secrecy of Warp Speed is very alarming.

Whitney Webb:

And the fact that both candidates essentially approve of that operation going forward kind of indicates that either way the COVID-19 vaccine, whether it's mandatory or not, that'll be available to the public should be very heavily scrutinized. Particularly the secrecy shouldn't be necessary in peacetime for something that's being funded by Americans, for Americans. That's supposed to be a medical countermeasure to something we're told that is preventing life from going back to normal, right? So even if the vaccine isn't mandatory, it's very likely that certain professions will be required to receive it, or school children will have to receive it if they want to go have in-person classes again, or university students, or what have you. So there are a lot of ways to sort of pressure people into receiving this vaccine, regardless of whether or not there is a federal mandate for it.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

So I want to go into some of the details of the testing that they're doing now, which is obviously inadequate. Typically it takes maybe up to 10 years to bring a typical vaccine to market, and that they're doing this in under a year. So they've essentially abolished all the animal testing and they're going straight with the humans. But it seems to me in the human trials that are underway, they are targeting normal, healthy adults. So these are relatively young adults. These are not the target population for the vaccine, the elderly. So even within that healthy population, they are still getting side effects. Sometimes many of them, very severe. And I think there's even been a few reported deaths at this point. Why don't you comment on that?

Whitney Webb:

Well the most recent death for example, happened with the AstraZeneca Oxford University vaccine in Brazil, and originally that test, that trial was being advertised as testing the AstraZeneca vaccine against a saline placebo. But it was revealed after the death of this Brazilian individual that they were actually using the meningitis vaccine as the counter test, the “placebo,” which of course has a long litany of being involved in being accused of any way adverse health

effects in people. So it definitely seems to have been a strategy to mask any sort of potential side effects of the AstraZeneca Oxford vaccine, which of course previously has been paused, I think at least two times because of extremely adverse side effects, and then what you mentioned are healthy individuals including a woman who lost I believe feeling or movement in her hands and feet.

Whitney Webb:

These are really things that have the potential to be very drastic because you have to consider too that the sample sizes are quite small or have been. Initially when a lot of these adverse side effects started to become known. So you extrapolate that to the size of the U.S. population. I mean, that's potentially thousands of people that are suffering very adverse health effects from what we know about coronavirus in terms of how many people it kills in certain demographics, it's quite low compared to the potential for side effects from the vaccine. So something to consider there.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

Let's address the placebo issue, which you mentioned and reminds me, it's just extraordinary how they're able to get away with this. Because a true placebo would be a saline injection, basically water with a little salt, physiological tissue, essentially there's no way you could distinguish between the two. And yet they're not using that, they're using vaccines which are documented, known side effects as the control. There's no way that this is a valid scientific trial yet it's not only for this vaccine trial that they're doing, but this is a standard strategy that they use for ages to lower the risk of reported side effects.

Whitney Webb:

Right. Like I said earlier, it's about essentially masking. You have a vaccine that's already known to be problematic, the meningitis vaccine, and if that's your placebo with this new vaccine technology that the AstraZeneca vaccine uses, you're essentially masking the potential for side effects that, that one produces. So it definitely seems designed to obfuscate what's going on here as is the fact that as I mentioned earlier, the extreme secrecy about Operation Warp Speed, the parameters of their clinical trials, they've declined to make those public. So we honestly don't even know things like their sample sizes and other key parameters that would normally be important. They want to keep that away from public scrutiny, for whatever reason, same with the vaccine contracts themselves, which is also odd because you had those vaccine contracts being funneled through a third party instead of being made between the government and the vaccine companies themselves, which is normally how it works.

Whitney Webb:

And so one of the reasons for that was allegedly to keep them from being accessible through Freedom of Information Act request, but it also allows those vaccines being produced under those contracts to be exempt from a lot of federal regulations, including federal safety regulations. And we also know because of the changes HHS made per the PREP (Public Readiness and Preparedness Act) Act that any person who produces a COVID-19 vaccine or administers a COVID-19 vaccine associated with Operation Warp Speed will not be liable for any sort of damages it may cause. And it's definitely concerning that these vaccine companies, a

lot of which just have atrocious track records, are being given billions of dollars and being allowed to operate under the utmost secrecy and developing something for the American public that can very well be mandated. Or for some people even if it's not an official mandate, if they want to keep their jobs or they want to stay in school, they'll have to receive it anyway.

Whitney Webb:

So it's definitely an issue that more people should be talking about. But unfortunately as we mentioned earlier, if you try and put this information out on YouTube because of their new COVID-19 vaccine-related censorship policy, they're essentially trying to keep this information from people who get their news by and large from YouTube or larger platforms. Which is very concerning given the fact that Google owns YouTube and is involved in Operation Warp Speed. And it seems like a clear conflict of interest. And mainstream media is asleep at the wheel, it seems in reporting on that issue and a lot of the other things that we've already covered, so.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

Now I want to get back to Google in a moment. I want to continue with the vaccine for a bit, it's my understanding that this is going to be a very unusual vaccine with respect to the perishability that actually almost all existing vaccines can just simply be refrigerated when they're delivered, but this one has to be packaged on dry ice, kept far below zero, and that is a difficult delivery mechanism. So it is clearly far more likely to fail. And I have no idea what the consequences are if the temperature of that vaccine drops below the critical threshold, but it can't be good instead the worst it's just going to be ineffective. And beyond that, it could be potentially cost something, some of it even more toxic reactions. So I'm wondering what your thoughts are on the perishability of vaccine.

Whitney Webb:

Well, yeah, they've definitely pointed out that it's going to be unusual and it's going to be have to be held at sub-zero temperatures, the organization that's going to manage all of that logistics aspect is the military essentially. And they've been planning that the means by which they will distribute and keep it under at sub-zero temperatures and all of this, all of that of course is secret like most of everything else about Operation Warp Speed. So as far as what they'll be doing the military plans to handle all of that. And they won't exactly say how though they have said that there's going to be a lot of setup of offsite or mobile vaccination clinics that some of it will go through traditional I guess, points of vaccination, hospitals, clinics, things like that.

Whitney Webb:

But the military itself are going to be setting up their own sites. And they have spent a lot of money on distribution. I believe they also contracted McKesson, which was involved in the distribution of the H1N1 vaccine, which of course was very problematic itself. But that's essentially all we really know as far as the distribution and logistics side for the person in the Pentagon who's overseeing that particular aspect is a man named Paul Ostrowski. I think he's a general, I have to go look again exactly at his rank. But he's one of the people WHO has been most adamant about the secrecy and insisting that they can't release certain things. So that doesn't instill me personally with a lot of confidence as to what's going on.

Whitney Webb:

But yeah, there are a lot more logistical hurdles than there have been in past planned vaccination programs, which of course didn't involve the military or all of this extreme secrecy and didn't involve DHS or the NSA. Because the HHS role, like I said earlier, they sold this as a joint, essentially 50/50 HHS and Department of Defense initiative. And really the number of HHS officials on this list is quite small. It's really the head of BARDA (Biomedical Advanced Research and Development Authority) is really the only person, Gary Disbrow, Ph.D., was really the only person on the organizational chart from HHS. But we do know that two other people at HHS who are involved are Paul Mango, who I believe is HHS's chief of staff for policy. But the other individual is very important who's involved in a lot of this for HHS and his name is Robert Kadlec, he's the current Assistant Secretary of Health and Human Services for Preparedness and Response.

Whitney Webb:

He has a very interesting career and by interesting, I mean shady. To say the least he was very involved with things that went on before the anthrax attacks of 2001. Was involved in the Dark Winter bioterrorism exercise that early predicted the anthrax attacks in June 2001. A lot of the existing legislation for responses to bioterror attacks or pandemics, he essentially created. And BARDA as an institution, all of that, he, behind the scenes, was crafting that policy and creating this office that now is the ASPR, the assistant secretary for preparedness and response in HHS. He spent the past 20 years giving that office insane amounts of power over what would happen in a situation just like this. And what do you know, exactly at this critical point in time that is the office that he is holding.

Whitney Webb:

And he has a past of lobbying for a company called Emergent BioSolutions formerly known as BioPort that was involved in the anthrax vaccine scandal, which largely involved the military back in the late '90s and the early 2000s, which was being used on American soldiers for an off-label use in a U.S. court, found it to have been used in an experimental way on soldiers in a mandated vaccination program without their consent. And this is really important because Emergent BioSolutions is going to be manufacturing the majority of Warp Speed vaccines. And they have a documented history not just with the anthrax vaccine, but a lot of their other products of knowingly marketing untested or unsafe products to the U.S. government, which is their main purchaser for things like the strategic national stockpile among other things.

Whitney Webb:

And so it was Emergent BioSolutions that was selected by people like Robert Kadlec, who used to be a lobbyist for them, to produce the Warp Speed vaccine. And oddly enough the person who is now in charge of quality supervision at that plant in Maryland who's going to be producing these vaccines, has no background in chemistry or any other hard science. He has spent his entire career working for special ops for military intelligence, leading covert teams through Iraq and Afghanistan. He used to be a top analyst for North Korea and Iran, also for the Pentagon. Clearly a high-ranking individual in military intelligence but oddly enough, after retiring decides that he wants to be the quality supervisor for Postville after vaccines are filled in vials for Emergent

BioSolutions. And he is in that capacity to oversee the production of the Warp Speed COVID-19 vaccines, just seems odd to me personally.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

Yes, indeed. A collection of interesting oddities for sure. So I want to step back for a moment and take a larger overall view to kind of help people get a perspective on this. And we're not going to dive deep into the bioterrorism aspect here, but I just want to go off on a tangent because we're going to do that in a future podcast with you. But it seems, as I mentioned earlier, that it's very unlikely that the majority of the population in the United States at least are going to submit to this vaccine. I'm very, very grateful for that, I'd say enough of the information has gotten through to give people alarm and concern over getting it. So, but it appears, this is what I want your take on, that just like 9/11 was, the “terrorist” at 9/11, it gave them an excuse to implement more tyrannical controls.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

And it appears that this SARS-CoV-2 viral infection, which ostensibly has killed hundreds of thousands of people. If you believe the mainstream media narrative, as opposed to the CDC's announcement late August, that literally 94% of the people died essentially from something else and not SARS-CoV-2 that this is a relatively minor threat. Yes, people are dying, but it's relatively minor, but they're using it as an excuse to implement even more tyrannical restrictions. And it would seem to me, and I don't know, this is where you state it far more deeply than I am, but it seems to me that what they're doing is laying down the infrastructure. They're building a foundation, they're getting the tools together, ready to deploy the next phase, not necessarily for this infection, but down the road to have this COVID-19 passport. It might be COVID-21 passport or COVID-22, whatever, whatever new name they get to literally implement this Orwellian society. I mean, it seems to me that that's the only logical conclusion from consuming this data. I'd just love to hear your take on it.

Whitney Webb:

Yeah, no, I tend to agree with you in a big way, and I'll give you an example of an initiative that's being put out right now by HHS, that they claim was about preventing coronavirus outbreaks before they happen and how it plays into this longstanding effort to produce “smart cities.” So HHS, a few weeks ago, issued a solicitation, which was given to this MIT (Massachusetts Institute of Technology) spinoff company called Biobot Analytics. And essentially what they say it's to do is to create a nationwide wastewater surveillance system where they will be robotically sampling wastewater, or rather sewage from various cities around the country. They say that will be done to test for COVID-19 and use an AI (artificial intelligence) algorithm to predictably analyze whatever they sample and determine if a COVID-19 outbreak will take place in the future up to 11 days before symptoms would even allegedly begin to show among that particular population. They say that would be done to enable “rapid containment” of those communities before this “alleged” future outbreak could happen.

Whitney Webb:

And what you can see there in my opinion is sort of a combination of what was previously trying to be sold to the public as predictive policing. But now it's sort of the predictive policing

approach to health care. We have to prevent infection or prevent outbreaks before it happens which obviously is ripe for abuse by a government that is fundamentally corrupt and out of control. If they wanted to lock down a particular community, all they have to say is, "Well, our news surveillance system has identified through this algorithm that there will be an outbreak here in 11 days. So we have to shut everything down in this entire city." Just as an example of how it could potentially be misused, but what this waste water surveillance system requires is essentially sensors in sampling units throughout a sewage system in a particular city, which is something that is the underground infrastructure of what are often today called smart cities, which are cities filled with sensors that are united by the internet of things and 5G and Wi-Fi 6 and all of this.

Whitney Webb:

And what's very alarming is that the developers that were chosen for this wastewater surveillance system come from a lab at MIT that's called Senseable City Lab. And it's not sensible like it makes sense it's senseable, like able to be sensed. So filling cities with sensors, it's essentially MIT's smart cities lab that was chosen by HHS to develop this under the guise of COVID-19. And what is also alarming, in my opinion, is that this company has partnered with HHS before not to predict coronavirus or to sample for coronavirus, or what have you in wastewater, but to detect patterns of illicit drug use in certain populations which has a clear dovetail with the war on drugs in the United States whether it has to do with opioids or marijuana use or any other sort of illegal substance, allows essentially surveillance on what people are ingesting in a particular community.

Whitney Webb:

And they don't even plan for this company that was chosen. They also talk about analyzing people's diets, what they're ingesting, if people are eating foods that the government has decided are associated with illnesses, whatever those illnesses are. They can see if too many people are eating the wrong foods and then accordingly ban those foods through a municipal or a statewide edict and things like that. It's really a recipe for the micromanaging of regular human habits where the government was not previously involved. And it's definitely very alarming that there is now this effort under the guise of COVID-19 that has gotten very little attention to surveil what people are ingesting and excreting from their own bodies. It's definitely something that we haven't seen before. And I would argue it's because with COVID-19 what we're seeing is sort of a redux of what happened in the 9/11 era, whereas in 9/11, there was this invisible enemy of these faceless terrorists abroad.

Whitney Webb:

Now under coronavirus, the invisible enemy is a microbe that can exist anywhere, including within your own body. Therefore, to fight and beat and win the war against the microbe, we have to know what's going on inside of your body also. And so we're seeing what I mentioned earlier with the potential for the use of something like Profusa and Operation Warp Speed, or this effort to surveil sewage, to determine what people are putting in their bodies. It's definitely a very slippery slope and essentially what I would call the beginnings of a biosurveillance state.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

Yes, indeed. It's one of the ultimate strategies and approaches that technocracy is doing, essentially seeking to govern and rule the culture through technicians and scientists rather than democratically elected politicians. And with the technology advancing almost exponentially, it's become easier and easier to do. So the leaders in these technology spaces would be Google for one, certainly, and MIT, as you mentioned. And I'm wondering what your thoughts are on those two and probably Stanford as they're typically viewed upon as the educational, well, technologically elite might be the best way to describe it. And I'm wondering, it seems there's a connection between all of them and with respect to them contributing to this implementation of technocracy.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

I don't know if it's coordinated or if there's a collaboration behind the scenes, but it appeared that there would be. Because I know Google tried something similar, not to the smart sewage, but they tried to implement that in Toronto. Thankfully the people in Toronto just bailed on it. They said, "No, we don't want any of this smartly." So what do you think about the collaboration between those tyrannical elite? Or technologically elite, sorry.

Whitney Webb:

Really quick to go back to what you just mentioned about Toronto. It's interesting that there was this pushback against it, and now the smart city infrastructure is being essentially created nationwide by HHS, but it's underground. So people can't see it and can't readily protest against it. So it seems like they're building it underground first and then they'll just go from there since they know that there is public pushback about it. As far as Stanford University that's where a lot of Silicon Valley, big Silicon Valley behemoths as they are today most, and of course the largest ones were all backed by the CIA at the beginning. This includes Palantir, which came out of Stanford University that we already talked about, their ties to the CIA. This includes Google also, which also got In-Q-Tel CIA funding from the very beginning.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

You're pretty confident of the connection with the Google and the CIA because I know I've read a lot on it, but it seems somewhat skeptical, but from your research you're pretty solid.

Whitney Webb:

Yeah. There's a pretty good investigation about Google's ties with the CIA by Nafeez Ahmed who previously wrote for the Guardian newspaper in the U.K., his publication INSURGE intelligence did an investigation into that. And honestly since Google received that In-Q-Tel funding, they've been willing collaborators of illegal spying on Americans, whether it was with the NSA or numerous other federal agencies. And what we're seeing today, for example, is Eric Schmidt, the former head of Google, who was the brains behind that failed effort in Toronto. He is currently sitting as the chair of the National Security Commission on Artificial Intelligence. And the co-chair of that is a man named Bob Work who Deputy Secretary of Defense and was the person who came up with the idea for Project Maven, where Google was going to create an artificial intelligence system for drones, where drones essentially autonomously choose who lives and who dies.

Whitney Webb:

It was very controversial at the time and was allegedly axed, but it actually wasn't, it was given a different name. And now you have the guy who was behind that along with Eric Schmidt, overseeing the national security state, the plans for their modernization efforts involving artificial intelligence. So that national security commission on artificial intelligence is essentially emerging of the top Pentagon officials Silicon Valley and the intelligence community. Which in a lot of ways is really driving, not just Warp Speed, for example, but a lot of other modernization agendas that we're seeing unfold at this point in time. So that's one example of Google's persisting ties to the national security state, which of course you have to keep in mind too, the CIA is just one intelligence agency.

Whitney Webb:

You can argue it's the most powerful, but there are 17 intelligence agencies in the US that all work together. Of course a lot more were created after 9/11. And now they're all overseen nominally by the office of the Director of National Intelligence, but is the CIA still more in charge? I mean, that's a discussion for another day, but Google is definitely a willing collaborator of that. And a lot of these major Silicon Valley companies that consumers will be familiar with their names double as contractors to either the Department of Defense or to the intelligence community. This includes Amazon, this includes Microsoft, it includes Google.

Whitney Webb:

They've essentially fused with the government in a lot of ways. And so the censorship of platforms like YouTube and Google is all, has millions of dollars of contracts with the government. You can definitely make the argument that it states censorship to a degree. So honestly, we're at a point where the line between Silicon Valley and the national security state has become so blurred, you really can't distinguish where one began and where the other ends. And I think it's quite telling that a lot of these very same companies from the very beginning of their existence had some sort of funding from U.S. intelligence to sort of start it off.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

I want to go back to Google for a moment because when it first came out, I actually started my site before Google did. And I remember very clearly when it first came around, as you probably do too, that as the competitor, the new kid on the block to the existing search engine, it was so far head and shoulders above, and it was just clean, simple and accurate. It was just brilliant. And almost everyone instantly fell in love with Google. And it was a real big deal to get a Gmail address at that point, was by invite only. And it was like a real badge of honor to have that. I remember when I got my first Gmail address. But it seems ostensibly that Sergey and Larry, the two grad students from Stanford who founded it were purely altruistically motivated. I think their intentions were good. I don't think they came into this-

Whitney Webb:

Certainly possible.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

But with the ties to the CIA, I'm wondering from my viewpoint, the most viciously, evil and nefarious character in that whole hodgepodge of characters is Schmidt. I mean, that guy is bad from the deepest workings of his being. So, and they elected to choose him to be the head, run the whole thing. That's when he started going downhill after he came on board. I'm just wondering if that seems to make sense to you.

Whitney Webb:

Yeah. I mean, I would definitely agree that a lot of what I would call the bad directions that Google has taken since its founding, a lot of that began when Schmidt became the official executive over at Google. But there are a lot of indicators back in Google's early history that there was something going on that they weren't necessarily – their “don't be evil” slogan and all of this stuff wasn't necessarily what was going on behind the scenes. But I definitely don't think Larry Page and Sergey Brin intended originally for Google to become what it is now. I think that definitely shows just the type of person and the type of agenda that someone like Eric Schmidt has, who Henry Kissinger now refers to as his best friend, that should give you a lot of indicators as to what type of person Eric Schmidt is and why it's very alarming that he's essentially in charge of the national security states' AI modernization efforts.

Whitney Webb:

There's a lot of things that Eric Schmidt has done over the years that are deeply concerning. He, and a lot of other people involved with Google including Google's top futurist as he describes himself, Ray Kurzweil, are very big proponents of what is often called transhumanism, which is this belief that it's the destiny of the human race. It will be the pinnacle of human evolution to combine with machines and defeat death and all of this stuff. And Eric Schmidt is a person who certainly feels that way and was close with Ray Kurzweil, part of why Kurzweil was involved at Google. And now he's in charge of the artificial intelligence modernization efforts of the government. Very, very disconcerting especially when you look at a lot of the military's own modernization plans that they are set to begin next year with having an unprecedented role for artificial intelligence and targeting and flagging people who soldiers will then shoot with these augmented reality helmets that the Pentagon has bought that are planned to be used for next year.

Whitney Webb:

I mean, it's just a lot of the Orwellian surveillance structure that we're seeing rolled out, whether through Warp Speed or by HHS under the guise of COVID-19 response, it definitely seems to dovetail significantly with plans that have been developed by people like Eric Schmidt for the modernization of the U.S. government itself, particularly the national security state. And I really don't think that there's much of a coincidence that those two things are happening in tandem.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

So I want to tie it into some local, not local, but contemporary politics or news, which is that after a long while I think over a year, the finally Department of Justice says, I believe, filed the lawsuit against Google for monopolistic practices. But interestingly it could have been done in so many different areas. It could have been done for YouTube, but no, it was done for search.

Whitney Webb:

Only for search.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

Only for search. So I'm wondering, it seems to me, I mean, one thing you cannot take away from Google is they're brilliant, absolutely brilliant, very clever, very skilled and very strategic. I believe one of their former employees or vice presidents, it was former, or maybe he's still the existing head of the Department of Justice. So I know they have deep ties and revolving doors with the federal regulatory agencies, including the Department of Justice. I'm wondering what your take on is, it seems to me it's like the government trying to fight itself because they're almost tied at the hips.

Whitney Webb:

Right. Well, I think what's going on with any sort of antitrust effort at Google is very similar to what happened with the Rockefeller family and the breakup of Standard Oil, where the government was able to look like they were actually doing something about standard oil, but actually standard oil wanted to be broke up. And that allowed the Rockefeller family to extend their influence and reach back in the beginning of the 20th century, far beyond oil. For example, they got involved with totally remaking Western medicine during that period of time. And in really shaping what we now know as big pharma, the beginnings of that enabled by the breakup of standard oil, which allowed the Rockefeller family to put the tentacles of standard oil into numerous different facets of American daily life.

Whitney Webb:

So I think it's interesting that this is happening with Google now, and that it's only targeting Google's search monopoly, which is what Google began with much like Standard Oil began with oil. But since then, Google's business has expanded far beyond search and they're taking, they're poised to have a big role in upcoming health care initiatives, for example I think they're ready to extend their tentacles, to use that metaphor, into a lot more a lot more different sectors, like far beyond their search engine. So maybe people would be assuaged publicly if they think, "Oh yeah, Google has been taken down and broken up by the government." When in reality they don't care about their monopoly on search anymore. And they're already too big to fail essentially.

Whitney Webb:

And are already involved in so many different sectors of our lives to a significant degree, obviously something that would because of their coming role in this Warp Speed pharmacovigilance surveillance system. Okay. So they didn't lose their search monopoly, but they are able to harvest all of this data from people through this coming Warp Speed surveillance system. It's also worth pointing out that in early September Google and the Pentagon partnered with developing a predictive diagnoses for cancer using artificial intelligence, which they also announced that they intend to extend that to essentially every disease well beyond cancer, including COVID-19. And really anything they can think of all based on the Pentagon's troves of medical data that have been harvested from soldiers over the years and the Veterans Affairs health care system and the military health care system and all of that. That joining between Google and the Pentagon and that particular initiative allowed Google's AI branch to gain access to all of that data, which Silicon Valley has wanted access to for a very long time.

Whitney Webb:

And so you now have Google essentially leading that push to automate health care in the diagnoses of medical conditions. So when you look at those examples and other things they're involved in this autonomy, this drive to also automate the military and all of this stuff that Google's intimately involved there in health care and all these other parts of our lives, their search engine business. Okay. So they're forced to not be a monopoly of search engines anymore, that would probably give room for something like Microsoft Bing to come in and take the other half. And I mean, honestly, at the end of the day, what kind of big difference would that make to have Bill Gates' company have the other half of the search engine monopoly? So I honestly think at the end of the day, a lot of this antitrust posturing towards Google, I don't really know if it will go anywhere.

Whitney Webb:

It's worth pointing out that the current head of the Department of Justice is William Barr who began his legal career at the CIA stonewalling the church committee to prevent the CIA from being thoroughly investigated in a lot of its wrongdoings from the '60s and before coming to light. So he also, when he was Attorney General, the first time pardoned all the people involved in Iran-Contra, he covered up the PROMIS software scandal. And now he's in the Trump administration and his big push, most of this time has been to develop predictive policing, which he announced the last year, it's called [inaudible 00:57:30]. And they've been piloting it in a few cities throughout the U.S.; Detroit, Baltimore, Chicago, I believe and a few other places with plans to make it nationwide at some point. So I don't really think Bill Barr is necessarily against Google's power.

Whitney Webb:

And I think given his history and the types of people who he's been interested in protecting during his legal career, he wouldn't really pursue much action against Google, which is intimately involved with a lot of these intelligence agencies and things like that. Unless it was something that Google wanted to happen, or at least it was a PR move to make people think Google isn't as powerful as it has become.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

So I don't know if you enjoy sci-fi or, but I'm sure you're familiar with a series of movies based on the "Terminator," Arnold Schwarzenegger, which is a dystopian film from that essentially focuses on this massive corporate knowledge and power that essentially controls all the computers and it takes over the world and destroys it. So, and they call that I believe it's Skynet. And anytime I reflect on that, I think the real Skynet, the Skynet in the real world is Google. I mean, what else could it be? I mean, they literally have access to all of these powerful technologies and one that you didn't mention, and many people aren't aware of is DeepMind. They bought them for half a billion dollars ago and DeepMind is a collection of the most brilliant artificial intelligence scientists in the world.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

They've got the largest one. They created this program called AlphaGo, which beat the World Go Championship and really is able to – I mean, it's very proficient, deep learning and data

extraction techniques and probably is the greatest hope for implementing any type of artificial general intelligence. I mean, and they own them. So, I mean, that's just one of the tools and they've got this profoundly extensive computer network and they control search and search is just probably one of the most powerful ways to manipulate the masses. And it does it in a way that's stealth[y], people do not even understand or realize they are being manipulated, which is one of the reasons why you don't want to ever use Google search. I mean, not that there's a lot of great alternatives, but it really is a reason, a major reason to stop it, maybe not ever because there are some good features that Google search ads that really aren't available on any other search engine that I've seen. But it's just a rare extended use case. But so I'm wondering, what are your thoughts about "Google is Skynet" might be.

Whitney Webb:

Well, I definitely think Google and people like Eric Schmidt have ambitions for Google to play a major role in that. And it's definitely something we should be concerned about, but I mean, it's just one example of what I would really call sort of the national security state or intelligence communities or whatever, teaming up with artificial intelligence engineers who have been trying to create something that's called the singularity self-aware AI for a long period of time. So as an example, there is a U.K.-based cyber security company that's partnered with a lot of these big Silicon Valley companies in the U.S. like Google and Amazon and Microsoft. They're called Darktrace. They're essentially run by the former director of MI5, which is British intelligence. And the guy who used to be the chief information officer for the CIA in the early 2000s, Alan Wade, and a few other high-ranking individuals.

Whitney Webb:

And those people created that company alongside these Cambridge University mathematicians who were not trying to develop a cybersecurity product. They were trying to develop expressly self-aware artificial intelligence. And that was what caught the attention of this MI5 director and this former top executive at the CIA. And what their product, they describe straight up as unsupervised machine learning, meaning no humans are involved in what that artificial intelligence algorithm is doing. There are definitely a lot of designs by the national security state to create some sort of Skynet functionality because they know that they can't find enough people who would normally be necessary to maintain the type of Orwellian surveillance panopticon that they're attempting to construct. So obviously large swaths of that would have to be automated for such a system to be successful.

Whitney Webb:

And so there's this big push to create an all-powerful artificial intelligence algorithm in order to enable a lot of the functionality that they want to impose in smart cities and a lot of these other initiatives that they've been putting forth. But in order to do that, they need access to data. That's why we've heard over the past couple of years that data is the new oil or data is going to become the new oil. It feeds back into this race to develop the greatest AI algorithm. And this is very concerning when you look at the National Security Commission on AI's objectives, they say that the only way to maintain U.S. global military hegemony, but also economic hegemony, is to harvest more data than any of their adversary states, including China, from Americans in order to be able to develop a better AI algorithm before China can do the same. Essentially needing to leap frog China in artificial intelligence in order to maintain hegemony or rather for Silicon

Valley to remain a market leader in tech and also for the U.S. military to maintain its competitive edge over China.

Whitney Webb:

That's what these very powerful and influential organizations are saying. And if you actually look at their documents, they essentially say that there needs to be a total remaking of Americans' way of life to facilitate that type of data extraction from a smaller population than the Chinese population, from example, more data needs to be harvested per American citizen in order to facilitate that leapfrogging of China and artificial intelligence. So there is a lot to be concerned about, but I think a lot of people have declined to look at these commissions and institutions and how they're thinking and what their thought process is. Just because of all the crazy stuff going on in the world right now, and all of the distractions that consume a lot of media time and energy, like the 2020 U.S. presidential election among other things or what's going on in other aspects of the COVID-19 crisis. A lot of people are not paying attention to these moves that are being made behind the scenes, by the people who are really directing the direction of where a lot of these "responses" to COVID-19 are going.

Whitney Webb:

And where they're going is nowhere good. I mean, it's essentially pointing to tyranny into a technocratic system that's not even governed by a human at the end of the day, it's governed by an algorithm created by man. So obviously it's a can of worms they're attempting to open and the people who are behind this, whether the military and intelligence agencies, when they work in complete secrecy like they are in Warp Speed, they're historically up to no good. I would encourage Americans to remember that these are the same people who lied us into war with Iraq among numerous other crimes over the course of the past several decades. So we really need to question, should we just take their word for it and believe that they have our best interest at hearts, when historically they ruthlessly pursue their own ambitions at the expense of American interests. It's definitely worth considering all of these things.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

Yes, indeed. So that was a really great response. Thanks for that. I guess in some ways, their justification for doing this mirrors the justification that's given to participate in defense of bio-warfare weapons and bio, which leads into bioterrorism, which is a topic that we're going to discuss in our next interview with you. But it's just sad and tragic. So we're nearing the end of 2020. COVID-19, I'm not convinced that it's going to have this massive second wave, although the media is certainly portraying it as such with this number of cases that are up [crosstalk 01:06:06] the word cases with someone who is sick and symptomatic, which it absolutely is not. It's just a positive lab test and those lab tests are beyond questionable. But there clearly could be, especially with this investment in research and time, effort and energy in biodefensive weaponry, and biosafety labs 3 and 4, literally there are dozens of these labs around the world that the U.S. is investing in this whole research. I'm wondering clearly there's going to be a future pandemic that probably is more severe than what we just got through.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

So I'm wondering when you think that's going to happen. Do you think it's going to be this year, next year, you're going to hold off for three or four years and if you have any guesses on it?

Whitney Webb:

Well, I think it's very likely that we'll see some sort of event that will be labeled a bioterror event very soon. I mean, if you look at the people who “predicted”-

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

What's the timing on it, what do you think?

Whitney Webb:

I'd probably say before April of next year.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

Okay. Just six months.

Whitney Webb:

Yeah. It's unfortunate, but I don't have a lot of reasons to be optimistic about the plans there, because if you look at the people who predicted the coronavirus crisis before it happened, and then we're also immediately positioned to benefit from that crisis, as it emerged. Bill Gates, for example, in April said that the coronavirus crisis is pandemic one, and it will be followed by pandemic two, which will, he said in this interview with Steven Colbert in April, you can go and watch it. He said it would be a bioterror event. And the way to respond to this planned pandemic two would be to do the same types of preparations you would do for bioterrorism. I mean, that's straight from Bill Gates. And he, if you look at what he's been saying the past few years about bioterror, remember mainstream media likes to promote his warnings that there would be a big pandemic like the coronavirus crisis.

Whitney Webb:

And he was patted on the back extensively by mainstream media pundits for that. But what they didn't talk about is that in tandem with those past warnings, Bill Gates frequently warned a bioterror and talked about the need to merge international security, i.e., U.S. foreign policy with health security as he calls it and essentially merge the war on terror with a war on bioterror. And there is a lot of the same people, for example, who did Event 201 with Gates in the World Economic Forum last year was the Johns Hopkins Center for Health Security that previously in June 2001 did a simulation about a bioterror attack called Dark Winter that later essentially predicted major aspects of the 2001 anthrax attacks.

Whitney Webb:

And of course, participants in Dark Winter itself had very eerie foreknowledge that something was going to happen with anthrax between the date of September 11th and when the first case of an anthrax poisoning was publicly announced in the beginning of October 2001. I point a lot of this out in an investigative series called Engineering Contagion that follows – well, it's not quite finished yet, but it's four parts and sort of follows these characters through the years and to what

some of the experiments that they're doing now. So the Johns Hopkins center for health security has a sister organization at UPMC (University of Pittsburgh Medical Center). It's called the UPMC Center for Biosecurity in Pennsylvania. And they're currently under the guise of coronavirus vaccine research attempting to fuse anthrax with the coronavirus spike protein. And they are also attempting to do the same for measles.

Whitney Webb:

And those are essentially gain of function studies. The person that runs their center for vaccine research at UPMC is a major proponent of these gain-of-function studies. And when there was a gain of function moratorium, he was its most vocal opponent and was giving all these talks to government officials about how it needed to be lifted and all of this stuff. And what's very odd about what's going on at UPMC is that in the beginning of the year they were set to be produced really what could have easily been the first coronavirus, a COVID-19 vaccine candidate but it used traditional and tested vaccination methods that are already on the market and whatever.

Whitney Webb:

So under normal circumstances, we could assume that could have happened, but oddly enough after that had made some headlines about that development there, UPMC received a lot of money from CEPI (Coalition for Epidemic Preparedness Innovations), which of course is backed by Gates. And a lot of these actors that have been scrutinized a bit over the course of the pandemic. And as soon as that money was received, that vaccine candidate was quietly dropped and in its place were these experiments to merge measles with the coronavirus spike protein, and then merge anthrax with that same spike protein, which definitely don't really seem justified, especially when you consider just how many vaccine candidates for coronavirus there are that don't use and don't require that type of genetic engineering of two pathogenic substances.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

Yes, indeed. Well, that's a small taste of what our next interview will be on because you don't want to go down the rabbit hole now, but it really it's interesting. And we'll definitely have the interview before April that's for sure, because we need to know about this. But it really is interesting how they don't necessarily make this a surprise. They will actually tell you before they're going to do it. If you're alert, if you're sensitive enough, and if you listen carefully, you have a really good idea what's right down the road.

Whitney Webb:

Right. No, absolutely. A lot of this stuff ends up getting announced before it happens for whatever reason. I think it's definitely got a lot of people's attention for example that the term itself, Dark Winter, has been thrown around quite a bit in recent weeks, including most recently by Joe Biden at the last presidential debate. But prior to that, the former head of BARDA testified in front of Congress saying that this winter was going to be the darkest winter in modern U.S. history. Dark Winter is a bioterror simulation that in national security circles is famous. So that term may not mean much to the mainstream American public, but it certainly means something to the national security community when they hear that term being thrown around on TV and things like that. And when you have Bill Gates saying, "After the coronavirus pandemic there's going to be a bioterror attack," you also have the council of Europe, a very influential and

elitist think tank in Europe saying that coronavirus will be followed by bioterror, also high-ranking former CIA officials.

Whitney Webb:

A lot of the people involved in Dark Winter in the biodefense industrial complex that's essentially was created after the 2001 anthrax attacks. They're all saying the same thing. We really need to start listening to these people. Of course, they in advance have a narrative they create not unlike the Dark Winter 2001 exercise itself, which initially claimed that the anthrax attacks were committed by Iraq working with Al-Qaeda. And then lo and behold it's traced to a U.S. military, the source was the U.S. military for that anthrax strain that was using those attacks. So obviously did not come from Iraq or from Al-Qaeda.

Whitney Webb:

And so what you have now or attempts to see the similar narrative about who will be blamed for in events upcoming in the future, they set it up on purpose. I would argue so that when the event takes place, people are more receptive to those predetermined narratives about this particular crisis. And don't immediately start questioning what could have happened, or they wait for an investigation to take place. They essentially want these events to take place. They want to ramp up the fear, and then they want to conveniently tack blame to something very quickly before an actual thorough investigation could take place. I mean, that's what we saw in the aftermath of September 11th and also in the aftermath of the 2001 anthrax attacks and any sort of bioterror event in the near future.

Whitney Webb:

I mean, it would be the same they would before any investigation, they'll tell you exactly who to blame and exactly who it was. And we should be pretty skeptical of that, considering all of the gain-of-function research. A lot of these doomsday alarmist about bioterror admit that the gain of function research is going on in the U.S., it's going on in Canada, it's going on in the west. It's not Iran, it's not North Korea, right? Most of that research is going on here and it's justified under the guise often of a vaccine research.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

Yeah. Even if it's going on in China, it's likely funded by the U.S.

Whitney Webb:

Right.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

So there's a whole issue. So I think if you've been watching 'til now, you have a really good idea why I'm so excited to have the opportunity to connect with Whitney and have her share her incredible results of what she's been studying. So that we can become educated so that we don't have to capitulate to this fear they're seeking to imbue in us, which allows them to dictate and control us and lose our personal freedoms and submit to their tyranny. So the way you can avoid fear is to have knowledge and understanding, and be aware before it even happens. So we're going to have another interview very shortly because you've got a lot of wisdom to share and

help build up our knowledge base so that we don't have to be fearful. But where in the meantime, if people want to learn more of what you've compiled, how do they do that?

Whitney Webb:

All right. So unfortunately one of my main collaborators was taken off of YouTube relatively recently. So-

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

But you're on BitChute.

Whitney Webb:

Yes. But he's on BitChute, but I have my own channel on a platform that's called Rokfin, R-O-K-F-I-N. That is also-

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

The dot com?

Whitney Webb:

Yes. Dot com. And it's also a mix. It's a sort of media agnostic, so there are podcasts, there are videos, there are articles, all different things can go on there. So I have a podcast that's on there.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

Is that a platform or is that your actual site?

Whitney Webb:

No, it's a platform. So I'm-

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

How do they find you on Rokfin.com?

Whitney Webb:

Okay. So the name of my channel on Rokfin is called Unlimited Hangout, which is also the name of my personal website, UnlimitedHangout.com. So most of my work can be found there, but most of my Warp Speed reporting can be found at TheLastAmericanVagabond.com because myself and a couple of other people who work at, collaborate with that site have been doing a series called "Expose Warp Speed." That's all related to a lot of these issues that have been coming to light in recent weeks, really, really in the past month about the true nature of what's going on behind the scenes at Warp Speed. So between all of those, you can find me, I'm also on Twitter for now, but who knows what will happen after the election.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

By the time it airs next week, probably you won't be on Twitter

Whitney Webb:

Yeah. I probably won't, but in the event that I am, you can follow me there. @_whitneywebb.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

Yeah, it's just crazy what they're doing with Twitter. They haven't banned us yet, but essentially it's almost the same. You cannot post a link from our site. It won't go. [crosstalk 01:17:35] to warn you and say that it was a viral thread now that just doesn't work. So anyway, it doesn't matter because we are so grateful that there are people like you out there who are gathering the truth and exposing the duplicity and the lies and the scams and the fear-mongering that is going on so that we can understand and the spread the message. Because really that's one of the only hopes we have as a population to understand this at a deep level. And really you're a great resource to people need to know about so that we can really have the latest that what's going on so that we aren't surprised, and we can be prepared.

Whitney Webb:

Right. Well thank you for that. But I definitely agree that information is really key to – we have to expose what's going on, what's really going on in order to be able to fix it. And so, without any sort of knowledge of a lot of these things going on behind the scenes that mainstream media is deliberately not covering, is really crucial to being able to fight against these designs to take away our remaining civil liberties, our right to privacy. Really, a lot of the foundational aspects on which the way of life in the United States is built upon including private ownership of things like your home and your cars. Eric Schmidt at the National Security Commission on AI has his eye on taking that away from people in the United States as well.

Whitney Webb:

It's really important that we start paying attention to these people and what they're doing, a light needs to be shined on what's going on. Though, there is a tendency for some people absorbing this information, perhaps to be become afraid or concerned just because of the enormity of it all. But what's really important to keep in mind is that all of this is going on because they want our consent. That's they want us in a place of fear in order to get us to consent to these new systems, because our consent matters and our consent is powerful.

Whitney Webb:

And at the end of the day, these people doing this behind the scenes are much more scared of you than you should be scared of them. And if it wasn't for that, they wouldn't have created this whole situation to try and push through this new system they want to implement. So it's very contingent on – you can really have an impact, even if you're just one person by putting your foot down and saying, "No, I don't consent to this. And I don't believe what you're selling me. And I'm not going to be afraid of you." I mean, that's a really powerful thing that everyone can do.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

Yes. And they understand that at a very deep level, which is why they committed and invested so much time, effort and energy to control the media. So, because and even doing that, they aren't succeeding, but they're making headway, but they they're afraid themselves. There's no question because they have to sell this to them, and it's going to be very difficult to sell them that there's

people like you who are exposing the underbelly of what's going on. So thanks for everything you're doing, man. It's just great.

Whitney Webb:

Well, thank you for the opportunity to appear on your show. I really appreciate it.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

Okay. We'll see you back soon.