

# Fauci Was NOT in Charge of Covid Policy. The National Security Council Was.

Here's the question they should be asking Fauci, but never will: Who was actually in charge, and why?

DEBBIE LERMAN  
JUN 03, 2024

564 Likes 2 Comments 105 Restacks Share

So much excitement surrounds the questioning of Dr. Anthony Fauci under oath about the Covid pandemic response. Again. And he evades, and prevaricates, and avoids taking responsibility. Again.

And, once again, nobody asks the crucial questions.

When Fauci, former head of NIAID and the public face of the U.S. government's Covid pandemic response, says the 6 ft social distancing rule "just sort of appeared" — doesn't anybody wonder: WHERE DID IT APPEAR FROM?

When Dr. Francis Collins, former head of the NIH, who according its website "spearheaded the NIH's response to the COVID-19 pandemic," says about the 6ft social distancing rule, "I did not see evidence, but I'm not sure I would have been shown evidence at that point" — doesn't anybody wonder: WHY WAS THE SPEARHEAD OF THE RESPONSE NOT SHOWN THE EVIDENCE? AND WHO WAS NOT SHOWING IT TO HIM?

These are just two examples of how government committees of "investigators," when questioning the "leaders" of the Covid pandemic response, skip right over the most relevant issues.

## The National Security Council Was in Charge of Pandemic Policy

In fact, we know from the official U.S. government pandemic planning documents, that the pandemic response policy was actually not set by these public health figures at all. It was determined by the National Security Council — the advisory board to the President of the United States on matters of national security. Not a public health board. A group of military and intelligence people who advise about war and terrorism. They were in charge.




Figure 2. US Government COVID-19 Coordination and Response

So to answer the unasked question: Where did the 6ft distancing rule "appear" from? It was determined by the group in charge of pandemic response policy — the National Security Council.

Was it based on public health or science? No. It was based on the NSC's lockdown-until-vaccine policy. It was meant to keep everyone terrified and everything shut down until the application of the miraculous mRNA countermeasures.

Why was Francis Collins "not shown evidence at that point"? Because officially as of March 19, 2020, nobody in the public health departments of the government was in charge of anything related to the pandemic response.

Starting on that date [or a day earlier, according to other documents], as noted in its January 2021 "Initial Assessment Report," FEMA assumed the lead for the federal response to the pandemic.

Significant COVID-19 Pandemic Dates	
December 31, 2019	- The World Health Organization (WHO) notes several cases of viral pneumonia in Wuhan, China
January 7, 2020	- China attributes the outbreak to a novel coronavirus
January 21, 2020	- First confirmed U.S. case of the novel coronavirus is identified
January 30, 2020	- WHO declares a Public Health Emergency of International Concern
January 31, 2020	- Public health emergency is declared nationwide for the United States
March 11, 2020	- WHO characterizes the virus as a global pandemic
March 13, 2020	- The President declares a nationwide emergency declaration under the Stafford Act
March 15, 2020	- The President establishes 15-day social distancing guidelines
March 19, 2020	- FEMA assumes the lead for the federal response to COVID-19
March 29, 2020	- The United States has the highest number of confirmed cases in the world, with 103,321 cases, as reported by WHO?
April 3, 2020	- FEMA and U.S. Customs and Border Protection issue joint statement on using the Defense Production Act to keep scarce medical resources within the United States?
May 4, 2020	- The Food and Drug Administration authorizes the first antibody test?

The role was unannounced, unprecedented, and (I believe) illegal. It removed HHS, the public health umbrella agency, which was designated as Lead Federal Agency (LFA) for pandemic response in every document, exercise and directive leading up to Covid, and replaced it with FEMA — effectively placing the pandemic response under the auspices of the Department of Homeland Security, which is the parent agency of FEMA.

By law, the Secretary of HHS is supposed to lead "all Federal public health and medical response to public health emergencies":

## 42 U.S. Code § 300hh - Public health and medical preparedness and response functions

U.S. Code Notes

prev | next

(a) IN GENERAL  
The Secretary of Health and Human Services shall lead all Federal public health and medical response to public health emergencies and incidents covered by the National Response Plan developed pursuant to section 314(g) of title 6, or any successor plan.

But regardless of the legality of replacing HHS with FEMA, by March 19, 2020 — NOBODY IN ANY PUBLIC HEALTH AGENCY WAS IN CHARGE OF ANYTHING related to the Covid pandemic response. The National Security Council was in charge of policy. And FEMA/DHS was in charge of everything else.

All the heads of all the public health agencies who were on TV 24/7 telling everyone about the 6ft distancing, the masking, the testing, the quarantining: EVERYTHING THEY SAID was not based on any science or public health policy.

So if the US House Committee on Oversight and Accountability wants to oversee or demand accountability for the catastrophic Covid pandemic response — the first question that must be asked is: WHO WAS ACTUALLY IN CHARGE?

Debbie Lerman's Substack is a reader-supported publication. To receive new posts and support my work, consider becoming a free or paid subscriber.

derekcishop@hushmail.com Subscribe

564 Likes · 105 Restacks Share

Previous

### 2 Comments

Write a comment...

- Mary Ann Caton Jun 3 Liked by Debbie Lerman  
The few minutes I heard of the Dr./Mr. Fauci hearings today convinced me it was not worth listening to. It sounded too much like the "cat fight" in the House a week or so ago.
- Mary Ann Caton Jun 3 Liked by Debbie Lerman  
I listened to part of the back and forth between MTG, Fauci, and someone else on the House sub-committee arguing today over whether Fauci should be called Dr. or Mr. Seriously? Seriously? Is there no one in government willing to take on the national security apparatus? Are they afraid? Have they been told who the untouchables are?

Top Latest Discussions

Questions for Trump About Covid  
Unfortunately, it seems no one will confront Trump on his administration's pandemic response. Yet many crucial questions remain unanswered.

RFK Jr.'s New Book: The Wuhan Cover-Up  
Review and analysis of a book that no major media outlet has reviewed and independent bookstores refuse to carry

The National Security Arms of Government Led the Covid Response  
None of it was about public health. Not the masking, not the testing, not the lockdowns, not the vaccines. NONE OF IT.

See all >