FDA Making COVID Vax Decision Based on a Study of 10

The FDA announced they were reviewing data for a COVID vaccine for children ages 6 months to under 5 years. The data are based on just 10 children.

By Joseph Mercola
June 15

STORY AT-A-GLANCE

- Pfizer announced preliminary data from Phase 2/3 trials in children 6 months to under 5 years would be submitted to the FDA for emergency use authorization; the data are based on 1,678 children and 10 who got sick, which Pfizer claims is an 80.3% effectiveness rate
- Even vaccine advocate Dr. Paul Offit is dismissive at the number from which Pfizer is drawing conclusions. Just days before, New York announced the vaccine efficacy in children 5 to 11 years fell to 37% within two months after vaccination
- Despite 46,855 recorded in VAERS of adverse events in children under 18 from the vaccine, Pfizer says the shot for 6 months to under 5 years did has "a safety profile similar to placebo"
- Moderna announced their submission to the FDA for children younger than 5 years has a 37% to 51% effectiveness, which is close to the effectiveness of the vaccine
- Even the CDC chime that as the months roll by, most Americans are not taking or completing the shots to meet CDC criteria to be fully vaccinated. The push to vaccinate children may likely be related to the pharmaceutical industry's goal to mandate the vaccine under full legal immunity from damages

May 23, 2022, Pfizer BioNTech[1] announced preliminary results from their Phase 2/3 trial evaluating a three-dose vaccine for children 6 months to under 5 years of age would be submitted to the FDA for emergency use authorization (EUA).

Many in mainstream media are hailing this development as important to children's health, writing, "many parents of these very young children have been anxiously anxious to get their kids vaccinated"[2] and "Parents hoping to get their youngest children vaccinated against COVID-19 get some encouraging news Monday."[3]

Yet, despite the continued push by mainstream media to encourage parents to vaccinate the very young, the Vaccine Monitor Survey from KFF[4] found only 20% of parents with children under age 5 are planning to get their child vaccinated immediately.

Parents Expressing Concern for Children's Safety

KFF reports that a larger number — 38% — are planning to wait to see the side effects the vaccine may have in younger children before making a decision and 37% have indicated they will definitely not have their child injected. Importantly, the survey also found that "just over half of parents of children in this age range say they do not have enough information about the vaccines' safety and effectiveness for children under age 5."[5]

While information about vaccine injuries from the COVID-19 jab has been difficult to find on mainstream media, it is apparent from these numbers that many parents are concerned about their children's safety and want more information before they're willing to risk their health.

A paper published December 15, 2021, in JAMA[6] referenced the previous survey by KFF[7] which found 27% of parents of children 5 to 11 years old were interested in giving their children the jab, which is a 9% drop from the survey in 2021. The paper sought to unearth the reasons which parents who were hesitant to vaccinate their children by attributing fear to misinformation and misunderstanding and other "EUA" issues.

It is interesting to note that the number of the paper has received research grants from Pfizer and Moderna, and also serves on the advisory boards for Johnson & Johnson, Pfizer, and Merck.

The JAMA paper states the KFF survey found the primary reason parents were concerned were reasonably the "long-term and serious adverse effects, including future fertility issues."[8] According to the author, these concerns were addressed and disperson based on just one year's worth of data.

Pfizer's 80.3% Effectiveness Is Based on 10 Children

Pfizer's announcement that they were seeking an EUA from the FDA for children 6 months to under 5 years is "based on 10 symptomatic COVID-19 cases identified from seven days after the third dose and accrued as of April 29, 2022."[9]

While the study included 1,678 children who received these doses of the formulation, the small 80.3% efficacy in children is based on just 10 cases. The number is so low that even outspoken vaccine advocate Dr. Paul Offit — co-inventor of a rotavirus vaccine[10] — expressed dismay at the number, saying:[11]

> "I mean, 10 children — you're talking about 10 children. It's a small number, so it's really hard to comment or this as something more general since you don't know because the numbers are so small."

Pfizer announced these results after defying the EUA application process to gather more data.[12] Initially, children in the study did not produce a significant immune response after two doses, so the company delayed the request until they could give the children a third dose.

The trial reportedly was evaluating "the safety, tolerability and immunogenicity of three doses of the Pfizer BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine."[13] Currently, Pfizer's claim of 80.3% effectiveness in children comes on the heels of a New York state Department of Health study that showed vaccine efficacy in children ages 5 to 11 years old fell to 12% in two months after vaccination.[14]

In other words, 2 out of 5 kids who were vaccinated had no benefit from the vaccine two months after receiving the jab. The data taken from 363,501 children showed a striking difference between ages 11 and 12. The effectiveness against infection in 12 year olds was 67%, but in 11-year-old children, it dropped to 15%.[15]

The data from the U.S. were consistent with a report from Britain[16] that showed effectiveness against symptomatic infection dropped 25.8% after two months in adolescents aged 16 to 17 years.

Interestingly, the Pfizer press release published May 23, 2022, mentioned the word "safety" 22 times (discussing the COVID-19 vaccine for children, and twice the other was "well-tolerated among 1,678 children under 5 years of age with a safety profile similar to placebo."[17] The vaccine being used on the younger children is ivermectin the exough given to adults.[18]

Yet, the Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS)[19] recorded 1,878 adverse events in children aged 5 and 18,839 in children aged 6 to 11 from data published as of May 13, 2022.

According to the American Academy of Pediatrics,[20] as of May 2022, 19% of 5- to 11-year-olds received one dose and only 28% received both doses of the vaccine. If you extrapolate the adverse event numbers using 19% of the pediatric population that received at least one dose, there would have been 36,020 adverse events if 100% of children in the U.S. aged 5 to 11 years had been given the shot.

It seems highly unlikely that 1,878[21] adverse events in children 5 to 11 reported to VAERS after at least one injection would have resulted in only "mild or moderate"[22] events in children aged 6 months to under 5 years, or that the shot could have "a safety profile similar to placebo."

Moderna Is Also Requesting an EUA for Children Under 5

Moderna's also stepping up to the plate and requesting an EUA for a few dose shot in children younger than age 5. The data they have submitted show the vaccine is effective 37% to 51% of the time against the COVID variant omicron.[23]

Paul Burton, chief medical officer for Moderna, spoke with Reuters, saying:[24] "I think for these little children, they really represent an unmet medical need. I would be hopeful that the vertex will go ex quickly and rapidly — but if it's approvable, this will be made available to these little children as quickly as possible."

It's more likely they simply children represent an untapped financial windfall for the company, since the vaccine has proven to have dangerous side effects,[25] while the death rate in children from the infection itself is far below any other age group.[26] But, if the vaccine is added to the pediatric vaccine schedule, and if it's mandated like other childhood vaccines, it will become an evergreen market representing billions of dollars to the drug companies.

Each year the CDC vaccine schedule requires the shots for all vaccine types in all age groups. The FDA may find the COVID jab's 37% effectiveness rate acceptable since the flu vaccine's advertised effectiveness is similar, ranging from 34% to 58% in children ages 6 months to 8 years during the 2015-2016 through the 2019-2020 flu seasons.[27]

While the flu shot is a non-jab, annual event, then far, the CDC recommends multiple jabs with the COVID vaccine. This means an adult may receive up to five injections of an mRNA shot with unknown long-term effects, which has not proven to effectively protect people against an infection.[28]

Since the effectiveness of the COVID jab in adults and children drops in just weeks, it's highly likely the same will happen in children 6 months to under 5 years. Again, the combination of a mounting virus, waning immunity and federal approval for a vaccine is a prime example of an evergreen business model.

More Americans Are Turning Away From the Jab

According to data released by the CDC,[29] 81.7% of the U.S. population ever age 5 have received at least one dose of the COVID vaccine. According to the CDC, this is 66.4% of the total U.S. population. The CDC also reveals that vaccine uptake has fallen more starting in the latter. But there's something else that's worth the worrying.

While the data show that 78.8% of the population are fully vaccinated by CDC criteria, 11.9% of the population who initially received the first vaccine have not gone back for the second dose.

The CDC also keeps data on the number of people who have had their first booster or second booster, and as of May 24, 2022, 48.4% of eligible people 12 years or older have received their first booster and just 20.5% of eligible people 50 years or older have received their second booster.

It is important to note that the CDC recommends boosters for people 5 and older[30] but they are not currently publicly tracking that data. This means roughly 17.2% of the population eligible for the vaccine did not receive any dose. When you add that together with the 11.9% who did not go back for their second dose, it appears that 29.2% of the population have decided they either will not take the vaccine at all or will not take another.[31]

Why They Are Pushing Children's Vaccine Approval

Download Interview Transcript

In this fascinating interview with Alex Mayer, we discuss the nefarious reasons why our children are being aggressively targeted for the COVID-19 injection, even though CDC data show they are not a serious risk. Mayer is board president of the Children's Health defense California chapter and is herself vaccine injured from a series of vaccines she received 20 years ago, before vowing not to do it ever again.

She's a graduate of Duke University and Northwestern University with an MBA in finance and management strategy. Historically, VAERS has shown that many vaccines have a questionable safety profile, especially when they're combined. Yet data from 2011 and 2021 have suggested there's never been a vaccine in dangerous use that experienced mRNA gene transfer injections for COVID.[32]

The lack of transparency and accountability has been a chronic problem within the industry, but the hazards associated with the COVID jabs have really highlighted this issue. Because the injections are still under EUA, they have legal immunity against liability for vaccine injury.[33]

As Mayer points out, what you may not realize is that although the FDA approved to approve and license BioNTech's Comirnaty,[34] the shot continues to be administered under the EUA. One reason for this is that once a product is fully licensed, the company also becomes liable for injuries.[35]

And, since the pharmaceutical companies understand how dangerous the shots are, they don't want to be financially liable for injuries. So, to get immunity for a vaccine not administered under an EUA, they must have the product placed on the childhood vaccination schedule, under which they're not personally liable if their vaccine injure someone.

Once on the children's schedule, it also allows the government to mandate the shot. As noted by Mayer: "This is the holy grail if you're a vaccine manufacturer of a COVID vaccine right now. You want it to be fully licensed, but you want it on the market until you get it on the children's schedule."[36]

The reason the pharmaceutical industry is pushing for vaccines in young children is clearly based on financial interests. VAERS records show 117 children under 18 have died because of the vaccine and 46,855 have reported adverse events and injuries as of May 13, 2022.[37]

It is crucial to share this information with parents who are making lifelong decisions for their children and to support your local politicians whose stated goals are to protect your freedoms.

Access this content 48 hours later by subscribing to the FREE Mercola Health Newsletter today.

Subscribe to Mercola Newsletter

Disclaimer: The entire contents of this website are based upon the opinions of Dr. Mercola, unless otherwise noted. Individual articles are based upon the opinions of the respective author, who retains copyright as marked.

The information on this website is not intended to replace a one-on-one relationship with a qualified health care professional and is not intended as medical advice. It is intended as a sharing of knowledge and information from the research and experience of Dr. Mercola and his community. Dr. Mercola encourages you to make your own health care decisions based upon your research and in partnership with a qualified health care professional. The subscription fee being requested is for access to the articles and information posted on this site, and is not being paid for any individual medical advice.

If you are pregnant, nursing, taking medication, or have a medical condition, consult your health care professional before using products based on this content.

1. Pfizer, May 23, 2022
2. NPR, May 23, 2022
3. AP, May 23, 2022
4. KFF, May 4, 2022
5. KFF, May 4, 2022
6. JAMA, 327(1):1-1.
7. KFF, October 28, 2021
8. JAMA, 327(1):1
9. JAMA, 327(1):1
10. Pfizer, May 23, 2022
11. Basis Cohen March 31, 2011
12. NPR, May 23, 2022
13. CDRH-PF, February 11, 2022
14. Pfizer, May 23, 2022
15. The Nyer, York Times, February 28, 2022, para.11
16. The Nyer, York Times, February 28, 2022
17. The Lancet, 2021;1(1):para. Jordan, Dagan A.N
18. Pfizer, May 23, 2022, bullets #2
19. CDRH-PF, May 23, 2022
20. OpenVAERS, COVID data
21. American Academy of Pediatrics, May 18, 2022
22. Pfizer, May 23, 2022, press release, bullets
23. NPR, May 23, 2022
24. ABC News, May 4, 2022
25. OpenVAERS
26. Carrieris, for Ottawa Control and Prevention, Provisional COVID-19 Death Counts by Age in Years, NOH, 2021, has.gov/covid-19-age
27. Carrieris, for Ottawa Control and Prevention, Seasonal Influenza Vaccine Effectiveness, 2015, 2016 through 2019, 2020
28. Wong/Gray, May 21, 2021
29. New England Journal of Medicine, 2022;386
30. Carrieris for Ottawa Control and Prevention, COVID-19 Vaccinations in the U.S.
31. Carrieris for Ottawa Control and Prevention, May 24, 2022
32. Carrieris for Ottawa Control and Prevention, May 24, 2022
33. OpenVAERS
34. CDRC, December 17, 2020
35. FDA, May 26, 2022
36. BioChem, December 20, 2021, Minute 23:30
37. Primary of Vaccines, Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, Judicial 2
38. Legal Information Institute
39. BioChem, December 20, 2021, Minute 23:33
40. OpenVAERS, COVID data

Original Article Comments

0 Reps

Comments

Write a comment...

Top New Community Sort by

Your Ability to Access Deleted Articles Returns
Dr. Joseph Mercola is transferring a Censored Library on Substack, where he previously deleted article archives will be restored.
Dr. Joseph Mercola Jan 2 ♡ 140 ◯ 140 ⧉

mRNA Vaccine Inventor Erased From History Books
The inventor of the mRNA technology, Dr. Robert Malone, talks about the COVID injections in a new video lecture of Vaxxitrine podcast.
Dr. Joseph Mercola Jul 5, 2022 ♡ 28 ◯ 2 ⧉

Will These People Be Charged With Genocide?
A total of 36 people have been accused of genocide in a trial of the International Criminal Court. Here are their names.
Dr. Joseph Mercola Jul 10, 2022 ♡ 56 ◯ 12 ⧉

The Truth About Bill Gates, Microsoft and Jeffrey Epstein
The mainstream narrative holds that Gates's ties to Epstein began in 2011, after Epstein's conviction for sex trafficking of young females.
Dr. Joseph Mercola May 31, 2022 ♡ 56 ◯ 12 ⧉

Robert Malone and Candace Owens Interview
In this interview, Dr. Robert Malone discusses the effects of the COVID-19 shots on fertility, as well as other issues. Listen to their entire...
Dr. Joseph Mercola Mar 31 ♡ 15 ◯ 1 ⧉

'Mammography: The War on Women's Breasts
Mammography is a cancer scam. This new movie by Dr. Kavanagh explores how mammography is causing more harm than good. Watch now.
Dr. Joseph Mercola Sep 3 ♡ 16 ◯ 2 ⧉

Frontline Care Doctor Shares How to End COVID
One of the critical care physicians behind the Front Line COVID-19 Critical Care Working Group shares his thoughts about the trends in the pandemic and treatments.
Dr. Joseph Mercola Aug 22, 2020 ♡ 8 ◯ 1 ⧉

The Lies Behind the 'Pandemic of Unvaxxed'
Mainstream media is saying that majority of COVID-related hospitalizations are from the unvaccinated but a deeper investigation suggests otherwise.
Dr. Joseph Mercola Aug 16, 2021 ♡ 27 ◯ 2 ⧉

How COVID Shots Suppress Your Immune System
Dr. Ryan Cole discusses how the COVID shots are actually undermining the COVID shots's centerpiece of other vaccines, established over the last 50 years.
Dr. Joseph Mercola Jan 28 ♡ 23 ◯ 3 ⧉

Candace Owens: A New Wave of Colonization, Carbon Slavery
Candace Owens, M.D., speaks about the Great Reset, which promotes technology as the mechanism to seize the world.
Dr. Joseph Mercola Jun 24, 2021 ♡ 21 ◯ 2 ⧉

See all >

Publish on Substack · Get the app
Substack is the home for great writing.

© 2022 Dr. Joseph Mercola · Privacy · Terms · Collection notice

skupe59

Stop sending your kids to peds and K-12 schools.

Posted On 06/14/2022

Leahoz

Good idea, Skupe59

Posted On 06/14/2022

---

glennlawngirl

One good thing coming out of this evil doing is antivaxxers are being born. Parents are questioning the normal vax schedule. People are going to think twice before taking a flu shot, Gardasil, MMR, hepatitis at birth, etc. i don't believe antivaxxer will be as scorned as in past. The ways of pharma have been revealed to a huge number of critical thinkers.

Posted On 06/14/2022

---

mirandola

The very invocations "anti-vaxers" or "anti-vax" are pure means to control. It is an unconstitutional over-reach, a brainwash stating that Nobody may think, Nobody may question, Nobody may hold concerns about side effects and death. Now how far do we want to ALLOW This to go? Do not bow to such jargon, do not honor it in any way, shape or form. Instead, discredit it right on its face! This is dictatorship at work, plain and simple, based on brainwashing! We quite literally do not buy this, with our tax dollars, neither do we buy it with our minds, and most certainly not with our servitude.

Posted On 06/14/2022

---

steelj

Glennlawngirl, I agree that is a very significant silver lining. Critical thinkers have been aware of the ways of pharma for a long time though, this is waking up even many of the sheeple. Mirandola, you are right about terms used to control - add "conspiracy theorist", racist, xenophobe, homophobe, bigot, et.al. all researched and approved as effective means to discredit opponents when they are speaking truth. I don't mind being called an anti-vaxxer, since it's applied to anybody who has the slightest doubt about any vaccine, given under any circumstances, needed or not. Anybody not an anti-vaxxer by the standards applied is a fool.

Posted On 06/14/2022

---

har1272

What mother would give their healthy infant up to an experimental drug study? That in itself should be a crime.

Posted On 06/14/2022

---

mirandola

"Because the injections are still under EUA, they have legal immunity against liability for vaccine injury." A) WHY do people bow to such gag orders as the law of the land? Don't talk, don't complain, file no complaint in court, even if someone dies! If that is not oppression, I just don't know what is. And it is time that lawyers and citizens alike, challenge the very fundamental notion of so-called "Legal" (it is not legal, in fact it is unconstitutional) immunity, right on its face! WHY has this not been done? B) We are governed by consent! And NO person, let alone any public official or entity, no algorhythm, Nothing and Nobody, has the authority under the law, to over-reach into Anybody's brain and to tell them what they think, what they believe ,and to what they consent!

Preposterous! C) Is the law a one-way conversation? Did We the People, did You the Individual, sign the contract in agreement? Come on, this notion of BigPharma's so-called "legal" immunity does not hold any water...at all! Not unless we consent! Turn your back on that one-way "contract", we are Not bound by it and we do Not live by it! We do Not consent, period, end! And this has nothing to do with AntiVax, it has everything to do with American freedom, applying to vax believers and skeptics alike!

D) To bookend for emphasis, WHY do we bow to unconstitutional gag orders---oops, let me translate that, I am talking about "legal" "immunity" in this instance----as the law of the land? Some laws are in and of themselves, unconstitutional. Lawmakers need to obey the laws of our founding fathers, or risk being called LawBREAKERS! Got change? Call this out, publicly. Thank you all. E) "Necessity is the plea for every infringement of human freedom. It is the argument of tyrants, it is the creed of slaves". ---William Pitt "No State shall make laws abridging the privileges and immunities of the citizens of the United States" 14th amendmendment.

Posted On 06/14/2022

---

ric5998

These people at a minimum belong in jail. I don't know what else to say. They are hell bound and determined to get these depopulation/transhuman injections onto the childhood schedule, a schedule that never should have existed to begin with.

Posted On 06/14/2022

---

wwalkerware

Ric5, just whom will be putting these people in jail? Shopping with money is no longer required in many states and cities. You just get a cart, load it with merchandise and leave. No cops, no arrests, no bail if you were mistakenly arrested by s rougue cop, and no judge to convict. Burn down a city, no problem, destroy historical monuments and valuable works of art, no problem. In fact the federal taxpayers and government printing presses will pay for the damages, at least in theory, they pay the states and municipalities, nothing of course to the individual who has lost everything. The teachers unions, the retirement investment losses--all covered in these payouts. Nope, as current events provide proof, no one but those murdering, riotous Jan 6 people will be arrested. Pay attention to what the politicians say. Don't elect compromisers.

Posted On 06/14/2022

---

skupe59

Yes, totally jail them or something even worse. If we jail them, our taxes have to pay for it.

Posted On 06/14/2022

---

mirandola

The true stories of the Food AND DRUG Administration's fudging of so-called scientific "Studies", continue to pile up. Some things reek. And the very notion of a combined Food AND DRUG Administration, is an inherent conflict of interest, right from the get-go. "You don't meld the outcome to fit the hypothesis, that is not science"---the late Lynn Margulis, biologist.

Posted On 06/14/2022

Almond

Bast*rds! They lie to us. How can vaxines not have a dubious safety profile when it is not possible to filter out all possible contaminants, biological and otherwise? If a parent fed many of these substances and adulterants to a child on a spoon they could be arrested for child abuse. Yet, it suddenly becomes acceptable if mandated by the board of education, govt or industry?! We know a certain number of batches are extremely toxic. In this respect, it is playing Russian Roulette with an innocent child's life and welfare. Where is the moral right to do that? Monkey pox has been in the news lately.

Along with global maps showing the percentages of people who have received the Pfizer vaxine--and maps showing countries with outbreaks of monkeypox. I found an overlay of the 2 maps (correlation) to be very interesting. Take into consideration that many African nations do not have the budget or data systems to report disease outbreak statistics when you are only looking at "confirmed" cases. This info may soon be disappeared, so hope it is still avail for your viewing. I found the maps again with some difficulty. expose-news.com/2022/05/25/monkeypox-used-cover-up-covid-vaccines-caus..

Posted On 06/14/2022

mirandola

Thank you Almond, for your well made points. A) I often wonder why it is not considered child abuse, when I hear kids screaming very suddenly at the doctor's office, after being injected! Anybody with a critically thinking brain would first look up the "vaccine" ingredients, they are available easily at the push of a button, on the CDC and FDA's websites. They can just google "vaccine ingredients" and name which vax they want to know more about, and magically, abbracadabra, bingo! there is is, right in front of them. Next, any critically thinking person would ask the all-important question: WHY (the missing key word, which we need to tout as truly all-important) would a mix of chemicals, metals, the jet fuel-based ingredient polyethylene glycol, mixed with Messenger RNA be helpful...at all, let alone in COVID?

Come on people, society-wide, it's time for a think! Lacking such a process, the verb conjugation changes, to Think, Thank, Thunk. And while society may be thanking the vax makers now, they may thunk later from the delayed side effects. No, this is NOT intended as a joke, at all! WHY (all important word) don't people research instead of go based on blind assumptions and blind trust? This makes absolutely no sense...at all! B) Before studying herbalism I too used to think "herbs for viruses and infections?

Oh come on." After learning the pharmacology, ie the supported mechanisms in the body, I realized that the assumption was wrong (sound like a familiar theme?) It's not about strength and bug killing, it's about physiological processes, aha! Given a skillfully done herbal blend, scientifically done, and well balanced to address body functions and each others' actions, then they can work very well. If people had this information, there might not be a vax craze/brainwash. The vax is NOT the sole solution, contrary to popular myth! Assumptions fuel society. Research assumptions! Sometimes antibiotics are necessary and can save lives.

Posted On 06/14/2022

Almond

mirandola and all... Perhaps just as scary is the assumption that all humans are alike and can be medicated with a one-size-fits-all formulation. There is no pharmaceutical product suitable for everyone. This is not good medicine. Just herd management. herds prepared for slaughter.

Posted On 06/14/2022

---

mirandola

Almond you are right. Further, the notion of centralized "medicine" is very scary. Are they trying to undo private medical practice, and one-on-one medicine? This is a dangerous model, very vulnerable, very UNsafe and very INeffective. And I don't care whether this applies to natural or mainstream medicine, people surely must be free to choose the path they believe in, and must be free. Further, doctors must be free to practice medicine per their own best judgment and conscience. The undermining of this freedom is very dangerous, ill conceived, oppresses, and is not a joke.

It is time for people to wake up and realize this. I hereby urge doctors to have the courage to speak out. if doctors fear losing their license or careers, or reputation for speaking out, please consider what happens over the apparently, not so long term as medicine becomes increasingly centralized. Doctors stand to lose or be weakened in their career position, at best, if they do NOT speak out...NOW! Thank you doctors, for considering this and thank you all, society wide, who choose to speak up for the cause of de-centralized medicine.

Posted On 06/14/2022

Almond

mirandola... Doctors will lose even more in the long run if they do not speak out. I recall a friend who left her husband. She said, "If I am walking down the street with nothing more than the clothes on my back and my self-respect, I will be fine... better than many others (those who sold their souls)". We need to get rid of a lot of centralized stuff and restore local control. Thee is no accountability if you cannot find "something that bleeds". How much accountability is there when you get a letter signed by "The Staff", "The Management", "Customer Service", etc. with no name on it?

Posted On 06/14/2022

---

mirandola

Thank you Almond! YOu and I think alike, we are on the same page! Further, we agree with our founding fathers, and are underscored by them! Please see my thread and the points I made, I believe this is terribly important for people to consider. Thank you.

Posted On 06/14/2022

---

steelj

Regarding doctors losing the public's trust, that's another silver lining since it's long overdue, along with loss of trust in vaccines in general, to come from this. The flip side is it seems since the end game appears the total destruction of western democratic societies, destroying trust in our institutions is a critical part of that. Kinda catch-22 - all our institutions are corrupt and have been for a while, the evil ones are promoting well-deserved loss of trust in them, yet that hastens our collapse. Heads we lose, tails we lose! There are some confusing aspects to where we are.

Posted On 06/14/2022

Almond

steelj and all... Long before I knew the medical system was collapsing, I sought alternatives, options and better therapies. Part of my journey of exploration was to return to college. Initially, my goal was to obtain credentials so I could not be sued for speaking the truth. Be that as it may nowadays, it is no longer a major concern of mine. Long ago, I refused to renew my health dept licenses as I could no longer act with integrity or autonomy under such conditions. However, the one thing that could not be taken from me was my education. I am of an age that, when I was younger, I was still able to acquire pharmacy books for making my own remedies. I have been able to improve on certain formulas by substituting natural ingredients.

More recently, the understanding of the need for my knowledge is becoming evident. There are so many meds that are no longer avail or in short supply due to a shortage of imports or legislation that took them off the market. So, more and more, I am making what I have come to rely on to remain healthy. There is greater expense and it is time consuming, but it is possible. I also have the advantage of living close to nature. Some ingredients require maturity and aging. I had the foresight to store them. Another problem is that companies struggle to find good help. Even if you want to buy a product that is on the market, the staff of many companies has become "customer hostile".

It is no longer worth the stress of dealing with personality disordered employees who do not understand their role in serving the customer. There is no guarantee you will receive what you ordered... With the exception of Dr. Mercola's excellent staff. They are always courteous, helpful and go the extra mile. I have also done well with Vitacost, so will put in a good word for them. They assume full responsibility even if errors such as damage by shippers occurs. Watch for their seasonal sales and stock up. Customer satisfaction => repeat business.

Posted On 06/14/2022

VanTheMan88

I wonder why any parent would volunteer their child up for any of these experiments conducted by Moderna and Pfizer. Do they offer a sizeable sum to parents, either of dollars or "charitable feeling" to the community for "doing their part"...? Any prizes for guessing how many children of Pfizer and Moderna employees were participants in these studies... Those poor kids. My wife's old friend went and got her kids vaxxed. No information or suggested reading could stop her from doing it.

Posted On 06/14/2022

---

juststeve

Because they are not getting the full picture, Legacy News doesn't report enough of the full picture, if any. The net scrubs out sources as quick as AI can stomp it out. The engrained Fear Porn after two years can become locked in, and kids who may survive this will be scarred with this experience for most likely a lifetime. While here, a rural area and targeted as being discontent, resistant, we see very few mask currently. Mostly those few who may actually benefit from precautions whether the Lab Created Toy was making the rounds or not. Those who bought in early and got Jab/s are now making much noise, and overall, as Jab/s became available for younger people the many rounds of boosters have been met with outright rejection. Heard from one who was all in at the beginning - if they couldn't get it right the first time they don't get a second chance.

Posted On 06/14/2022

---

versatile

In children, it's likely that 90% effective in stopping infection is less than 50 percent effective in stopping symptoms, and less than one percent effective in avoiding death. When wh measure effective in only one dimension - we get statistics that are useful for marketing, not for actual improvement in healthiness or illness. To your health, Tracy

Posted On 06/15/2022

---

skupe59

I agree with Har1272 in asking what good parents would give their healthy infant up to an experimental drug study. Unfortunately, my parents were always pro-vaccine and still are. Most of the vaccines we had in the 70s and 80s were and weren't mRNA, but they are still very problematic. I know so many other parents who are also pro-vaccine and won't research anything. Neither do most of the pediatricians.

Posted On 06/14/2022

Stephengrant

It's really a cool and helpful piece of info. I'm glad that you simply shared this helpful info with us.
https://axiommedicals.com/

Posted On 06/14/2022

---

billstri

Seems like it would be safer and better if they would inject the kids with a placebo instead of a vaccine and just tell the public they are getting vaccinated. Then they can still collect the money they want so desperately and not harm the children. Placebos can be even more effective than the real vaccine with the right numbers being faked. The massive money transfer from the US taxpayer to the vaccine company is the most important thing to the vaccine companies and their investors, not the shot. If they would just use a placebo, they could still get the taxpayer's money, political power, and patriotic duty they want so badly and not have to worry about the safety issue.

As for the vaccines, it is my understanding that almost everyone that has been vaccinated no longer has any vaccine acquired immunity from Covid. Only those who have had a Covid vaccine in the past month or two has any immunity to Covid. But the long term consequences of having been vaccinated can last the rest of your life. So they want to vaccinate babies during a period when adults no longer have any vaccine produced immunity left in them? This does not make sense. I think a placebo or sterile water injection would be far safer and would give the appearance of immunity with good feelings of safeness knowing you did your patriotic duty.

Posted On 06/14/2022

Keythong

Lying with statistics, so bad Science. The actual absolute "effectiveness" of all the "covid" jabs has been less than 2%, or 1%; relative percentages are meaningless, and would probably be negative with a proper control group, injected with pure Saline! Any government which accepts this BS is negligent and criminal, the same for any medical organisation and staff who take action based upon this fraud.

Posted On 06/14/2022

---

grulla

In some ways, this "Study of 10" reminds me of Ancel Key's flawed "Seven Countries Study": carnivoreaurelius.com/ancel-keys

Posted On 06/14/2022

---

wareagle82

Who in their right mind believes that small children, at least risk from Covid of any group, should be subject to these vaccines? In part, this question is rhetorical since the obvious answer is that quite a few Covidians are not just on board with idea, but ready to attack anyone who dares question the dogma. Tell me how this is NOT a religion. We now have this completely manufactured malady of Sudden Adult Death Syndrome to paper over the likely culprit of otherwise inexplicable deaths among healthy young people. Can I prove it's the vaccine? Perhaps not definitively but I can show this: SADS did not exist prior to the pandemic and forced vaccinations. The jabs are the only new variable introduced into the equation.

If it is not responsible, then what is? Worse than any of this is that it appears a good many people actually enjoyed the lockdowns, the masking, the threat of reprisals against skeptics, and everything else. They like being told what to do. It's a ticket out of having to take responsibility for anything, from assessing one's risk or doing anything about any existing health factors. An obese person, for instance, might discover that losing some weight would be a good idea. Instead, fat people are being featured in health magazine covers and sneaker commercials under the pretense that being grossly overweight is just another lifestyle choice.

Posted On 06/14/2022

---

wwalkerware

Hey ware, you're right on. It's called brain washing. Us old timers knew this was coming as soon as the states started accepting federally printed counterfeit money to assist with educating our children. Now look. States stop taking federal stolen money to bail out your poorly administered schools. Nothing in the constitution provides for the feds to become involved in public education. Nothing, and don't bs me the general welfare clause bs, because that's bs like the religion in public places bs and baby killing entitlement bs.

Posted On 06/14/2022

Leahoz

Can someone tell me what is this covid.?? ...the body has a NATURAL process of detoxing, it is called the FLU or COLD... now rebranded as COVID... WTF

Posted On 06/14/2022

---

fundamentalassumptions

And, what's new with that? Didn't they approve the last one knowing 'ten' were seriously injured by it?

Posted On 06/14/2022

---

har1272

Interesting thoughts from the man who spotted the Madoff fraud: "Of all the tales he was regaling in an hour-and-half interview, the 89-year-old was asked by Tim Ferriss, an early-stage investor and prolific author, what he was learning about now. It was a sobering if measured reply — the inductee to the Blackjack Hall of Fame said he was reading about what's going on with American society. "What may happen, I don't think we can predict for sure what's going to happen, but we can map out scenarios," he said. "You could have an autocratic country where a minority pretty much rules everything and dictates to everybody else. You could have a turbulent country where a large part of the country, maybe a majority, is badly upset and just wants to bust everything up and start over somehow." "You could have the choices I just described — a devolution, evolution or revolution," Thorp said.

Thorp also was measured in what can be done, saying there's not much anyone can do on a grand scale. Essentially, there's no hedge if the U.S. falls apart. "I think the best thing we can do is teach everybody to think for themselves, so they just don't take what they've told in the press, or in other forms of media, the internet, Twitter, so on, they just don't just take that and soak it up and believe it, but they question it, and ask whether in fact it might not be true, and what the motives are for the people who are putting these things out."

Posted On 06/14/2022