ESCAPE FROM CalBoil....
BY WILLIAMMACKEY
"The graveyard of empires..."

Behold Barack "Antoinette" Obama-Opinion
BY IRIS

Oped by Maureen Dowd of the NYT

Goldfix Weekly: "Middle Class Prosperity Died With the Gold Standard"
BY IRIS
By the time the Gold standard was rescinded, the FIA economy was no longer able to sufficiently recycle its labor and finished good surpluses anyway.

Do Masks Work? A Review Of The Evidence

By: TYLER DURDEN
SUNDAY, MAR 15, 2020 - 07:00 AM

Authored by Jeffrey Anderson via Cfju-Journal.org,

"Seriously people—STOP BUYING MASKS!" So tweeted then-surgeon general Jerome Adams on February 29th, 2020. Adams: **"They are NOT effective in preventing general public from catching #Coronavirus."**

Adams's tweet was designed to keep medical personnel from inadvertently infecting patients' masks, which were in short supply. Public health officials' advice in the early days of Covid-19 was consistent with that understanding. Then, on April 3, 2020, Adams announced that the CDC was changing its guidance and that the general public should hereafter wear masks whenever sufficient social distancing could not be maintained.

Fast-forward 15 months. Rand Paul has been suspended from YouTube for a week for posting a video in which he interviews Dr. Scott Atlas, MD, PhD, a Stanford University professor, noting that Sweden's decision not to impose lockdowns had not caused any spikes in Covid-19 deaths, and once again mandating indoor mask-wearing for everyone, regardless of vaccination status. The CDC, meanwhile, continues to mail out schoolchildren and teachers, even those who have had Covid-19 or have been vaccinated, masks and tests.

The CDC asserts this even though its own statistics show that Covid-19 is not much of a threat to children. Indeed, the CDC's statistics show that, through Feb. 27, 2021, 1,400 of Influenza-related PIMS-TS deaths—a lesser-known illness "associated" that latest eight months—that have died of Covid-19 across more than 19 months. What's more, the CDC says, of every 1,738 Covid-19-related deaths in 2020 and 2021 in 2020 and 2021, just one has involved someone ~~18 years of age, and out of every 150 Covid-19-related hospitalizations, just one. Yet, the Biden Administration, the CDC, and the CDC dictatorship that Biden and his cronies have seen to power have conveyed through lacit expressions, should nevertheless hide their faces—and so should their teachers.

How did mask guidance change so profoundly? Did the medical research on the effectiveness of masks change—and is a remarkably short period of time—or did the guidance on wearing them?

Since we are told that the CDC and other public health entities are basing their recommendations on science, it's crucial to know what, specifically, has been the medical research on masks. Has it been the kind of research that would function (second to none on the basis of science alone—the quality of research and the weighing of countless considerations—but they must be informed by knowledge of it.

In truth, the CDC and the WHO's earlier guidance was based on "research conducted on the best medical research on masks' effectiveness in preventing the spread of viruses. That research suggested that Americans' many months of mask-wearing has been provided little to no health benefit and might even have been counterproductive in preventing the spread of the novel coronavirus.

It's striking how much the CDC in manufacturing evidence to justify its newest mask-wearing guidance has ignored the research it conducted and published. **The CDC uniformly ignored the gold standard in medical research, yet the CDC basically ignores their report from disparaging certain ones that particularly contradict the agency's position.** In a "Science Brief" published, the agency states that "demonstrate that mask wearing reduces new infections" and serving as the main "evidence" for its mask-wearing guidance, the agency cites observational studies—none RCTs. The CDC instead focuses strictly on observational studies completed after Covid-19 began. In general, observational studies are not only of lower quality than RCTs but also are more likely to be politicized. Let's examine the researcher's judgment more prominently into the inquiry and lend themselves, far more than RCTs, to the results they seek to achieve.

A particular favorite of the CDC's, so much so that the agency put out a dummy report—without any new research—to promote the idea that masks work, is a study of counties specifically outlaw masks focused on two Covid-positive hairdyes at a beauty salon in Missouri. The two stylists, who were masked, provided services for 139 people, who were mostly masked, for several days after developing Covid-19 symptoms. The 67 customers who subsequently chose to get tested for the coronavirus tested negative, even as the two stylists tested positive for it.

This study has major limitations. For starters, any number of the 139 customers could have had Covid-19 but been asymptomatic, or else had symptoms that they chose not to report to the Johnson County Health Department, the entity doing the asking. The apparent lack of spread of Covid-19 could have been a result of good ventilation in the salon, the fact that the stylists' Covid-19 was mild, or, more generally, as the researchers note, "not few within the state had worn masks in public." The researchers also observe that "viral shedding" of the coronavirus "is at its highest during the 2 to 3 days after symptom onset." Yet no customers who saw the stylists when they were at their most contagious were tested for Covid-19 or asked about their health. Nor were the stylists asked how many people they saw while they were ill, nor how many people, if any, could have been infected from contact with them in the salon. Late last year, at a gym in Virginia in which people apparently did not wear masks most of the time, a trainer tested positive for the coronavirus. As CNN reported, the gym contacted everyone whom the trainer had coached before getting sick—50 members in all—and "no other coronavirus transmissions occurred." Clearly, the researchers in that mask-wearing study presented a hypothesis.

Another CDC-highlighted study, by Radar et al., invited people across the country to answer a survey. There had to 11 percent response rate—including about twice as many women as men—indicated that the mix of respondents was hardly random. The study found that "a high percentage of self-reported face mask wearers were associated with a higher probability of transmission control," and "the highest percentage of reported face mask wearers were in the Northeast, where Covid-19 was most prevalent during the large urban wave." However, as the researchers note, "It is difficult to disentangle individuals' engagement in mask-wearing from their adoption of other preventive behaviors, and mask-wearing might serve as a proxy for other behaviors that are not measured." Moreover, achieving greater "transmission control" is not necessarily a good thing. As Dr. Scott Atlas, MD, PhD, of Stanford, has said, it is in the top ten for deaths to Covid-19 cases and the bottom ten in Covid-19 deaths, while Massachusetts is in the bottom half in cases and the top five in deaths.

An additional observational study, but one that the CDC does not reference in its brief, is a large, international Bayesian study by Leech, et al. It finds that mask-wearing by 100 percent of the population "corresponds to" a 24.6 percent reduction in transmission of the novel coronavirus. Mask mandates correspond to no decrease in transmission. However, the study is misguided in that it fails to account for "confounding factors." Moreover, the study is misguided in its choice of countries, to complicate out the effects of sole variables from among other, frequently related, ones.

Mask supporters claim that they have no choice but to rely on observational studies instead of RCTs, because RCTs cannot tell us whether masks work or not. But what they really mean is that they don't like the RCTs' show.

The randomized controlled trial, date in a sense, to 1747, when Royal Navy surgeon James Lind divided sailors suffering from similar cases of scurvy into six pairs and tried different methods of treatment on each. Lind writes, "The consequence was, that the cure could not be owing to any circumstance, but what was in the nature of a medicine."

The RCT eventually became firmly established as the most reliable way to test medical interventions. The following passage, from Abdelhamid Attia, an M.D. and professor of obstetrics and gynecology at Cairo University in Egypt, conveys its dominance:

> The importance of RCTs for clinical practice can be illustrated by the discussion on the shift of practice in hormone replacement therapy (HRT). For decades HRT was considered the standard care for postmenopausal, symptomatic and asymptomatic women. Evidence for the effectiveness of HRT relied mostly on observational studies. Randomized controlled trials of HRT in 2002 that was published in 2002 … has changed clinical practice and it is now a world from the liberal use of HRT to the conservative use in selected symptomatic cases and for the shortest period of time. In other words, one well conducted RCT has changed the practice that relied on tens, and probably hundreds, of observational studies for decades.

A randomized controlled trial divides participants into different groups on a randomized basis. At least one group receives an "intervention," or treatment, that is generally tested against a control group not receiving the intervention. The twofold strength of an RCT is that it "controls for unknown factors that might be associated with both the intervention causes an interest effect—while at the same time ensuring "we can't help" the researchers to produce their own preferred outcomes.

This is true at least as long as an RCT's findings are based on "intention-to-treat" analysis, whereby all participants are kept in the treatment group to which they were originally assigned, even if they don't finish the study or even if they don't take the medicine actually received the intended treatment. Eric McCarty, an M.D. at the University of Kentucky, says, "Intention-to-treat analysis is important because it preserves the benefits of randomization, which cannot be assumed when using other methods of analysis."

Such other methods of analysis include subgroup, multivariable, and per-protocol analysis. Subgroup analysis is susceptible to "cherry-picking"—as researchers hunt for anything showing statistical significance—or to being swayed by random chance. In one famous example, aspirin was found to help prevent fatal heart attacks, but not in the case of people born under astrological signs of Libra or Gemini.

Multivariable analysis (Yoshio Hiejima Hiejima, an M.D. and a PhD at the University Medical Center in the Netherlands, "only adjusts for measured confounding" —that which researcher decides is worth examining. Confounders are extra variables that affect the analysis, for example, eating ice cream may be found to correlate with drowning, but ice cream consumption is a confounder influencing both. This is a significant difference compared to randomized controlled trials, where the randomization process results in an equal distribution of all potential confounders, known and unknown.

Per-protocol analysis departs from randomization by basically allowing participants to self-select into, or out of, an intervention group. McCoy, who, in his opinion, suggests that participants who adhere "to research protocols) tend to be better than those who don't, and that adherence to a medical treatment is positive." In other words, per-protocol analysis is more likely to suggest that an intervention, even a false one, worked. Of these three departures from intention-to-treat analysis, per-protocol analysis is perhaps the most extreme.

With these different methods of analysis in mind, it becomes easier to evaluate the 14 RCTs, conducted prior to the Covid, that have tested the effectiveness of masks in reducing the transmission of respiratory viruses. Of these 14, the two that have directly tested masks on the off-the-repeated claim that masks in fact prevent Covid-19 infections.

A 2014 study in Beijing by Macintyre, et al. that claimed to find a possible benefit of masks did not have sufficient numerator, as only one person in the control group—and one in the mask group—developed a laboratory-confirmed infection. Much more illuminating was a 2015 study in France by Cauchemez, et al., which randomly assigned households, or "index patients," and their household contacts together into either a mask group or a control group. Household members in the mask group were "encouraged to wear masks," meaning that the index patients generally wore the furnished three-ply masks as intended. (No one also was asked to wear them.) Within a week, 15.8 percent of household contacts in the no-mask control group and 10.7 percent in the mask group developed an "influenza-like illness" (ILI). So, the two groups were essentially dead even. The difference between the two groups was statistically significant, but statistically significant. The authors write that the study "should be interpreted with caution since the lack of statistical power prevents us to draw firmful conclusion regarding effectiveness of facemasks in the context of a pandemic setting." However, they state unequivocally, "In various sensitivity analyses, we did not identify any trend in the results suggesting effectiveness of facemasks."

With the two RCTs that directly tested source control providing essentially no support for masks, the remaining RCTs tested whether masks worked to "protect" the wearer (combination of source control and wearer protection)? By dividing participants into a hand-hygiene group, a hand-hygiene group that also wore masks, and a control group, three RCTs sought to see whether the addition of masks (worn both by the sick person and others) provided any benefit over hand hygiene alone.

A 2010 study by Larsen, et al., which tested three hand-hygiene groups, found fewer cases were less likely to develop any symptoms of an upper respiratory infection (42 percent versus 52 percent) and influenza-like illness (5 percent versus 8 percent) in the mask group. The statistically significant findings suggested that wearing a mask actually undermined the benefits of hand hygiene.

A multivariable analysis of this same study suggested, surprisingly, that a significantly greater attack rate (the rate of transmission to others) between the mask-plus-hands group and the control group. Nevertheless, the authors maintain that mask-wearing "should be encouraged during outbreak situations." However, this multivariable analysis also found that participants in the mask-plus-hands group "had a significantly greater attack rate of transmission"—which hinted at a further confounder. Yet, Larsen and colleagues found that this multivariable analysis provided any support for masks. It provided at least as much support for masks.

Two other studies found no statistically significant differences between their mask-plus-hands and hands-only groups. A 2011 study in Bangkok by Simmerman, et al. observed very similar results for both groups. A CDC-funded 2009 study in Hong Kong by Cowling, et al., found that influenza-like illness (ILI) was slightly lower in the mask-plus-hands group but not to a statistically significant degree. Subgroup analysis by Cowling, et al., limited to interventions started within 36 hours of the onset of symptoms, found the mask-plus-hands group had the lowest ILI rate and a statistically significant degree in one measure, while the hands-only group beat the mask-plus-hands group in another measure. The authors, including Simmerman and Cowling, Carrie Arnold "see no additional benefit was observed when facemasks were added to hand hygiene by comparison with hand hygiene alone".

So, if masks don't improve on hand hygiene alone, what about masks versus nothing?

Various RCTs have studied this question, with evidence of masks' effectiveness proving sparse at best. Aiello from a 2009 study in Japan by Jacobs, et al. – which found that those in the mask group were significantly more likely to experience headache and that "face mask use in health care workers has not been demonstrated to provide benefit" – Aiello, et al. (2010) found no statistically significant findings in intention-to-treat analysis, and so, it was a positive contradicted itself.

The previously mentioned 2011 study in Bangkok by Simmerman, et al. found that the secondary attack rate of ILI was twice as high in the mask-only group (4 percent) as in the control group (2 percent), a statistically significant difference. The ILI rate was 1.5 percent in the hand-hygiene-only group.) Finding essentially the same thing in multivariable analysis, the researchers wrote that, relative to the control group, the hand-hygiene-only group had a statistically significant 0.5 percent ILI rate, and "adjusted in the opposite direction from the hypothesized protection." Masks worsened.

Subsequently, a small 2014 study— with 164 participants—by Beaudenon, et al. of Australian sailors, found 7 ILI cases, staying in close quarters in tents, found that significantly fewer people in the mask group developed an ILI than in the control group (21 percent to 52 percent). Unlike the other two aforementioned studies, and the RCTs, however, this study accepted self-reporting of "subjective" fever in determining whether participants had ILI. Of the 7 ILI cases, 6 were in the control group. Of the participants having developed respiratory viruses in the mask group or in the control group. These lab-test findings were not statistically significant, still, the lab-tests' greater reliability makes it far more clear that the masks in this study provided no benefit.

Other RCTs found no statistically significant benefit from masks in relation to treat analysis. A 2008 pilot study by Cowling et al. in Hong Kong observed that secondary attack rates for ILI were 11 percent in the mask group, 14 percent in the hand-hygiene group and 15 percent in the hand-hygiene & percent or control & percent groups, but these observed differences were not statistically significant.

Other methods of analysis, deviating from intention-to-treat analysis, yielded no support for masks.

A per-protocol analysis of a 2009 study in Sydney by MacIntyre, et al. found a significant effect when combining the surgical-mask group with a group wearing N95 hospital respirators. However, the authors write, a "crucial link cannot be demonstrated because adherence was not randomized."

In subgroup analysis of 2009 and 2010 studies in Michigan by Aiello, et al., limited to the final several weeks of the respective studies, each study's mask-plus-hands group had fewer cases than the hands-only group (1 versus 3 in the first study and 2 versus 7 in the second). In 2010, the results for the mask-only group also hinted at a slight benefit, reducing ILI by an observed (but not statistically significant) 8 percent to 10 percent. In 2010, the authors concluded, "Masks alone did not provide a benefit." They nevertheless recommended the combination of mask use and hand hygiene, despite not having tested whether that combination works better than hand hygiene alone.

A multivariable analysis of a smaller (218 participants) 2012 study in Germany by Jacobs, et al. found that, compared to the control group, the mask-only group had a significantly lower risk of developing infections begun within 48 hours, particularly of significantly lower levels of lab-confirmed influenza (but not of ILI in that combined group but not in either group separately). The authors, from Berlin, recommended masking and hand hygiene, while saying, "Concerns about acceptability and tolerability of facemasks in everyday life need to be addressed in intervention studies."

There is therefore much to be learned from studying masks' effectiveness. Table 1 of one of the RCTs studies by Jefferson, et al. shows, "We large (6,862 participants) RCT divided people between a mask-wearing group (providing "high-quality" three-layer surgical masks) and a control group. It took place at a time (spring 2020) when Denmark was experiencing a low community prevalence of Covid-19 infections. The mask-wearing group were the masks at least "predominately as recommended." The study found that 1.8 percent of those in the mask group and 2.1 percent of those in the control group became infected with Covid-19 within a month, with this 0.3 point difference not being statistically significant.

This study—the first RCT on Covid-19 transmission—apparently had difficulty getting participants that the study's eventual publication, Vinay Prasad, an M.D. at the University of California, San Francisco, described it as "thoughtful," "useful," and "well-done," but noted both criticisms. "Some have turned to social media to ask why a trial that may minimize enthusiasm for masks and may be misinterpreted or exploited is not being published."

Meanwhile, the CDC website portrays the Danish RCT (with its 4,800 participants) as being far less relevant or important than the observational study of Missouri hairdressers with no control group, dismissing the former as "inconclusive" and "too small" while touting the latter as "the first study to suggest that wearing a mask prevents the spread of infection" – when it obviously hadn't done so.

Each of the RCTs discussed so far, 13 in all, examined the effectiveness of surgical masks. Nothing little to no evidence of their effectiveness and some evidence that they might actually increase viral transmission. None of these 13 RCTs examined the effectiveness of cloth masks. "Cloth face coverings," according to former CDC director Robert Redfield, "are one of the most powerful weapons we have."

Dr. Redfield continues, "I think that so many high-profile public health officials have touted, "this fact of cloth masks," in the Iran's work (it is apparently still the case, as of March 30, 2020, that the CDC website does not mention any cloth masks study, with over 1,100 participants, it tested cloth masks against surgical masks and did not feature a no-mask control group. The trial tested the protection of health-care workers, instructing them to wear a two-layer cloth mask at all times on every shift ("except in the toilet or during tea or lunch breaks") across four weeks.

The study found that those in the cloth mask group were 13 times more likely (2.28 percent to 0.17 percent) to develop an influenza-like illness than those in the surgical-mask group (and 6 times more likely than those in the control group). Contamination rates and found that while surgical masks were "poor" at preventing the penetration of particles—letting 44 percent through—cloth masks were "extremely poor," letting 97 percent through. (N95 respirator respirators let 1 percent through.)

The authors write that wearing a cloth mask "may potentially increase the infection risk" for health care workers. "The mice may survive on the surface of the facemasks," they explain, while "a contaminated cloth mask may transfer pathogen from the face to the hands." Moreover, the "moisture" from the cloth mask may become "compromised." As for double-masking, the authors write, "Recent laboratory studies suggested double-masking, … increased the risk of infection because of moisture, liquid diffusion and pathogen retention." Absent further research, they conclude, "cloth masks should not be recommended."

Macintyre and several other authors of this study, perhaps under pressure from the CDC or other entities with similar agendas, released what the CDC calls a "follow-up study" purportedly showing that cloth masks were safer than surgical masks. The fact that the CDC cites it despite its glaring disparaging the original study, which, the CDC asserts, "had a number of limitations." This 2020 follow-up pretty much amounts to publishing the finding that when hospitals washed the cloth masks between uses they were only about half as likely to get infected as when they washed the cloth masks themselves. The CDC, however, asserts, "We do not recommend cloth masks for medical workers," mask or not, and.

Other reviews of the evidence have been mixed but generally have come to similar conclusions. Cochrane masking advocates admit that the RCT of Danish Prasad but cite other forms of evidence that have held up masks. A study for Cochrane Reviews by Jefferson, et al. that examines 13 of the 14 RCTs discussed herein (all but Danish Covid-19 study) notes "uncertainty about the effects of face masks" and "lack of high-quality randomized controlled trials." The study's authors, however, "Suggest that surgical masks may be effective in reducing clinical respiratory influenza." Meanwhile, a study by Perlaki, et al., which performed a Bayesian analysis on 17 of the 14 RCTs, Bayesian meta, concluded that when it comes to "the mortality or harms of wearing face masks, … the scientific evidence should be considered inconclusive." The study's authors, however, "Suggest that the use of medical or non-wearing face masks is commonly recommended, but there is little evidence from laboratory confirmed viral respiratory infections."

In sum, of the 14 RCTs that have been tested the effectiveness of masks in preventing the transmission of respiratory viruses, three suggest, but do not provide any statistically significant evidence in intention-to-treat analysis, that masks might be useful. The other eleven suggest that masks are either useless – whether compared to hand hygiene or to nothing – or, in the case of the Danish study, may actually contaminate people. Of the three studies that provided statistically significant evidence in intention-to-treat analysis that was not contradicted within the same study, one found that the combination of surgical masks and hand hygiene offered more than hand hygiene alone, one found that the combination of surgical masks and hand hygiene was no better than hand hygiene, and one found that cloth masks were less effective than surgical masks.

Hiram Powers, the nineteenth-century neoclassical sculptor, barely observed, "The ear is the window to the soul, the mouth the door. The intellect, the will, are seen in the eye, the emotions, sensibilities, and affections, in the mouth." **The best available scientific evidence suggests that the American people, credulously doubting their public-health officials, have been blocking the door to the soul without blocking the transmission of the novel coronavirus.**

NEVER MISS THE NEWS THAT MATTERS MOST.
Receive a daily recap featuring a curated list of most read stories delivered to your inbox.
Subscribe
Prepare a daily recap featuring a curated list of most read stories delivered to your inbox
ZEROHEDGE DIRECT TO YOUR INBOX
Receive a daily recap featuring a curated list of most read stories
Email
Subscribe

NEVER MISS THE NEWS THAT MATTERS MOST
WELCOME, NAMFESTERBIRD
PROFILE LOG OUT
TODAY'S TOP STORIES
HOT TAKES
CURATED BY THE MARKET LAB
WE ARE NOW IN THE TOUGHEST EARNINGS SEVERSON PERIOD
There is seasonally also a savings component to the data, and it is now prime earnings season. It is not that downgraded. Let's see if this will be th...
Original TLB
CONTACT INFORMATION
SUGGESTED READING

ZEROHEDGE READS
ZERO HEDGE
ALT MARKET
CAPITALIST EXPLOITS
CHRISTOPHER BARNATT
DR. JOSEPHINE BOREE
FRANCIS X. ELLIOTT MAYES
GLOBAL DEVELOPMENTS
INSIDECLIMATE
JEFF THOMAS
JOHN LOFTUS
LEO GIRARD
MACHINALS CAPITALISM
IMPLODE EXPLODE
MICHAEL SNYDER
ROBERT BUCHANAN
RON PAUL
WEED INSTITUTE
WITH THE ANGELS
WORLD ECONOMICS
ZERO TIME MAGAZINE
DEL PRIEST
DEFIN THE BOXES
PANDEMIC, WAR ROOM
DAVID SHEPHERD
DISTRO THE DARKS ROOM
EXPAND

127,738 224

NEVER MISS THE NEWS THAT MATTERS MOST
ZEROHEDGE DIRECT TO YOUR INBOX
Receive a daily recap featuring a curated list of most read stories
Email
Subscribe

COMMENTS MY PROFILE

Source

Max 2000 characters remaining

POST

COMMENTING AS NAPKTESTSDOT.

PREVIOUS COMMENTS 10 ALL COMMENTS 10 VIEWING OPTIONS

Sam's_way 9 hours ago

Here is what you need to know.

-asbestos particles are 50 times larger than the corona virus....50 times. These masks do not protect when used around asbestos. They are not approved by OSHA to be used around asbestos.... Think about that.

4 32 Reply

Bite_the_bullet_yoga 9 hours ago (edited 9 hours ago)

Wearing a mask was never about health nor Covid 19.

It has always been about social control of the masses through fear and virtue signaling. It became a conformity marker among the human herd. Masks only are a submission signal of the herd. It shows in broad daylight those who bow to the Covidian Cult and those who do not. The true believers versus the heretics...

Repost

There are some strange aspects regarding the French ties within the Wuhan P4 Chinese lab, that are truly astonishing. I explain why (pages 15 to 17) in this 20 pages PDF I wrote:

Covidian Cult, mass hysteria & further perspectives v4.4

If you find it worth reading, feel free to share/print this PDF around you. Stand your ground. Reject coercion. Protect your loved ones.

4 1 Reply

Sam's_way 9 hours ago

Couldn't agree more. It's about controlling a population. This entire debacle (I think was multi faceted) had one of the goals of taking away our individuality and pressuring us to submit to their authority.

23 1 Reply

Lossy 9 hours ago (edited 9 hours ago)

The only good thing about them covid masks is that they cover a lot of ugly fleeting British faces. :-))

Lossy

13 1 Reply

Not Your father's Dr 9 hours ago (edited 9 hours ago)

The masks work just as intended:

One Pissed Off Doctor

Occult Ritual Transformation and Coronavirus: How Mask Dismembering, Hand Washing, Ritual Separation" and Lockdowns Are Age-Old Occult Rituals Being Used to Initiate People Into a New Global Order https://ladyenvironmental.com/occult-ritual-transformation-and-coronavirus/?fbclid=IwAR0NNxvNaEKf0BuDqN6GOnvMA7dDBeo-pQ3Ts5cEJ1phfhXVLdlmGaD4Mow

https://www.lewrockwell.com/2o-masks-cancer/ Millions of face masks officially declared dangerous

https://www.lewrockwell.com/2o-masks-health

https://www.lewrockwell.com/2o-masks-bacterial

https://www.lewrockwell.com/2o-face-masks

https://www.lewrockwell.com/2o-face-masks-control

1 Reply

SSMart 9 hours ago

The think I like best about the masks is it makes it easier to identify the retarded folks in society.

7 Reply

Bite_the_bullet_yoga 9 hours ago (edited)

Indeed. It is an hostile Globalist takeover.

The most massive since human History exist. They want to create a new, neo-feudal system, where people are under total control, using both SCHS (Social Credit Health Score aka "vaccines" passports) and biological warfare (mRNA "vaccines") to do so. CBDC and UBI will be promoted soon.

Page 9 of my PDF:

Your digital ID will be made mandatory. It will include your digital money passport and also your digital wallet. CBDC amounts will be given to each one through CB directly into their digital wallet. Surely your digital wallet will be linked to your social credit (health) score. A carbon copy of the Chinese one, using Covid 19 mass hysteria as a trigger horse.

Still page 9, about the future use of CBDC along with the SCHS:

With CBDC, there is no bank run that could occurs, because money became ethereal, virtual only. Here lies the trick of the absolute control over prides, after technological tyranny in the near future, if you refuse anything the authority order you to do, (like mRNA vaccines as an example) your social credit (health) score will drop progressively.

Once it turn negative, your digital bucks cease to get paid on your digital wallet. You instantly became a pariah, a technological outcast. Rapidly without money, you will starve and no one will want to help you, fearing they share the same fate if they did. Some kind of a "digital black plague" put on reluctant citizens, to enforce absolute social control over masses. A digital seal of infamy, a permanent threat.

4 Reply

FTHother 9 hours ago

We can get freedom. Total freedom. No more mask mandates. No more vaccine passports. No more 2A restrictions. No more vehicle registration.

Total freedom. A government of the people, by the people and for the people.

I have a plan. (12 minutes)

https://rumble.com/embed/v4rn0c/?pub=o59f15

Reply

pitch-by-Letter 9 hours ago

eyes wide shut ritual.....sheep don't know they are being initiated, into meeting the AC.....

4 Reply

Sott 9 hours ago

Asbestos doesn't have the PR Coronn has.

ancientwerth 3 hours ago

I'm saying from the day one that these masks are like open door to a mosquitoes. I work with microscope and can't stop laughing when I see mask through microscope.

Reply

LaughingWC1 PREMIUM 9 hours ago

https://altthatmatters42.wordpress.com/2020/06/20/science-of-n95-masks/

Learn how masks work, dumbasses.

Electromagnetic charges attract and hold the smallest particles.

Why is ZH so full of otherwise intelligent people who say such moronic things? With properly made masks, size actually doesn't matter. Your boyfriend wasn't lying

4 Reply

LordNo 9 hours ago

Few folks have the scientific background to have any idea what a virus is... let alone the relative size of one...

I was in the Dentist's office a few days ago... that was fun... and I got into talking with the nurse about my bees...

I had to explain the process of pollination to her... she had no concept...

That a nurse doesn't know about the birds and the bees... that is sad...

23 Reply

cigarThyme 9 hours ago

Bees are indeed a mystery to most. I also keep bees. Since 1975.

1 Reply

LordNo 9 hours ago

We started our project this year... my daughter has her masters in ecology and her specialty was pollinators... so I am working with her to build the business....ultimately we will be building a lab on site intended to serve other beekeepers as well as allowing my daughter to continue her research...

I love the little critters... what beautiful animals...

1 Reply

pitch-by-Letter 9 hours ago

May the Most High bless the fruits of your labor.

I'm growing deer resistant flowers for hummingbirds, butterflies and bees.

Also have a large bee keeping business nearby.

Will soon start repopulating for allowing them to use our few acres for their hives.

Our neighbors all have gardens. Should be a win-win for all.

1 Reply

Xenia 9 hours ago

Because the virus doesn't float through the air like asbestos particles. It is WITHIN the droplets spewing from mouths and noses. Respiration emits saliva, and coughing and sneezing emit phlegm. That's where the virus is

4 Reply

Sam's_way 9 hours ago (edited 9 hours ago)

It still doesn't need around one's face! If the droplets are FLOATING/ SUSPENDED in the air then they can float around the edges of the mask and you can still inhale them!!

The blue medical masks are not meant to prevent transmission of a virus...period.

Your best defense against this virus is to be generally healthy, exercise, eat right, and get good rest.

23 Reply

Not Your father's Dr 7 hours ago

One Pissed Off Doctor

3 Reply

wolrdall 7 hours ago

I say the best defense against a virus is to not be around anybody who is sick. Pay poor people to buy your groceries, don't take the subway or Uber, etc.

1 Reply

Xenia 7 hours ago

Agree as to best defense. Most important is keeping fingers out of eyes, nose, mouth and ears.

I hate masks as much as anyone. When not wearing one, I keep even greater distance

Masks do provide some containment of spittle. A sneeze shoots out 20+ feet. A mask restricts, and even more if sneeze is into elbow. Then the mess is your problem, not mine

I am good with one less sneeze or cough in air or on keypad

1 Reply

Sam's_way 7 hours ago (edited 7 hours ago)

There are plenty of studies that show wearing the masks are more of a health detriment. Bacteria runs rampant and that is more of a risk than the virus.

There is never going to be any relief from all viruses and bacteria...this is why we have a developed immune system.

Unless you have some kind of specific risk....wearing a mask at all (for health purposes) is actually insane.

I don't get why anyone would advocate for wearing a mask just for one less cough or sneeze in the air. If you had said that 24 months ago almost everyone would think you were a nut case.

3 Reply

mostlikelihood PREMIUM 7 hours ago

99.7% of the population has nothing to fear, why wear a mask at all with that simple fact alone? Unless you have specific reasons.

None of this is about public health. It's all about power and control.

1 Reply

watchdogdave PREMIUM 6 hours ago

What happens when the "spittle" evaporates? Now, instead of falling to the ground, the "spittle" contents get blown all over the place.

2 Reply

bigjim 5 hours ago (edited 5 hours ago)

Because the virus doesn't float through the air like asbestos particles. It is WITHIN the droplets spewing from mouths and noses. Respiration emits saliva, and coughing and sneezing emit phlegm. That's where the virus is

You're correct - it's fallacious to argue against the use of masks on the grounds of virus size when their proponents are saying the masks catch the airborne droplets **containing** the virus. And clearly, the masks do do some absorption, because they rapidly become damp, so they are definitely filtering out **some** amount of the moisture droplets in the wearer's breath.

However, this does not prove they significantly reduce transmission, for several reasons.

Firstly, if you wear glasses, you'll notice they steam up in cold weather when you wear a mask (even the disposable ones with a malleable wire nose "sealer"), because they fit poorly. ie, they are just re-directing the breath. What's more, they're redirecting that breath through a narrow slit that accelerates the airstream and redirects it upwards. So even though some moisture is being absorbed - and how much the mask will absorb before just ejecting previous moisture/ trapped moisture is another matter - you may actually be increasing the range of your exhalations because of the redirection of the contaminated aerosols upwards at greater speed.

Secondly, larger droplets tend not to travel as far in people's airways. This means the virus is more likely to attach to areas where it causes less harm (in the upper respiratory tract) before spreading further into people's lungs' alveoli where it causes most damage. This gives the body time to recognize the invader in "unimportant" tissues in the upper airways, and kill it off. Even if masks are reducing transmission, they may be increasing virulence.

Thirdly, as the article touches upon, the masks become damp and contaminated not just with exhalations but inhalations, ie, imagine you're unaffected but you breathe in someone else's infected breath. The mask will catch some of those droplets and **store** them. As mask wearers frequently touch their mouths and thus contaminate their hands, and they then touch their faces, they may be exposing themselves to more of the viruses than if they'd not been wearing them at all, as the masks will act as reservoirs of viruses that the wearer will repeatedly be exposed to, either because they will touch them, or because their inhalations will suck the virus-laden moisture out of the mask and into their lungs.

So, yes, people should stop contending that masks don't work because they don't catch individual viruses; that's a straw-man. Their proponents are not claiming the filter out individual viruses.

The masks don't work for a host of other reasons.

1 Reply

LaughingWC1 PREMIUM 5 hours ago

If you are going to wear a mask, and want it to have a SHOT of being helpful, and dont have an adequate supply to hang and dry your masks and wear new ones every few days, you should do the following. This was the protocol my family followed for the first 12-15 months of the pandemic and NONE of us got sick, even though we were at ground zero in Manhattan. Take a fresh mask and place it over your face, then place a second one over the first. Now, place a third one over the second. Now, place a fourth one over the third. Now, place a fifth one over the fourth. Now, place a sixth one over the fifth. Now, place a seventh one over the sixth. Now, place an eighth one over the seventh. Now, place a ninth one over the eighth. Now, place a tenth one over the ninth. Now, place an eleventh one over the tenth. Now, place a twelfth one over the eleventh. Now, place a thirteenth one over the twelfth. Now, place a fourteenth one over the thirteenth. Now, place a fifteenth one over the fourteenth. Now, place a sixteenth one over the fifteenth. Now, place a seventh one over the sixteenth. Now, place an eighteenth one over the seventh. Now, place a nineteenth one over the eighteenth. Now, place a twentieth one over the nineteenth. Now, place a twenty-first one over the twentieth. Now, place a twenty-second one over the twenty-first. Now, place a twenty-third one over the twenty-second. Now, place a twenty-fourth one over the twenty-third. Now, place a twenty-fifth one over the twenty-fourth. Now, place a twenty-sixth one over the twenty-fifth. Now, place a twenty-seventh one over the twenty-sixth. Now, place a twenty-eighth one over the twenty-seventh. Now, place a twenty-ninth one over the twenty-eighth. Now, place a thirtieth one over the twenty-ninth. Now, place a thirty-first one over the thirtieth. Now, place a thirty-second one over the thirty-first. Now, place a thirty-third one over the thirty-second. Now, place a thirty-fourth one over the thirty-third. Now, place a thirty-fifth one over the thirty-fourth. Now, place a thirty-sixth one over the thirty-fifth. Now, place a thirty-seventh one over the thirty-sixth. Now, place a thirty-eighth one over the thirty-seventh. Now, place a thirty-ninth one over the thirty-eighth. Now, place a fortieth one over the thirty-ninth. Now, place a forty-first one over the fortieth. Now, place a forty-second one over the forty-first. Now, place a forty-third one over the forty-second. Now, place a forty-fourth one over the forty-third. Now, place a forty-fifth one over the forty-fourth. Now, place a forty-sixth one over the forty-fifth. Now, place a forty-seventh one over the forty-sixth. Now, place a forty-eighth one over the forty-seventh. Now, place a forty-ninth one over the forty-eighth. Now, place a fiftieth one over the forty-ninth. Now, place a fifty-first one over the fiftieth. Now, place a fifty-second one over the fifty-first. Now, place a fifty-third one over the fifty-second. Now, place a fifty-fourth one over the fifty-third. Now, place a fifty-fifth one over the fifty-fourth. Now, place a fifty-sixth one over the fifty-fifth. Now, place a fifty-seventh one over the fifty-sixth. Now, place a fifty-eighth one over the fifty-seventh. Now, place a fifty-ninth one over the fifty-eighth. Now, place a sixtieth one over the fifty-ninth. Now, place a sixty-first one over the sixtieth. Now, place a sixty-second one over the sixty-first. Now, place a sixty-third one over the sixty-second. Now, place a sixty-fourth one over the sixty-third. Now, place a sixty-fifth one over the sixty-fourth. Now, place a sixty-sixth one over the sixty-fifth. Now, place a sixty-seventh one over the sixty-sixth. Now, place a sixty-eighth one over the sixty-seventh. Now, place a sixty-ninth one over the sixty-eighth. Now, place a seventieth one over the sixty-ninth. Now, place a seventy-first one over the seventieth. Now, place a seventy-second one over the seventy-first. Now, place a seventy-third one over the seventy-second. Now, place a seventy-fourth one over the seventy-third. Now, place a seventy-fifth one over the seventy-fourth. Now, place a seventy-sixth one over the seventy-fifth. Now, place a seventy-seventh one over the seventy-sixth. Now, place a seventy-eighth one over the seventy-seventh. Now, place a seventy-ninth one over the seventy-eighth. Now, place a eightieth one over the seventy-ninth. Now, place a eighty-first one over the eightieth. Now, place a eighty-second one over the eighty-first. Now, place a eighty-third one over the eighty-second. Now, place a eighty-fourth one over the eighty-third. Now, place a eighty-fifth one over the eighty-fourth. Now, place a eighty-sixth one over the eighty-fifth. Now, place a eighty-seventh one over the eighty-sixth. Now, place a eighty-eighth one over the eighty-seventh. Now, place a eighty-ninth one over the eighty-eighth. Now, place a ninetieth one over the eighty-ninth. Now, place a ninety-first one over the ninetieth. Now, place a ninety-second one over the ninety-first. Now, place a ninety-third one over the ninety-second. Now, place a ninety-fourth one over the ninety-third. Now, place a ninety-fifth one over the ninety-fourth. Now, place a ninety-sixth one over the ninety-fifth. Now, place a ninety-seventh one over the ninety-sixth. Now, place a ninety-eighth one over the ninety-seventh. Now, place a ninety-ninth one over the ninety-eighth. Now, place a hundredth one over the ninety-ninth. Now, place a hundred-first one over the hundredth. Now, place a hundred-second one over the hundred-first. Now, place a hundred-third one over the hundred-second. Now, place a hundred-fourth one over the hundred-third. Now, place a hundred-fifth one over the hundred-fourth. Now, place a hundred-sixth one over the hundred-fifth. Now, place a hundred-seventh one over the hundred-sixth. Now, place a hundred-eighth one over the hundred-seventh. Now, place a hundred-ninth one over the hundred-eighth. Now, place a hundred-tenth one over the hundred-ninth. Now, place a hundred-eleventh one over the hundred-tenth. Now, place a hundred-twelfth one over the hundred-eleventh. Now, place a hundred-thirteenth one over the hundred-twelfth. Now, place a hundred-fourteenth one over the hundred-thirteenth. Now, place a hundred-fifteenth one over the hundred-fourteenth. Now, place a hundred-sixteenth one over the hundred-fifteenth. Now, place a hundred-seventh one over the hundred-sixteenth. Now, place a hundred-eighteenth one over the hundred-seventh. Now, place a hundred-nineteenth one over the hundred-eighteenth. Now, place a hundred-twentieth one over the hundred-nineteenth. Now, place a hundred-twenty-first one over the hundred-twentieth. Now, place a hundred-twenty-second one over the hundred-twenty-first. Now, place a hundred-twenty-third one over the hundred-twenty-second. Now, place a hundred-twenty-fourth one over the hundred-twenty-third. Now, place a hundred-twenty-fifth one over the hundred-twenty-fourth. Now, place a hundred-twenty-sixth one over the hundred-twenty-fifth. Now, place a hundred-twenty-seventh one over the hundred-twenty-sixth. Now, place a hundred-twenty-eighth one over the hundred-twenty-seventh. Now, place a hundred-twenty-ninth one over the hundred-twenty-eighth. Now, place a hundred-thirtieth one over the hundred-twenty-ninth. Now, place a hundred-thirty-first one over the hundred-thirtieth. Now, place a hundred-thirty-second one over the hundred-thirty-first. Now, place a hundred-thirty-third one over the hundred-thirty-second. Now, place a hundred-thirty-fourth one over the hundred-thirty-third. Now, place a hundred-thirty-fifth one over the hundred-thirty-fourth. Now, place a hundred-thirty-sixth one over the hundred-thirty-fifth. Now, place a hundred-thirty-seventh one over the hundred-thirty-sixth. Now, place a hundred-thirty-eighth one over the hundred-thirty-seventh. Now, place a hundred-thirty-ninth one over the hundred-thirty-eighth. Now, place a hundred-fortieth one over the hundred-thirty-ninth. Now, place a hundred-forty-first one over the hundred-fortieth. Now, place a hundred-forty-second one over the hundred-forty-first. Now, place a hundred-forty-third one over the hundred-forty-second. Now, place a hundred-forty-fourth one over the hundred-forty-third. Now, place a hundred-forty-fifth one over the hundred-forty-fourth. Now, place a hundred-forty-sixth one over the hundred-forty-fifth. Now, place a hundred-forty-seventh one over the hundred-forty-sixth. Now, place a hundred-forty-eighth one over the hundred-forty-seventh. Now, place a hundred-forty-ninth one over the hundred-forty-eighth. Now, place a hundred-fiftieth one over the hundred-forty-ninth. Now, place a hundred-fifty-first one over the hundred-fiftieth. Now, place a hundred-fifty-second one over the hundred-fifty-first. Now, place a hundred-fifty-third one over the hundred-fifty-second. Now, place a hundred-fifty-fourth one over the hundred-fifty-third. Now, place a hundred-fifty-fifth one over the hundred-fifty-fourth. Now, place a hundred-fifty-sixth one over the hundred-fifty-fifth. Now, place a hundred-fifty-seventh one over the hundred-fifty-sixth. Now, place a hundred-fifty-eighth one over the hundred-fifty-seventh. Now, place a hundred-fifty-ninth one over the hundred-fifty-eighth. Now, place a hundred-sixtieth one over the hundred-fifty-ninth. Now, place a hundred-sixty-first one over the hundred-sixtieth. Now, place a hundred-sixty-second one over the hundred-sixty-first. Now, place a hundred-sixty-third one over the hundred-sixty-second. Now, place a hundred-sixty-fourth one over the hundred-sixty-third. Now, place a hundred-sixty-fifth one over the hundred-sixty-fourth. Now, place a hundred-sixty-sixth one over the hundred-sixty-fifth. Now, place a hundred-sixty-seventh one over the hundred-sixty-sixth. Now, place a hundred-sixty-eighth one over the hundred-sixty-seventh. Now, place a hundred-sixty-ninth one over the hundred-sixty-eighth. Now, place a hundred-seventieth one over the hundred-sixty-ninth. Now, place a hundred-seventy-first one over the hundred-seventieth. Now, place a hundred-seventy-second one over the hundred-seventy-first. Now, place a hundred-seventy-third one over the hundred-seventy-second. Now, place a hundred-seventy-fourth one over the hundred-seventy-third. Now, place a hundred-seventy-fifth one over the hundred-seventy-fourth. Now, place a hundred-seventy-sixth one over the hundred-seventy-fifth. Now, place a hundred-seventy-seventh one over the hundred-seventy-sixth. Now, place a hundred-seventy-eighth one over the hundred-seventy-seventh. Now, place a hundred-seventy-ninth one over the hundred-seventy-eighth. Now, place a hundred-eightieth one over the hundred-seventy-ninth. Now, place a hundred-eighty-first one over the hundred-eightieth. Now, place a hundred-eighty-second one over the hundred-eighty-first. Now, place a hundred-eighty-third one over the hundred-eighty-second. Now, place a hundred-eighty-fourth one over the hundred-eighty-third. Now, place a hundred-eighty-fifth one over the hundred-eighty-fourth. Now, place a hundred-eighty-sixth one over the hundred-eighty-fifth. Now, place a hundred-eighty-seventh one over the hundred-eighty-sixth. Now, place a hundred-eighty-eighth one over the hundred-eighty-seventh. Now, place a hundred-eighty-ninth one over the hundred-eighty-eighth. Now, place a hundred-ninetieth one over the hundred-eighty-ninth. Now, place a hundred-ninety-first one over the hundred-ninetieth. Now, place a hundred-ninety-second one over the hundred-ninety-first. Now, place a hundred-ninety-third one over the hundred-ninety-second. Now, place a hundred-ninety-fourth one over the hundred-ninety-third. Now, place a hundred-ninety-fifth one over the hundred-ninety-fourth. Now, place a hundred-ninety-sixth one over the hundred-ninety-fifth. Now, place a hundred-ninety-seventh one over the hundred-ninety-sixth. Now, place a hundred-ninety-eighth one over the hundred-ninety-seventh. Now, place a hundred-ninety-ninth one over the hundred-ninety-eighth. Now, place a two-hundredth one over the hundred-ninety-ninth. Now, place a two-hundred-first one over the two-hundredth. Now, place a two-hundred-second one over the two-hundred-first. Now, place a two-hundred-third one over the two-hundred-second. Now, place a two-hundred-fourth one over the two-hundred-third. Now, place a two-hundred-fifth one over the two-hundred-fourth. Now, place a two-hundred-sixth one over the two-hundred-fifth. Now, place a two-hundred-seventh one over the two-hundred-sixth. Now, place a two-hundred-eighth one over the two-hundred-seventh. Now, place a two-hundred-ninth one over the two-hundred-eighth. Now, place a two-hundred-tenth one over the two-hundred-ninth. Now, place a two-hundred-eleventh one over the two-hundred-tenth. Now, place a two-hundred-twelfth one over the two-hundred-eleventh. Now, place a two-hundred-thirteenth one over the two-hundred-twelfth. Now, place a two-hundred-fourteenth one over the two-hundred-thirteenth. Now, place a two-hundred-fifteenth one over the two-hundred-fourteenth. Now, place a two-hundred-sixteenth one over the two-hundred-fifteenth. Now, place a two-hundred-seventh one over the two-hundred-sixteenth. Now, place a two-hundred-eighteenth one over the two-hundred-seventh. Now, place a two-hundred-nineteenth one over the two-hundred-eighteenth. Now, place a two-hundred-twentieth one over the two-hundred-nineteenth. Now, place a two-hundred-twenty-first one over the two-hundred-twentieth. Now, place a two-hundred-twenty-second one over the two-hundred-twenty-first. Now, place a two-hundred-twenty-third one over the two-hundred-twenty-second. Now, place a two-hundred-twenty-fourth one over the two-hundred-twenty-third. Now, place a two-hundred-twenty-fifth one over the two-hundred-twenty-fourth. Now, place a two-hundred-twenty-sixth one over the two-hundred-twenty-fifth. Now, place a two-hundred-twenty-seventh one over the two-hundred-twenty-sixth. Now, place a two-hundred-twenty-eighth one over the two-hundred-twenty-seventh. Now, place a two-hundred-twenty-ninth one over the two-hundred-twenty-eighth. Now, place a two-hundred-thirtieth one over the two-hundred-twenty-ninth. Now, place a two-hundred-thirty-first one over the two-hundred-thirtieth. Now, place a two-hundred-thirty-second one over the two-hundred-thirty-first. Now, place a two-hundred-thirty-third one over the two-hundred-thirty-second. Now, place a two-hundred-thirty-fourth one over the two-hundred-thirty-third. Now, place a two-hundred-thirty-fifth one over the two-hundred-thirty-fourth. Now, place a two-hundred-thirty-sixth one over the two-hundred-thirty-fifth. Now, place a two-hundred-thirty-seventh one over the two-hundred-thirty-sixth. Now, place a two-hundred-thirty-eighth one over the two-hundred-thirty-seventh. Now, place a two-hundred-thirty-ninth one over the two-hundred-thirty-eighth. Now, place a two-hundred-fortieth one over the two-hundred-thirty-ninth. Now, place a two-hundred-forty-first one over the two-hundred-fortieth. Now, place a two-hundred-forty-second one over the two-hundred-forty-first. Now, place a two-hundred-forty-third one over the two-hundred-forty-second. Now, place a two-hundred-forty-fourth one over the two-hundred-forty-third. Now, place a two-hundred-forty-fifth one over the two-hundred-forty-fourth. Now, place a two-hundred-forty-sixth one over the two-hundred-forty-fifth. Now, place a two-hundred-forty-seventh one over the two-hundred-forty-sixth. Now, place a two-hundred-forty-eighth one over the two-hundred-forty-seventh. Now, place a two-hundred-forty-ninth one over the two-hundred-forty-eighth. Now, place a two-hundred-fiftieth one over the two-hundred-forty-ninth. Now, place a two-hundred-fifty-first one over the two-hundred-fiftieth. Now, place a two-hundred-fifty-second one over the two-hundred-fifty-first. Now, place a two-hundred-fifty-third one over the two-hundred-fifty-second. Now, place a two-hundred-fifty-fourth one over the two-hundred-fifty-third. Now, place a two-hundred-fifty-fifth one over the two-hundred-fifty-fourth. Now, place a two-hundred-fifty-sixth one over the two-hundred-fifty-fifth. Now, place a two-hundred-fifty-seventh one over the two-hundred-fifty-sixth. Now, place a two-hundred-fifty-eighth one over the two-hundred-fifty-seventh. Now, place a two-hundred-fifty-ninth one over the two-hundred-fifty-eighth. Now, place a two-hundred-sixtieth one over the two-hundred-fifty-ninth. Now, place a two-hundred-sixty-first one over the two-hundred-sixtieth. Now, place a two-hundred-sixty-second one over the two-hundred-sixty-first. Now, place a two-hundred-sixty-third one over the two-hundred-sixty-second. Now, place a two-hundred-sixty-fourth one over the two-hundred-sixty-third. Now, place a two-hundred-sixty-fifth one over the two-hundred-sixty-fourth. Now, place a two-hundred-sixty-sixth one over the two-hundred-sixty-fifth. Now, place a two-hundred-sixty-seventh one over the two-hundred-sixty-sixth. Now, place a two-hundred-sixty-eighth one over the two-hundred-sixty-seventh. Now, place a two-hundred-sixty-ninth one over the two-hundred-sixty-eighth. Now, place a two-hundred-seventieth one over the two-hundred-sixty-ninth. Now, place a two-hundred-seventy-first one over the two-hundred-seventieth. Now, place a two-hundred-seventy-second one over the two-hundred-seventy-first. Now, place a two-hundred-seventy-third one over the two-hundred-seventy-second. Now, place a two-hundred-seventy-fourth one over the two-hundred-seventy-third. Now, place a two-hundred-seventy-fifth one over the two-hundred-seventy-fourth. Now, place a two-hundred-seventy-sixth one over the two-hundred-seventy-fifth. Now, place a two-hundred-seventy-seventh one over the two-hundred-seventy-sixth. Now, place a two-hundred-seventy-eighth one over the two-hundred-seventy-seventh. Now, place a two-hundred-seventy-ninth one over the two-hundred-seventy-eighth. Now, place a two-hundred-eightieth one over the two-hundred-seventy-ninth. Now, place a two-hundred-eighty-first one over the two-hundred-eightieth. Now, place a two-hundred-eighty-second one over the two-hundred-eighty-first. Now, place a two-hundred-eighty-third one over the two-hundred-eighty-second. Now, place a two-hundred-eighty-fourth one over the two-hundred-eighty-third. Now, place a two-hundred-eighty-fifth one over the two-hundred-eighty-fourth. Now, place a two-hundred-eighty-sixth one over the two-hundred-eighty-fifth. Now, place a two-hundred-eighty-seventh one over the two-hundred-eighty-sixth. Now, place a two-hundred-eighty-eighth one over the two-hundred-eighty-seventh. Now, place a two-hundred-eighty-ninth one over the two-hundred-eighty-eighth. Now, place a two-hundred-ninetieth one over the two-hundred-eighty-ninth. Now, place a two-hundred-ninety-first one over the two-hundred-ninetieth. Now, place a two-hundred-ninety-second one over the two-hundred-ninety-first. Now, place a two-hundred-ninety-third one over the two-hundred-ninety-second. Now, place a two-hundred-ninety-fourth one over the two-hundred-ninety-third. Now, place a two-hundred-ninety-fifth one over the two-hundred-ninety-fourth. Now, place a two-hundred-ninety-sixth one over the two-hundred-ninety-fifth. Now, place a two-hundred-ninety-seventh one over the two-hundred-ninety-sixth. Now, place a two-hundred-ninety-eighth one over the two-hundred-ninety-seventh. Now, place a two-hundred-ninety-ninth one over the two-hundred-ninety-eighth. Now, place a three-hundredth one over the two-hundred-ninety-ninth. Now, place a three-hundred-first one over the three-hundredth. Now, place a three-hundred-second one over the three-hundred-first. Now, place a three-hundred-third one over the three-hundred-second. Now, place a three-hundred-fourth one over the three-hundred-third. Now, place a three-hundred-fifth one over the three-hundred-fourth. Now, place a three-hundred-sixth one over the three-hundred-fifth. Now, place a three-hundred-seventh one over the three-hundred-sixth. Now, place a three-hundred-eighth one over the three-hundred-seventh. Now, place a three-hundred-ninth one over the three-hundred-eighth. Now, place a three-hundred-tenth one over the three-hundred-ninth. Now, place a three-hundred-eleventh one over the three-hundred-tenth. Now, place a three-hundred-twelfth one over the three-hundred-eleventh. Now, place a three-hundred-thirteenth one over the three-hundred-twelfth. Now, place a three-hundred-fourteenth one over the three-hundred-thirteenth. Now, place a three-hundred-fifteenth one over the three-hundred-fourteenth. Now, place a three-hundred-sixteenth one over the three-hundred-fifteenth. Now, place a three-hundred-seventh one over the three-hundred-sixteenth. Now, place a three-hundred-eighteenth one over the three-hundred-seventh. Now, place a three-hundred-nineteenth one over the three-hundred-eighteenth. Now, place a three-hundred-twentieth one over the three-hundred-nineteenth. Now, place a three-hundred-twenty-first one over the three-hundred-twentieth. Now, place a three-hundred-twenty-second one over the three-hundred-twenty-first. Now, place a three-hundred-twenty-third one over the three-hundred-twenty-second. Now, place a three-hundred-twenty-fourth one over the three-hundred-twenty-third. Now, place a three-hundred-twenty-fifth one over the three-hundred-twenty-fourth. Now, place a three-hundred-twenty-sixth one over the three-hundred-twenty-fifth. Now, place a three-hundred-twenty-seventh one over the three-hundred-twenty-sixth. Now, place a three-hundred-twenty-eighth one over the three-hundred-twenty-seventh. Now, place a three-hundred-twenty-ninth one over the three-hundred-twenty-eighth. Now, place a three-hundred-thirtieth one over the three-hundred-twenty-ninth. Now, place a three-hundred-thirty-first one over the three-hundred-thirtieth. Now, place a three-hundred-thirty-second one over the three-hundred-thirty-first. Now, place a three-hundred-thirty-third one over the three-hundred-thirty-second. Now, place a three-hundred-thirty-fourth one over the three-hundred-thirty-third. Now, place a three-hundred-thirty-fifth one over the three-hundred-thirty-fourth. Now, place a three-hundred-thirty-sixth one over the three-hundred-thirty-fifth. Now, place a three-hundred-thirty-seventh one over the three-hundred-thirty-sixth. Now, place a three-hundred-thirty-eighth one over the three-hundred-thirty-seventh. Now, place a three-hundred-thirty-ninth one over the three-hundred-thirty-eighth. Now, place a three-hundred-fortieth one over the three-hundred-thirty-ninth. Now, place a three-hundred-forty-first one over the three-hundred-fortieth. Now, place a three-hundred-forty-second one over the three-hundred-forty-first. Now, place a three-hundred-forty-third one over the three-hundred-forty-second. Now, place a three-hundred-forty-fourth one over the three-hundred-forty-third. Now, place a three-hundred-forty-fifth one over the three-hundred-forty-fourth. Now, place a three-hundred-forty-sixth one over the three-hundred-forty-fifth. Now, place a three-hundred-forty-seventh one over the three-hundred-forty-sixth. Now, place a three-hundred-forty-eighth one over the three-hundred-forty-seventh. Now, place a three-hundred-forty-ninth one over the three-hundred-forty-eighth. Now, place a three-hundred-fiftieth one over the three-hundred-forty-ninth. Now, place a three-hundred-fifty-first one over the three-hundred-fiftieth. Now, place a three-hundred-fifty-second one over the three-hundred-fifty-first. Now, place a three-hundred-fifty-third one over the three-hundred-fifty-second. Now, place a three-hundred-fifty-fourth one over the three-hundred-fifty-third. Now, place a three-hundred-fifty-fifth one over the three-hundred-fifty-fourth. Now, place a three-hundred-fifty-sixth one over the three-hundred-fifty-fifth. Now, place a three-hundred-fifty-seventh one over the three-hundred-fifty-sixth. Now, place a three-hundred-fifty-eighth one over the three-hundred-fifty-seventh. Now, place a three-hundred-fifty-ninth one over the three-hundred-fifty-eighth. Now, place a three-hundred-sixtieth one over the three-hundred-fifty-ninth. Now, place a three-hundred-sixty-first one over the three-hundred-sixtieth. Now, place a three-hundred-sixty-second one over the three-hundred-sixty-first. Now, place a three-hundred-sixty-third one over the three-hundred-sixty-second. Now, place a three-hundred-sixty-fourth one over the three-hundred-sixty-third. Now, place a three-hundred-sixty-fifth one over the three-hundred-sixty-fourth. Now, place a three-hundred-sixty-sixth one over the three-hundred-sixty-fifth. Now, place a three-hundred-sixty-seventh one over the three-hundred-sixty-sixth. Now, place a three-hundred-sixty-eighth one over the three-hundred-sixty-seventh. Now, place a three-hundred-sixty-ninth one over the three-hundred-sixty-eighth. Now, place a three-hundred-seventieth one over the three-hundred-sixty-ninth. Now, place a three-hundred-seventy-first one over the three-hundred-seventieth. Now, place a three-hundred-seventy-second one over the three-hundred-seventy-first. Now, place a three-hundred-seventy-third one over the three-hundred-seventy-second. Now, place a three-hundred-seventy-fourth one over the three-hundred-seventy-third. Now, place a three-hundred-seventy-fifth one over the three-hundred-seventy-fourth. Now, place a three-hundred-seventy-sixth one over the three-hundred-seventy-fifth. Now, place a three-hundred-seventy-seventh one over the three-hundred-seventy-sixth. Now, place a three-hundred-seventy-eighth one over the three-hundred-seventy-seventh. Now, place a three-hundred-seventy-ninth one over the three-hundred-seventy-eighth. Now, place a three-hundred-eightieth one over the three-hundred-seventy-ninth. Now, place a three-hundred-eighty-first one over the three-hundred-eightieth. Now, place a three-hundred-eighty-second one over the three-hundred-eighty-first. Now, place a three-hundred-eighty-third one over the three-hundred-eighty-second. Now, place a three-hundred-eighty-fourth one over the three-hundred-eighty-third. Now, place a three-hundred-eighty-fifth one over the three-hundred-eighty-fourth. Now, place a three-hundred-eighty-sixth one over the three-hundred-eighty-fifth. Now, place a three-hundred-eighty-seventh one over the three-hundred-eighty-sixth. Now, place a three-hundred-eighty-eighth one over the three-hundred-eighty-seventh. Now, place a three-hundred-eighty-ninth one over the three-hundred-eighty-eighth. Now, place a three-hundred-ninetieth one over the three-hundred-eighty-ninth. Now, place a three-hundred-ninety-first one over the three-hundred-ninetieth. Now, place a three-hundred-ninety-second one over the three-hundred-ninety-first. Now, place a three-hundred-ninety-third one over the three-hundred-ninety-second. Now, place a three-hundred-ninety-fourth one over the three-hundred-ninety-third. Now, place a three-hundred-ninety-fifth one over the three-hundred-ninety-fourth. Now, place a three-hundred-ninety-sixth one over the three-hundred-ninety-fifth. Now, place a three-hundred-ninety-seventh one over the three-hundred-ninety-sixth. Now, place a three-hundred-ninety-eighth one over the three-hundred-ninety-seventh. Now, place a three-hundred-ninety-ninth one over the three-hundred-ninety-eighth. Now, place a four-hundredth one over the three-hundred-ninety-ninth. Now, place a four-hundred-first one over the four-hundredth. Now, place a four-hundred-second one over the four-hundred-first. Now, place a four-hundred-third one over the four-hundred-second. Now, place a four-hundred-fourth one over the four-hundred-third. Now, place a four-hundred-fifth one over the four-hundred-fourth. Now, place a four-hundred-sixth one over the four-hundred-fifth. Now, place a four-hundred-seventh one over the four-hundred-sixth. Now, place a four-hundred-eighth one over the four-hundred-seventh. Now, place a four-hundred-ninth one over the four-hundred-eighth. Now, place a four-hundred-tenth one over the four-hundred-ninth. Now, place a four-hundred-eleventh one over the four-hundred-tenth. Now, place a four-hundred-twelfth one over the four-hundred-eleventh. Now, place a four-hundred-thirteenth one over the four-hundred-twelfth

several hundred N95 medical masks when this thing started, for my model-making/spray painting hobby.

Mist the mask with 12% hydrogen peroxide every day before hanging it to dry.

If you can get a UV sanitizer, hang the mask in the sanitizer for the period of time recommended in the light's directions. Every other day.

I put my N95 masks inside of fabric masks. The fabric masks get washed in hot water every other day.

Try not to touch your mask unless absolutely necessary. Wash hand before and after handling your mask.

It appears to have been helpful for us. Most people I know who were out about got it, and a few (closest friends) died.

Once Delta burns out around Sept 1, I'll be putting my remaining mask supply in storage (the old dark, cool, dry place)

4 ▼ Reply ▸

Ginger Snarfer 9 hours ago

Your propaganda is showing.

4 ▲ Reply ▸

SyntaxErrorJade 9 hours ago

So put on your mask if you feel you are in danger. Do what YOU may to take care of you. That's how this works, not the other way around. Every day you are alive has risks. Act as what you feel is accordingly.

4 ▼ Reply ▸

Joergh 9 hours ago

Thanks! Added to my research list above.

4 ▲ Reply ▸

LeighsWTC3 *PRAISE* 2 hours ago (Edited)

You need to study how proper filtration masks work. It isn't just the size of the particle, it's the static charge. That's why HEPA filters are a joke.

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6084286/

https://spectrum.ieee.org/one-day-medical-workers-might-plug-in-their-smart-masks

https://altthatmatters42.wordpress.com/2020/06/22/science-of-n95-masks/

4 ▲ Reply ▸

Sunie 9 hours ago

72 upvotes from morons who can't figure out the principal purpose of masks is to contain what is EXHALED, not they viruses inhaled

Additionally, if someone near you is infected and screams out potato puppies pose petunias, you're less likely to ingest their spittle

4 ▼ Reply ▸

Kmurfash 9 hours ago

If a mask does not stop drywall dust, why would anybody think that it could stop a "virus"?

4 ▲ 1 ▼ Reply ▸

Sell 9 hours ago

Fear & hysteria, they do stop people thinking rationally, which helps.

4 ▲ 1 ▼ Reply ▸

Samuel Shore 9 hours ago

You give widespread rational thinking too much credit.

4 ▲ 3 ▼ Reply ▸

Not Your father's 1337 2 hours ago (Edited)

Thinking? We don' need no steenkeeng theenkeeng 'cuun' here:

A Court Ruled Rachel Maddow's Viewers Know She Offers Exaggeration and Opinion, Not Facts

MSNBC's 'Mad' Maddow Reveals She's Terrified of Unvaccinated People, Unmasked People, Thinks They're a Threat

Hello Rachel Maddow: MSNBC, USA Today, CNN, Washington Post, State

Maddow Meltdown: In Defense to CNN Lawsuit, Host Argues Her Words Are Not Facts

Howard Beale - Turn off your TV's - YouTube - and read about bees and pollen and anything else but who Kamlaatran's flowing her tonsils with this month. PLEASE! Your life (and mine, mine, too) depends on it! And speaking of "depends" (oh, what a segue!) - read about Joe Biden, latest of the White House, for sequoia, unless Senator Joe Biden, latest of the Democrat Party Masks!

4 ▲ 7 ▼ Reply ▸

shah-lep Luther 3 hours ago

nailed it...NOT widespread indeed.....

My neighbor asked me if I was gonna get the jab for the delta things....

When I told him what it was about, he quickly turned and said thanks for reply and never spoke again... friggin' sad...

4 ▲ 1 ▼ Reply ▸

this_the_last_page 3 hours ago (Edited)

When under huge stress, fear or mass hysteria the brain of most people cease to work rationally. This is why people do stupid things when they panic. Fear is the absolute mind killer. Fear is used as an effective weapon for social engineering tasks on the unsuspecting masses.

Remember pop ups are used because people anyone could be tricked into clicking on something they shouldn't click on. Now just think about all these young kids forced to wear a mask, or even worse toddlers, growing up with every adults around them in the kindergarten wearing a mask. These kind of damages done on their minds, at such an early stage of development, are permanent. It will live scares on their psyche when they will grow up.

This was done deliberately.

4 ▲ 1 ▼ Reply ▸

Sunie 9 hours ago

Because the virus doesn't float through the air. It is in droplets spewing from mouths and noses

4 ▼ 1 ▼ Reply ▸

Chyall 7 hours ago

Don't swallow someone else's snot or spit. Problem solved.

4 ▲ 1 ▼ Reply ▸

Sigfe 6 hours ago (Edited)

Fa mask does not stop drywall dust, why would anybody think that it could stop a "virus"?

Their proponents are not arguing that masks stop individual viruses; they're claiming they catch the airborne droplets **containing** the virus. And clearly, the masks do do some absorption, because they rapidly become damp; ie, they are definitely filtering out **some** amount of the moisture droplets in the wearer's breath.

However this does not prove they significantly reduce transmission, for several reasons.

Firstly, if you wear glasses, you'll notice they steam up in cold weather when wear a mask (even the disposable ones with a malleable wire nose "sealer"), because they fit poorly. ie, they are just re-directing the breath. What's more, they're redirecting that breath through a narrow slit that accelerates the airstream and redirects it upwards. So even though some moisture is being absorbed - and how much the mask will absorb before just ejecting previous exhalations' trapped moisture is another matter - you may actually be increasing the range of your exhalations (cause of the redirection of the contaminated aerosols upwards at greater speed).

Secondly, larger droplets tend not to travel as far in people's airways. This means the virus is more likely to attach to cells and remain where it causes less harm (in the upper respiratory tract) before spreading further into people's lungs; ahead where it causes most damage. This gives the body time to recognize the virus and mount a defence before the viruses emerge from their initial infection site and go into the lungs.

There is reason to believe that masks catch larger droplets, and then, when damp, start ejecting much smaller droplets which then go further into people's respiratory tracts. In other words, the virus initially infects and spreads in the lungs before the body has had a chance to recognise the invader in "unimportant" tissues in the nasopharangeal area. In other words - even if masks are reducing transmission, they may be increasing virulence.

Thirdly, as the article touches upon, the masks become damp and contaminated not just with exhalations but inhalations. ie, imagine you're uninfected but you breathe in someone else's infected breath. The mask will catch some of those droplets and **store** them. An mask wearers frequently touch them and thus contaminate their hands, and then, touch their faces. Thus, the mask may actually increase the risk of the viruses than if they's not been wearing them at all, as the masks will act as reservoirs of viruses that the wearer will repeatedly be exposed to, either because they will touch them, or because their inhalations will suck the virus-laden moisture out of the mask and into their lungs.

So, yes, people should stop contending that masks don't work because they don't catch individual viruses; that's a strawman. Their proponents are not claiming the filter out individual viruses.

The masks don't work for a host of other reasons.

4 ▲ 1 ▼ Reply ▸

Twitch-ed Sabre 1 hour ago

Does a virus separate from the droplet or does the infected person shed unattached virii?

4 ▲ 1 ▼ Reply ▸

LeighsWTC3 *PRAISE* 1 hour ago

My N95 masks stop drywall dust. But you should wear a half-face cartridge P100 respirator for that work.

4 ▲ 1 ▼ Reply ▸

eschell 1 hours ago

A nation of waitresses, bartenders, and English majors will believe those masks work.

But anybody who has worked with diesel, concrete, acid, oil, or even welding knows they are inadequate for every single thing you can think of.

4 ▲ 1 ▼ Reply ▸

shah-lep Luther 3 hours ago

AH there you go using that word

But anybody who has **worked...!!!**

4 ▲ 1 ▼ Reply ▸

sgoppner 9 hours ago

Masks work and do their purpose.

1. A visual reminder of the fear needed to continue the 'pandemic'

2. A method to prove your subjugation to the tyranny

4 ▲ 1 ▼ Reply ▸

Deadfacet 8 hours ago

They're fairly good to wear if you ride a bank, also.

4 ▼ Reply ▸

Irisomness 1 hour ago

Or riot and loot...

4 ▲ Reply ▸

sotherion 8 hours ago

No need to read your lengthy article, there is a manufacturers warning on the side of the box on most brands of the paper mask... "these masks do not provide protection against coronavirus"

4 ▲ 1 ▼ Reply ▸

Ipsvious 7 hours ago

Wife works at hospital, mask are mandatory and vaccine mandates are due in Sept.

35 masked and vaxxed nurses tested positive for the Wuflu!

Just saying!

4 ▲ 1 ▼ Reply ▸

Sick Smog 7 hours ago

That's the new narrative.

"It's out of control, we can't stop it. Unless you do this."

4 ▲ 1 ▼ Reply ▸

JWHW 7 hours ago

Don't take the deathshot. Make them try to fire her.

4 ▲ 1 ▼ Reply ▸

Sigfe 6 hours ago

But just think how many would have tested positive without those "safe and effective" countermeasures!

/s <- for yer typical tard who doesn't get irony

4 ▲ 1 ▼ Reply ▸

w3k80 4 hours ago

Just quit. I did. When one door closes, surprise, another will open. Trust me.

4 ▲ 1 ▼ Reply ▸

archjesse 7 hours ago

The cots has been lying about every single aspect of this from the very beginning. The administration of Trump and the senate controlled by Republicans last year did absolutely nothing.

There is nobody in Wash DC that is going to save you. In the war of gov't vs the people, they are ALL on the side of the gov't.

4 ▲ 1 ▼ Reply ▸

gleesparter 1 hours ago

You get it. There is no existing political solution to what is wrong with this country.

Once The Rabble has been fully weaponized, which they have been, the corruption is unstoppable through peaceful means.

4 ▲ 1 ▼ Reply ▸

captain nash 8 hours ago

Masks have one benefit

They help to count the stupid

4 ▲ 1 ▼ Reply ▸

MeraBlocky 7 hours ago

-And, in South Africa, the un-arrested. The SA police, who are mostly useless in combating CRIME, find that they can keep their arrest rate up by nabbing anyone unwilling to be muzzled. And they can shut down a shop that is found to have an unmasked person in it! Fortunately, the ANC government is so incompetent that only a small section of the population is yet 'vaccinated' against Covid, so I don't expect the post-vax ADE to hit the country as hard as some (Israel for example).

4 ▲ 1 ▼ Reply ▸

Jaeger213 8 hours ago

Masks are nothing more than a compliance test. (How obedient the little sheeple are).

The other reason is to muzzle speech and suppress human emotion to create faceless robots - destroying human contact.

Its a total pay-up and the whole world needs to stop complying.

4 ▲ 1 ▼ Reply ▸

mzma 7 hours ago (Edited)

That was the best, most subjective overall analysis, in layman's terms, of the existing studies I have seen.

It covers all the bases, debunks the garbage "studies" quoted by the idiots at the CDC, and clarifies the entire argument.

I am pinning this to .pdf to share with the "covid officials" at the school I work at, and the students/parents with questions. Ignorance and politics will not prevail in my circle of influence.

4 ▲ 1 ▼ Reply ▸

Nah-Right 5 hours ago

Go for it! You can believe that you can influence them but I can tell, even from here, that you are pre-cancelled. Anything you have is "misinformation." Nah-Right is trying.

4 ▲ 1 ▼ Reply ▸

Sick Smog 3 hours ago (Edited)

We wear them at work. Issued slots got to take theirs off for 2 weeks and display a 'green wearable' before Delta came along and they had to put them back on. We said fuck PCR test developed by Lt. Colonel Kelly, we won't. I fully expect a mandate this fall or sooner. That's my redline, my hill. Planning on retiring in April anyways so they're in for quite a battle with me. Gonna drown HR in paperwork and forms to sign. No emotional pleas, just gonna play their game.

4 ▲ 1 ▼ Reply ▸

ann 7 hours ago

I was going to quit when the shots became mandatory. Had more time to think it over and decided to make them fire me instead.

Helps my case even more that my boss is leaving. He is leaving a huge hole. They cannot afford to lose more of the team right now. They know it, I know it, and they know I know it.

4 ▲ 1 ▼ Reply ▸

Nah-Right 5 hours ago

But explain to me why these big corporations are shooting themselves in the foot. Does it make sense?

4 ▲ 1 ▼ Reply ▸

My company is following CDC guidelines. Protects them from litigation.

Crazy because it's a pharma company with plenty of scientists capable of reading scientific papers and clinical studies.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply •

SomeRheumy 9 hours ago

Covid vaccine Death total now stands at 12,366 deaths reported to VAERS. Today's data show that between 14, 15, 2020 and Sep 30th, a total of 945,338 vaccination adverse events following COVID vaccines were reported to VAERS. There were 70,120 reports of serious injuries, including deaths, during the same time period. This is freaking carnage. Make no mistake about how nasty this was: Do what you can to protect yourself and your family.

Yeah, and studies show that only 1% up to 10 % of actual vaccine adverse events are ever reported to VAERS.

The actual vaccine death count is either 10 times that, or worse case 100 times that. The CDC, NIH, and WHO are either incompetent, corrupt, or both.

The Swine flu vaccine killed just over 50 people, and they yanked it off the market !!!!! In 1976, public health authorities were forced to halt their rollout of a rapidly mobilized swine flu vaccine, after a spate of negative publicity and over 4,000 serious adverse events — including Guillain-Barré syndrome and 50+ deaths. This "medical debacle," became the focus of a 1979 episode of 60 Minutes.

There are people dropping on the floor after getting the Covid vaccine all over the place. I know of a bunch of people that got ill "ed up from the vaccine. One of them was a 40 year old guy with a very mild heart condition, and died right after getting the non-vaccine. Big hush hush, no one is supposed to connect the dots.

This is a tragedy. Totally unnecessary. Totally preventable.

Close to 100% Covid survival rate for anyone under 60 years old with no co-morbidities. For children and young to mid age adults, the case fatality rate is less than the regular yearly flu. Fact. Unless you are really sick (2-5 or more co-morbidities), or are really old (80+) **and you stick,** then Covid is less deadly than the regular flu. Fact

Vaccinating children and healthy adults is not necessary, and adds unnecessary risk. Vaccinating them should be prosecuted as medical malpractice and child abuse.

MASKS.

Masks are not effective at all. Multiple studies done in the last twenty years, some of which were on the CDC site last year.

They ' knew since 1918 Spanish Flu that masks do jack diddly, nada, zip zero zilch.

Also, the 'study ' does not mention all the negative health consequences of the face diaper.

plus

the face tampon acts as a wonderful mode of transmission from dirty hands touching it all the time.

Yeah, a wet stinky snot covered moist germ fungus bacteria mold breeding ground is healthy . F'king retards.

Bonus for all you dipstick rancid Karens out there; how much face diaper plastic waste has been created since this Covid stupidity started ??? Hey Karen, I thought you banned plastic bags and straws to " save the world ??

Now, how about people who are immune because they already had the virus or carry immunity from previous covid exposures?

Yeah, funny how that is never mentioned anywhere in the MSM, or by any 'health' agency. Why should people that are naturally immune get a covid vaccination. ????

CDC, NIH, and WHO are run by morons.

Maverick

▲ 1 ▼ Reply •

Anna_of_Green_Gables 25 minutes ago

Here's the link to the 60 Minutes segment:

https://www.bing.com/videos/search?q=60+Minutes+Swine+Flu+Vaccine&FORM=VDRVRV#view=detail&mid=D3A0A0A0A0A0A0A0A0A0A0A0A0A0A0A0&mmscn=vtp&vt=0&vid=0&qs=HS1wMTgzMjAyMTkzMjAyMTkzMjAyMTk

obedient consent.

▲ 5 ▼ Reply »

Mr · 3 hours ago

Was gonna include that part but Adam Sutler was an very the top Stalin type guy. We don't have that, yet.

▲ ▼ Reply »

Pop Of Stress Shift · 7 hours ago

What virus?

It's all about OBEDIENCE.

▲ 5 ▼ Reply »

stijnt · 7 hours ago

The evidence on ivermectin is much stronger than the evidence for masks.

▲ 5 ▼ Reply »

TwiceYouSmoooooooCovery · 8 hours ago

I have an idea.....for you mask lovers.....How about you let me put my Azz in your face and see if you can smell anything good?

▲ 0 ▼ Reply »

David1 · 8 hours ago

I'm not sure if the coronavirus is smaller or larger than fat molecules but I like your thinking!

David1C

▲ 0 ▼ Reply »

Instiner99 · 5 hours ago

+1 for "fart molecules".

▲ ▼ Reply »

Canoe Driver · 1 hour ago

Masks are 100% effective at showing the wearer is compliant with government orders, and showing that the government has given an order. That is all. And you know it.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

digbert9 · 4 hours ago

They work just fine, as a symbol of totalitarian control, as a safety blanket for the wearer, as a constant reminder that we must live in fear of a virus that 99.8-% will survive, if they get it, and as an identifier for members of the covidian cult.

But they can't stop a virus.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

Sadjidamitrejo · 3 hours ago

And the majority of these cult members now have a new symbol to show their allegiance to this totalitarian control...a Covid "vaccine" card.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

LLC22 · 5 hours ago

I have given up on all the evidence as this scam drags into year 3. The evidence doesn't matter, the Government narrative is the guiding light.

Exactly like 9/11, all the evidence is written off as a conspiracy theory. Follow the script.

▲ 3 ▼ Reply »

Rock N. Pitchy · 5 hours ago

A study by Suess, eh?

I will not wear a mask my man,

I will not wear one Sir or Ma'am.

I will not wear one in a car

I will not wear one in a bar.

I will not wear one here or there

I will not wear one anywhere.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

Sadjidamitrejo · 3 hours ago

I wish more people really would follow that advice and refuse to wear one.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

Sadie · 8 hours ago (Edited)

Every time I see this mask stuff I get depressed.

It's obvious to any non-kanerkan that this is stupid. It doesn't work. All the major studies (read: The science!) say it doesn't work. Even our esteemed leaders say it doesn't work (until they said it done).

They started in with the "just put whatever worthless piece of shit on your face that you can find". Don't get me wrong, I made a ton of money selling those stuff, but, obviously, if you're just putting something on your face to put something on your face, it's a scam.

Yet they still hold on to it to this day. Everyone knows it doesn't work, and is actually detrimental in several ways, but they keep holding on.

The virtue signal must be given daily.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

Sadjidamitrejo · 5 hours ago

We should feel upset about the masks.

They've dehumanized people - propagated fear especially in children - caused health problems - are useless to stop a virus - are a symbol of obedience to the State - look absolutely ridiculous especially when people are outdoors - I could go on and on.....

▲ ▼ Reply »

Nova Falcons · 5 hours ago

Same here, it depresses me to see all the masks out there.

▲ ▼ Reply »

unelectrical3 · 7 hours ago (Edited)

The only time the media told us truth was at the very beginning. Later those creepy new normal commercials began and the media got their marching orders from the cabal to scare the living crap out of everyone.

▲ 4 ▼ Reply »

Sargent · 7 hours ago

Dr. Russell Blaylock says face masks pose serious risks to your health.

Wearing a mask can cause significant hypoxia, a reduction in blood oxygenation, and hypercapnia, an elevation of CO2 in the blood.

A drop in oxygen levels physiological is associated with an impairment in immunity. Studies have shown that hypoxia can inhibit the type of main immune cells used to fight viral infections called the CD4+ T-lymphocyte.

https://greatmedicinetimpublishing.com/2020/08/31/dr-russell-blaylock-says-that-face-masks-pose-serious-risks-to-the-healthy/

They want to harm and/or kill you with the vaccine, masks, and lockdowns(no exercise or vitamin D).

▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

Ibrahim Hoskinson · 7 hours ago

Re-inoculation is an issue that isn't mentioned too often. If you get exposed and have a low level URI, with mild or no symptoms, and you wear a mask, you will be re-breathing some portion of every breath. The mask can stop, but not hold, droplet sized particles. These, and any aerosol viruses in the breath captured by the mask, will be reinfocused into the respiratory tract. This will increase the viral load and probably accelerate development into a full URI.

Note: This is only a thought experiment, so it only applies to people who think.

▲ ▼ Reply »

TheGreyHighCommissioner · 8 hours ago

Thanks for telling us what we have known for 18 months, ZH.

▲ 3 ▼ Reply »

Anotsobad · 7 hours ago

Maybe ZH will tell us they plan to starve us out after the last Walmart is boarded up.

▲ ▼ Reply »

Berryfirehawk · 8 hours ago

Sure they might be lying about masks but the vaccine is safe and effective.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

Alice the dog · 8 hours ago (Edited)

Surgeons wear masks to prevent bacterial infection, as do others working with open wounds or burns. Bacteria are hundreds of times larger than viruses, and masks aren't 100% in containing them either. There is no optical magnifying instrument capable of viewing a virus. Electron microscopes don't do a being up job of seeing them.

If you can breath through it, it's not stopping a virus. So I suppose we need to go to BSL-4 lab suits with an external air supply. Think you're locked down now, wait until you can only go as far as your air hose reaches.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

chubbar · 8 hours ago (Edited)

Wait until the study that shows white babies born during the Covid masking era are testing at a 79 IQ, down from 100, because they can't read the facial expressions of mom/dad and aren't being socialized or brought outside, is published. This is a national emergency.

This was never about controlling the spread of a virus that mainly kills the same folks who die during a regular flu season. It's about control of the world by a bunch of sadistic, evil tyrants.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

captain nemk · 7 hours ago

It all started with people crowding to cities

▲ ▼ Reply »

stijnt · 7 hours ago

That study was probably progressive parents only, and therefore invalid. When you bathe a 79 IQ egg in 79 IQ sperm you get a 79 IQ baby.

▲ ▼ Reply »

Torquel Dg · 6 hours ago

No "regression to the norm"?

▲ ▼ Reply »

Anotsobad · 7 hours ago

Off with their heads

▲ ▼ Reply »

unelectrical3 · 7 hours ago (Edited)

School has started here in Texas The Karens are losing it over the fact that Gov. Abbott has fixed it to where they can't order the kids to wear masks anymore. I am loving it. I wrote Gov. Abbott yesterday to support him

Let a thousand flowers bloom.

▲ 2 ▼ Reply »

Lace · 6 hours ago (Edited)

Just remember when it's time to vote in the Primary. Pass boy Grabbit only does things after he sees Disaster do it and it works.

If he didn't have primary challengers, I believe we would still be locked down.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

BernieBoogie · 5 hours ago (Edited)

They work in keeping a society fighting with each other and not paying attention to the tyrants.

The reason they started saying to wear masks is because they knew many won't. These tyrants can now blame the rise in cases on the anti-masters.

Gradually everyone was forced to wear a mask to enter stores and it became harder to blame the disaster on anti-masters.

Then came the vaccines. They most say vaccines work because they know half won't take it. Now they could join the crowd against the unvaxxed and people again can forget that the real problem are the tyrant dictators that are destroying it all.

Soon they will force most people to get the vaxx and they will blame the rise in cases on those that didn't get their boosters (there will be boosters forever).

If only most of us united and boycotted all the leftist products and services we would see change.

Unfortunately, people are all talk and even a simple thing like deleting all social platforms they cannot do.

▲ ▼ Reply »

Samuel Vimes · 5 hours ago

Vaxxed are the super Delta spreaders.

▲ 2 ▼ Reply »

Dr Pleckt · 5 hours ago

Of course masks work, do you have difficulty breathing ? that's how you know it's working.

Masks are extremely effective at causing Hypoxia and bacterial Pneumonia.

If you don't get headaches or blackout, you're not wearing it properly !

▲ ▼ Reply »

Pelukhah · 5 hours ago

Bacterial pneumonia was the #1 cause of death during the Spanish Flu. Look it up... Dr. Yaxi himself co-authored the paper.

▲ ▼ Reply »

Dr Pleckt · 5 hours ago

I did not know that

What Fauci and his co-authors discovered during their investigation, the meme goes on to state, was that "most victims of the Spanish Flu didn't die from the Spanish Flu. They died from bacterial pneumonia."

Where this disease came from is the most shocking revelation.

"And the bacterial pneumonia was caused by... wait for it, wait for it... wearing masks."

While not clearly stated, the meme suggests Fauci conclusively identifies masks as the unwitting culprits, rather than preventers, of an "unobserved" bacterial pneumonia pandemic.

"And Dr. Anthony Fauci knows this, because he wrote about it in 2008."

▲ ▼ Reply »

Kingster · 4 hours ago

It's ALL about control. Period.

▲ 0 ▼ Reply »

Buffoonian · 5 hours ago (Edited)

I went to a bar last nite, with wife and DIL, I was designated driver. They served food.

Biggest takeaway (Maryland, bite, no mask mandate)...the blacks were wearing masks...at least 80-90%. A lot were " designer" masks, with designs and logos... some, under the nose. For some reason (I have my theories)...blacks have taken to masking like fish to water. Another observation...Hispanics too. Masked up, even the kids.

Edit...a LOT of observable co- morbidities...a LOT!

▲ ▼ Reply »

Buffoonian · 5 hours ago (Edited)

Also...a lot of co- morbidities...a LOT!

(i.e...fat as land whales)

▲ ▼ Reply »

SchlingTheb · 4 hours ago

Brown/black people have been ruled by tyrants throughout known history.....because they are superstitious and afraid of their own shadow.

Then fear makes them easily manipulated. Fear is a product of ignorance.

That's my RCT on the matter.

▲ ▼ Reply »

Sadie · 8 hours ago

I'm going with joggers wearing masks so they can't be identified when they commit their crimes.

▲ 2 ▼ Reply »

Rich66 · 7 hours ago

What frustrates me no end is that the US government has been at this for a year and a half now in terms of active infections going on.

They have endless resources and access around the world. They have proposed any number of punitive policies...get vaccinated or else, wear a mask or else, lockdown, etc.

Yet 1) they have not funded a single study on the effectiveness any of the major questions: Lockdowns; masks; vaccines; treatment options such as ivermectin and hydroxychloroquinol and 2) they refuse to cite any data to support their recommendations (Fauci's two or three mask idea for example was an insult to the based intellect) and 3) they will not consider the empirical data provided by the working physicians (age relatives, etc.) and in fact use the governmental control of media to suppress any ideas, however supported, that raise any question at all about their policies.

It was balls to the wall to produce a vaccine, but watching their feet, one has to conclude that they are completely uninterested in seeking effective solutions or actually informing the public.

Which of course is the problem with everything the government does...if they solve the problem they are out of business.

Studied incompetence is about the only conclusion one can draw.

▲ 2 ▼ Reply »

Old SFR · 5 hours ago

Lose weight?

Go outside and play?

Hug a friend?

Guess we need 2H for that info...

▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

Rochlin 9 hours ago

right- It has been proven time and again for example that lack of Vitamin D is a big factor in covid complications, and this from the CDC...and lockdowns only make this element worse. And of course there is zero evidence of any outdoor transmission...but they want people to stay indoors...sorry, but these people really piss me off

▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

Berdan 8 hours ago

It's a war but this time against ordinary people.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

Eric K Lyons problem? Is IT ends in! 7 hours ago

I don't wear a mask so that if researchers in the future look back at surveillance video they will know that there was intelligent life forms on the planet at the time

▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

JustCuffs 7 hours ago
(Edited)

I'm hoping that at least a few will be able to grasp the significance of this (see below). All of the CDC's destructive economic policy, worldwide destructive economic policy, mask mandates, all of the infection rate statistics reported by the CDC, the looming pandemic part of the non-compliant, are based on an assumption that the Covid-19 tests in use are accurately diagnostic for "Covid-19" infection. Accuracy of infection rates reported by the CDC may not be the case, in reality

CDC 2019 Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV) Real-Time RT-PCR Diagnostic Panel For Emergency Use Only Instructions for Use

https://www.fda.gov/media/134922/download (pg26, bullet point 4. This test cannot rule out diseases caused by other bacterial or viral pathogens.)

If you have no isolated, certified reference materials, you can't develop a legitimate scientific test. And this is exactly what the FDA admits in its own documents, which state that since covid-19 viruses weren't available for the development of the PCR test, **they "tentatively"** let by using human cells and gene bank coronavirus fragments. From the FDA's own document:

Since no quantified virus isolates of the 2019-nCoV were available for CDC use at the time the test was developed and this study conducted, assays designed for detection of the 2019-nCoV RNA were tested with characterized stocks of in vitro transcribed full length RNA ... spiked into a diluent containing of a suspension of human A549 cells and viral transport medium (VTM) to mimic clinical specimen.

https://www.fda.gov/media/134922/download, https://www.fda.gov/emergency-preparedness-and-response/mcm-issues/coronavirus-disease-2019-covid-19#tab-panel-2

▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

Smokejake 9 hours ago

About this mask theatre thing. It's not about health. It's about power and control. All eyes on the Texas Supreme Court.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

White Domestic Terrist 9 hours ago

Masks work extremely well in prohibiting free thinking and liberty. That's it.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

kylander 9 hours ago

Sad part is people only really started not wearing masks after getting vaccinated. Then they come out with how the virus can still be spread by vaccinated so people wear them again. If you want to wear them by all means but don't force me to.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

Sangrel 7 hours ago

Wearing a mask causes physiological changes to multiple organ systems, including the brain, the heart, the lungs, the kidneys and the immune system.

Airway obstruction in a long recognized cause of retention of carbon dioxide and respiratory acidosis. Hypercapnia is widely recognized to be an independent risk factor for death.

Hypoxia, which is the lack of oxygen available to the respiratory system and the body in general, stimulates mitochondria to generate reactive oxygen species (ROS).
All body tissues are vulnerable to ROS, but the brain is especially vulnerable

https://mirae.com/jpnerad86/Mask_Risks_Part3.pdf

These and monsters want to harm your children.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

oldblack 7 hours ago

RAND '24

If he's still alive.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

oldblack 7 hours ago

If we're still alive.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

SnakeGrelled 7 hours ago

But we must force masks to maintain a high level of fear among the population...

▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

BertyBrisket 7 hours ago

Safe and effective vaccines and mostly peaceful riots. Do it for the children. Got to know your talking points.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

Frank Booth 7 hours ago

the gym example is a good one. I've been unmasked at the gym since they reopened july 2020. I have never caught covid.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

Berzelius1 7 hours ago

There's a good chance you've been exposed, even if you don't have symptoms. I've never worn a mask unless I was required to. When required, I wore a cloth mask knowing full well that it does next to nothing.

I'm at low risk and know that I'll be exposed to the virus at some point.

When I try to tell my family that these masks don't work, they won't listen. It's like a religion.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

Renaldo Nudelman 7 hours ago

Same here. I have 2 Daughter-in-Laws, one a pharmacist and the other a veterinarian. The vet has anxiety issues and constantly uses N95 masks. The pharm and her family only use cloth masks, only during times of rising case reports. I only wear one if forced. Conversation on this topic has died because no one can after another's preference in this area. Logical arguments and studies need not apply.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

cinnamoon 5 hours ago

Many soldiers are survivor world wars

▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

Person Lentiles with the shoulder 7 hours ago

The masks are working fantastically. Most of the sheep still believe there is a virus despite the overwhelming evidence the whole thing is a just a rebranded flu accompanied by a PSYCHOLOGICAL OPERATION.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

Bullseye1qa 7 hours ago

It was slipping for years.

But over a few months, the cdc, fda and the medical industrial complex in general, have lost all credibility with American critical thinkers.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

Balsam Swordfish Bride 8 hours ago

Let's be clear for just a minute and then we can get back to being hysterical/willfully ignorant-irrational as usual. The mask doesn't protect us from what? A computer modeled hypothetical "virus"? Oh and the reason we know we have such a virus is from results of a test that is not designed to test for this hypothetical virus. Check.

The purpose of this article seems to be more about banging on about the mythical virus so as to make sure you've gotten your daily allotted hysteric suggestion that it actually exists. Yes that virus, the one that seems to have erased flu and virus infections from the national tallies, that virus that has not resulted in any excess total annual deaths. It was reported widely months ago that 17 studies had found no effectiveness from the masks against this all pervasive supposedly deadly floating hypothesis that might give you the sniffles if you are among the unlucky 20 percent of those infected with it, or whatever the symptoms are its rather unspecific isn't it? And no, kidney failure has never been known to be caused by Corona viruses, but has definitely been a side-effect of Remdesivir, the never approved for use drug that Fauci mandated for use in hospitals according to the finding of Dr. Bryan Ardis. Yes, that Remdesivir which was, according to Dr. Ardis, the drug that was eliminated from the studies for ways to treat Ebola because it killed 30 percent of the subjects via kidney failure leading to people drowning in their own lung fluid and also total multiple organ failure, brain, liver, heart etc.

What is more glaringly news and little discussed or admitted is that no virus has ever been isolated and that the tests don't bloody work! So what does it matter about masks, that's a total red herring.

There is little reportage of how the materials of the disposable masks contained graphene, the stuff in some of the vaccines that may be used to make the brain and nervous system into some kin dof more efficient receptor for wifi, and that the masks also contained materials similar to asbestos that make mincemeat of lung tissue. I think it is already widely known that asbestos is a cause of lung cancer and mesothelioma and that they dont work on the face. If the findings that the masks contain graphene and lung destroying materials is bad science or disinfo then an article about that would be better, or if it true that would also be better than more stuff about the masks don't work.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

Antonio 5 hours ago

Those paper masks are good for keeping out pollen and dust but that's about it.

▲ 0 ▼ Reply »

GoLyons 5 hours ago

The masks were added to increase the fear component to take be used to justify mail in ballots. That's it. Control via fear causes democracy to wane. No more masks!

▲ 0 ▼ Reply »

BurpDackscuttleFTA 5 hours ago
(Edited)

The bolshevik scumbags finally figured out that masks make a good virtue signaling tool for their useful idiot scumbags and a good control tool for all the cattle. Only two words ever matter, control and dependence, nothing else matters.

▲ 0 ▼ Reply »

Tom's Million 5 hours ago

A masked society forms fewer social bonds and communicates far less with each other. That is the purpose of the masks. Tyrants 101. Or all or against each other.

▲ 0 ▼ Reply »

Bonita Hill 5 hours ago
(Edited)

Study Finds Children Born During Lockdown Have Lost IQ Points, Impaired Cognitive Functioning

Lack of human contact, mask mandates to blame.

It's really happening to us all

https://www.infowars.com/posts/study-finds-children-born-during-lockdown-have-lost-iq-points,-impaired-cognitive-functioning/

▲ 0 ▼ Reply »

gerfit 5 hours ago

Its more important to virtue signal that do the right thing.

▲ 0 ▼ Reply »

Godzilladrops 5 hours ago

That's what I've seen for the last year and a half. The majority of people don't have a backbone.

▲ 0 ▼ Reply »

cpt. carpetski 5 hours ago

I'm not excusing the no backbone, but it's not as simple as just don't comply.

The government's diabolical way of making mandates happen by forcing/turning faceless entities into the mandators makes it very difficult to resist.

For example, in my city the requirement to wear a mask was forced upon businesses - if you don't make your customers wear a mask we will take away your business license and shut you down. What corporate owned chain of stores is willing to go against that mandate? So you go to a store and refuse to take the mask off, they ask you to leave. It's their property and failing to leave results in a trespassing charge. So the individual accomplishes nothing, except a nice fine for trespassing.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

Godzilladrops 5 hours ago
(Edited)

In my area people were wearing a mask willingly and voluntarily before the requirements even started. So I hold them responsible for these policies being enacted in the first place. Whether they were one out of fear or they were one because they didn't want to miss out on the newest fad of masked virtue signaling, only they know what their reasons were for doing it.

I refuse to wear one, and so there have been times when I've given up going to certain places or doing certain things. So be it. Standing up for freedom is more important to me than convenience and comfort, and if a business owner embraces these kind of rules on their customers, that says a lot about them.

I can tell you this, I've gone into many businesses for last year and a half with the mask-required signs, and only one place asked me if I could wait outside to pick up my carryout food. I understand that other cities have different rules, but people need to wake up and realize that if we don't push back against this tyranny, things are only going to get worse...and that means customers and business owners fighting against this.

▲ 0 ▼ Reply »

Bonita Hill 5 hours ago

Remember this?

1.8 Billion Disposable Masks Entered Our Oceans in 2020

https://www.zerohedge.com/geopolitical/18-billion-disposable-masks-entered-our-oceans-2020

▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

LaraM 5 hours ago

Their policies are so very 'green.'

▲ 0 ▼ Reply »

JimGeiss 5 hours ago

Nice article, but it doesn't matter...for the weak-minded, incurious, and ignorant, their minds have been made up for them. It's not about masks, or some stupid viruses, or anything other than a giant power grab by international totalitarians.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

Bonny Badger 5 hours ago

If I ran a lottery operation, I would target mask wearers. They don't understand math or logic, which would be exactly the people I would want to target with my marketing message of hope.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

Ishigaki1977 5 hours ago

A local Ford plant proudly displayed a banner on their building saying over 100 million masks were made at that plant - how about getting back to work and building reliable cars retards?

▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

richards **Admin** 5 hours ago

Someone should do a study to see whether wearing masks aids the transmission of money to corrupt officials.

A simple exposure of those investing in the procurement of masks while publicly pronouncing that they do no good, is prior to April 3 2020, could be very enlightening.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

I'm Baack 5 hours ago

Easy to spot the libtards though! When target practice starts.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

Whytish 5 hours ago

Just do the math. The result of doing the math cannot be argued against. N95 masks, the top for according to health professionals all over, protect the wearer temporarily against particulates in size down to 0.3 to 0.3 microns. Viruses range in size from 20 to 500 nano meters. So what's the difference that easy for even the CDC to understand? A micron is one millionth of a meter. A million seconds equals to 11.5 DAYS. A nano-meter is one billionth of a meter. A billion seconds equals to 31.7 YEARS. Air passes easily through the weave of a N95 mask...as easy as you walking through an open garage door. The tested shoes bought, and especially the homemade one, are even easier for passage of a virus. Another issue is proper decontamination, which no one bothers to do. And, is a virus in clinging to your mask, is also clinging to your phone, and everything else. BTW, the fastest way for any born, including viruses, to enter your body is through the eyes... NOT the respiratory system.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

Ryan 5 hours ago

That's a lot of verbal diarrhea for something that can be answered with "no."

▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

ReDefiniteness 3 hours ago

Thank you. This whole article could have consisted of one word - "No".

▲ 3 ▼ Reply ▸

Ubirimo 3 hours ago

We've witnessed the birth of a new secular religion:

-Covid-19 is the devil;

-Government is 'god';

-Scientists and experts are high priests.

-Masks are magical talismans.

-Lockdown is the new Lent.

-Vaccination is baptism.

-Challenging the dogma is blasphemy

-Thou shalt 'follow The Science' or be punished.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply ▸

Sick Sinag 3 hours ago

Nah, it's just plain old tyranny using a new delivery system.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply ▸

SolaFascist Tot 3 hours ago

When you enact low level bio-warfare against an enemy it is important to have a chove that singe hoas stogans at the funerals.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply ▸

TheGreatWhoseeeeeCowy 3 hours ago (Edited)

I am just smarter than you.....and I do not fall for stupid narratives anymore.... Watch out for the PH Beta Kappa tortant and the deadly Tri Lambda Variants....I hear they are real killers.

▲ 1 ▼ 2 Reply ▸

ownworthg 3 hours ago

delta house variant

Togal Togal Togal

▲ 1 ▼ Reply ▸

SolaFascist Tot 3 hours ago

In a related story the dead were unable to comment.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply ▸

TheGreatWhoseeeeeCowy 3 hours ago

I sure do miss that death scoreboard put out on FAKE news.....I am sure that was REAL....It has to be...after all its on the Tell a Vision.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply ▸

karzai_lover 3 hours ago

there are people (insane) that have not come out yet to this day and only see the tv.

I can't imagine their terrors.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply ▸

ownworthg 3 hours ago

yep. I know of a guy who hasn't left his house since March 2020

there are a lot of them

▲ 2 ▼ Reply ▸

SolaFascist Tot 3 hours ago

Stop watching television. I promise you will feel better.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply ▸

Sock's Kitten 3 hours ago

I took a Covid test yesterday for the first time because I need it to fly. The testers were wearing a mask and that's all. I figure they now in contact with Covid patients all the time and are still alive and working.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply ▸

TheGreatWhoseeeeeCowy 3 hours ago (Edited)

So...YOU took a FAKE TEST?? Good job.....But at least you got the FAKE RESULT you were looking for.....

▲ 1 ▼ Reply ▸

Jackson99 3 hours ago (Edited)

a (fake) test isn't going to hurt you (much). you gotta do what you gotta do no matter how stupid it is sometimes.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply ▸

Jo Cassidy 3 hours ago

On the other hand my wife tested positive and two days later I was, and still am, sicker than hell and feeling like I'm going to die. The test may be b.s. but the illness is real enough. I will enjoy my natural immunity once I recover.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply ▸

karzai_lover 3 hours ago

You would or could realize that 99.8% or so of everyone is still alive and working or at least alive.

bwhahahahaaa

▲ ▼ Reply ▸

sabiffter2 3 hours ago

I have gotten so paranoid that I dont believe I will take a test either. Thats how they get ya!

▲ ▼ 2 Reply ▸

K Napoleon 3 hours ago

We must all wear masks out of an abundance of caution. If it saves one life...

But first we all must take an untested vaccine that's already killed thousands

▲ 1 ▼ Reply ▸

Jackson99 3 hours ago

that is a lot of words!

▲ 1 ▼ Reply ▸

Jack 3 hours ago

Despite me hating masks, the stupidity of those who think the masks are supposed to provide filtration when inhaling is even more sad

They contain the expectorates. Not completely, but much more than without

▲ ▼ Reply ▸

Robert De Zeis 3 hours ago

Elevated CO2 (unhealthy) and slightly decreased exposure to germs (lowers the immune system).

Why did many American Nations die, when first exposed to the white man's colds and flu's? No previous exposure or resistance.

The immune system needs contact with germs to stay strong. This is especially true for children, in my estimation.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply ▸

aerial view 3 hours ago

Breathing while wearing a mask implies that whatever particles including viruses are attached on either side of the mask have a substantially increased chance of being inhaled thus INCREASING the concentration of particles and exposure while at the same time restricting the amount of CO2 exhaled and O2 inhaled: healthy practice-not really!

▲ 1 ▼ Reply ▸

cpt. carpiuto 1 hour ago

Why do you believe masks have a magical magnetic property? Virus particles don't stick, no more than a golf ball dropped in a net with 2" squares sticks.

▲ ▼ Reply ▸

Author_X 3 hours ago

"Do Masks Work?"

Yes, they work for the very limited purpose for which they were designed.

The little paper masks and N95s do NOT filter viri.

They aren't designed to and don't.

If they did, laboratories everywhere would buy boxes of $10 paper masks instead of multi-thousand dollar respiratory suits (N100).

▲ 1 ▼ Reply ▸

cpt. carpiuto 1 hour ago

You are wrong about N95

- N95, N99, N100 – Filters at least 95%, 99%, 99.97% of airborne particles. Not resistant to oil.

▲ ▼ Reply ▸

stick-boy-Luther 3 hours ago

dead horse emoji anyone????

▲ 1 ▼ Reply ▸

Sigh...

▲ 1 ▼ Reply ▸

Sergeyblad 4 hours ago

Masks don't work, those at the CDC are a bunch anti-American schmucks. They are looking for fear and obedience from the people. They don't have a clue what's going on and are cooking the books on their reporting system to hide all the deaths and illnesses caused by their pathogen injections.

47 studies confirm ineffectiveness of masks for COVID and 32 more confirm their negative health effects

https://www.thetimeses.com/news/47-studies-confirm-ineffectiveness-of-masks-for-covid-and-32-more-confirm-their-negative-health-effects

▲ 1 ▼ Reply ▸

answerwerk 3 hours ago

27 vaccinated people on board Carnival Cruise test positive for COVID-19

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JTS-LYT3KDU

▲ 1 ▼ Reply ▸

K_canada_AB_kaila_blue 3 hours ago

Y'all see this?

https://www.thrivecn.com/media/pandemic-s-indoctrination-full-movie

Pandemic Part II.

If this doesn't start a revolution, nothing will.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply ▸

ajb 3 hours ago (Edited)

Here's one joke of a "study".

> *Out of the University of Illinois conducted by mechanical science professor Tarek Sal and three graduate students, Onur Aydin and Bashar Emon, indicates that your old t-shirt could be an effective material to construct a cloth face mask. In the research paper published online, the team found that a single layer of regular cotton blend t-shirt material can block respiratory droplets by 45% and a double layer of these breathable materials offers 98% droplet reduction. For non-healthcare industry individuals who practice social distancing, face masks made from breathable cotton materials should be both effective and comfortable.*

Yes, use dirty old t-shirts that probably have already started to break down, creating even larger holes. The good news is that you might breathe a little better. Did they show any data for their conclusions? No.

Also, I will sit1 on just about everything graduate students do. Every time I have allowed graduate students to work on a project, I have ended up redoing the entire thing. Either because everything was too complicated, or didn't work at all. The reason is because they have no real world experience to draw from, and use research models as their basis. As we say, simulations are doomed to succeed.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply ▸

ashcrafts 3 hours ago

Medicine is an "imprecise" science. When possible apply the "hard" sciences, physics, chemistry, etc. It is IMPOSSIBLE for surgical masks to stop CV-19. The virus is orders of magnitude smaller than the weave of those stupid blue masks. Masks are superstition, not science

▲ 1 ▼ Reply ▸

SopRoe 3 hours ago

Quick Demo of how masks (don't) help

Start at the 1:06 mark

Quick Demo of how masks (don't) help - YouTube

▲ ▼ Reply ▸

Infidel_one 3 hours ago

TLDR:

Masks don't work. End of.

^ Summary for Policy Users.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply ▸

SopRoe 3 hours ago

"Masksr" is the New Acne and It's Caused by Wearing a Face Mask

In addition to clogging pores, the friction from mask wear also breaks down the protective barriers of skin more quickly. The surface of the skin has a microscopic layer of protective oils and fats that maintain moisture levels, promote general skin health, and keep skin safe from external irritants, toxins, and bacteria or viruses. When this protective barrier is broken down, **more serious or more frequent flareups in chronic skin conditions like rosacea, eczema, *and psoriasis***

How to Treat "Maskne" Mask Acne | U.S. Dermatology Partners | Blog

sudermatologypartners.com/

▲ ▼ Reply ▸

anti_Reds 3 hours ago

This is one of the best articles on the subject (note all the references and links):

https://www.aier.org/article/the-cdcs-mask-mandate-study-debunked/

This is old news. The virtue signaling continues.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply ▸

WordFreedom 3 hours ago

Dr. Fauci said there's no evidence HCQ helps covid patients, yet many doctors saw benefits and recommend it for patients. What Dr. Fauci didn't say (which is the FDA/CDC/Big Pharma scam game) is, using his standard of "evidence", is he has no data to show HCQ doesn't help, because the FDA/CDC/Big Pharma says only double blind random human trials (which are RCTs) provide the "necessary (for them to approve) evidence" to approve a drug for use. There's plenty of other evidence such as cell culture studies, animal studies, human studies that aren't RCTs, and anecdotal evidence.

If it were up to Fauci, nothing would be used to treat Covid, because nothing was approved for use by the FDA. Of course, this was a new virus, which shows the FDA isn't organized or designed to deal with new viruses. The FDA prevents doctors from treating patients with new diseases, because no drug has been approved for a new disease. Big Pharma can't make a profit on old drugs, so they don't want old drugs approved for use, used for new uses, when that opportunity can be used to develop a new drug that patients will pay thousands of dollars for, even if millions die waiting for that drug to be developed and get approval.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply ▸

bullet pert bags 3 hours ago

RCTs cost $20 million on average. No pharma company will spend that kind of money to test an off patent drug like HCQ or Ivermectin since there is no financial incentive to do so.

▲ 2 ▼ Reply ▸

greenpartier 3 hours ago

It is a common trick in healthcare in general. They draw the demand for RCTs as the only valid way to determine anything, much like Lawyer Dagget was used as a threat in "True Grit".

In other words, don't believe your own lying eyes and ears, trust us instead.

▲ ▼ Reply ▸

G_Pierce 3 hours ago

"The CDC is actually a vaccine company" - Robert F. Kennedy Jr

Something else to add?!

▲ 2 ▼ Reply ▸

Kyra Hu 3 hours ago

Manipulators cheat.

That is all.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply ▸

Hot0Rod 3 hours ago

Big pharma, big tech, MSM, and big government are the horsemen of modern times. They are one ones pushing this new apocalypse.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply ▸

Isabella Scott 3 hours ago

What novel coronavirus?

▲ 1 ▼ Reply ▸

JWPhoe 3 hours ago

The one they haven't isolated yet, silly!

▲ ▼ Reply ▸

Joe Rockhead 5 hours ago

they work for cleaning the sweat between balls and tag.

Reply

Reply

RaiDeathstone 5 hours ago

Good for picking up dog turds as well.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=y6dqKUcnOA

Reply

Reply

nerOak 5 hours ago

Biden himself has most carefully reviewed all the evidence and objectively evaluated all the test results.

Therefore, since he says he has logically concluded anti-masters are "murderers",

It must be true.

/sarc

Reply

Reply

LongBT1 5 hours ago

If masks worked, you wouldn't see the Wuhan lab scientists wearing pressurized suits. They would be wearing cloth of surgical masks.

Logically, cloth or surgical masks can't protect you. There is some anecdotal evidence that if everybody prepare some a surgical or N95 mask, there might be some degree of protection. They don't protect you so much as protecting them from you. Cloth masks are a danger.

I wear a mask to mollify my wife. We started out wearing N95 masks. It became too much for my wife to wear, so now we wear surgical masks. We reuse them. We are careless in how we handle them. Since we are in the minority and don't follow safe protocol, I concede that the masks do us more harm than good. But, happy wife, happy life.

Reply

Sola's Willow 5 hours ago

Women need to be told what to do. Your wife can't be happy with a husband who always goes along with her wishes. She would rather be with a real man.

Reply

LongBT1 5 hours ago

A real man picks his battles. He doesn't squabble over trivial matters. If a small effort on my part makes my wife happy, I do it.

Reply

Jeffrie 5 hours ago

"Happy wife, happy life"...no. I used to be that way. Default compliance to a person primarily controlled by emotions isn't a recipe for success.

Reply

Lace 5 hours ago

Don't be a cuck follower. A woman needs a man who can stand for something.

Reply

wolvhnd 5 hours ago

I think the mask religion helps the spread of viruses because believers will put on a mask and participate in situations where the virus can spread. Ike indoor places or public transportation like airplanes, subway, or Uber.

I think it accelerates herd immunity (if such a thing exists), because if they didn't believe in masks, those people would probably take fewer risks.

Reply

Reply

Ross B. Pfeffer 5 hours ago

Pussy.

Reply

Ray of Pigs 57 minutes ago

So, 11 out of 14 or nearly 80% of the studies showed they were useless and/or counterproductive?

Looks like the 2% "conspiracy theory" crowd is vindicated again. Facts matter.

Reply

AntiHoax 1 hour ago

Stop calling it the "novel coronavirus."

There's nothing "novel" about it.

https://notepad.org/BJaqL2OG:T/A-manufactured-illusion_Dr-David-Martin-with-Reiner-Fuellmich-9-7-21-a

Reply

Richard Whitney 5 hours ago

An uniformed, hysterical mob never listens to the voice of reason.

Reply

Silver Sailor 5 hours ago

The mouth is the dirtiest part of the body. Worse than a buttfuck. But yeah breathe all those FBéfang bacteria back into your system.

Reply

sadclipsander Member 1 hour ago

Maskholes around the world are doing just that.

Reply

MacDu 5 hours ago

"Seriously people—STOP BUYING MASKS!" So tweeted then-surgeon general Jerome Adams on February 29, 2020, adding: **"They are NOT effective in preventing general public from catching #Coronavirus."**

> ***Masks only magically work for doctors and the families of elites. And there shall be no TALIBAN CAVEWALK in Afghanistan.***

Reply

Silver Sailor 5 hours ago

Masks are the most horrible thing. I would rather get Rona.

Reply

left blank 5 hours ago

fauci had ivermectin pulled from shelves

Reply

Lacuf 5 hours ago

If cloth masks increase the likelihood you'll catch the virus by 13x, why did they insist we wear them throughout the pandemic? And, why are they insisting that ping the unvaccinated wear them now? Could it be to increase cases among the unvaccinated to support their claims that this is a 'pandemic of the unvaccinated' and to justify mandates? Hmmm.

Reply

Lacuf 5 hours ago

One more question: Are they insisting that kids wear cloth masks to school to purposefully sicken them?

Reply

nappy2BP 5 hours ago

the only masks that work are the ones that suffocate you - by definition.

lol... scuba suits and oxygen for everyone.

oh.. same goes for the dog or cat when outside.

long OD

Reply

sc5403 5 hours ago

I have not bought a face mask this entire time, not invested in big pharma stocks, etc. Please stop (at least try to stop as much as possible) supporting this hoax.

Reply

left 5 hours ago

It specifically says it doesn't work, but keep wearing it because it works.

> *The image reading "will not provide any protection against COVID-19 (coronavirus)" is real. Most masks, if not all, being sold have some sort of label saying that it will not provide any protection against viruses, but that does not mean masks should not be worn.*

Reply

SwiftGamma 5 hours ago

Its no different than all the schools that have signs out front saying "Gun Free Zone".

Because, yeah, that is what will work. A sign.

Reply

absolute_kidz 5 hours ago

"The study found that those in the cloth-mask group were 13 times more likely (2.09 percent to 0.17 percent) to develop an influenza-like illness than those in the surgical-mask group—a statistically significant difference. "

But if surgical mask do not work then that difference can only be explained if cloth mask actually _increase_ the probability of infection.

The reason for mask mandates is psychological: to constantly remind people of the pandemic (which might actually work to keep them vigilant in other respects) and also to impress everyone with the nature and extent of the power of our rulers to order us about and enforce.

Reply

Lacuf 5 hours ago

I also noticed this. The questions that popped into mind are: 1) Did they insist on everyone wearing cloth masks to spread the virus more, keeping the fear alive and allowing them to seize more power? and 2) Are they currently insisting that only the unvaccinated wear the cloth masks so that they will become sick at higher rates - seeming to justify their claims that this is a pandemic of the unvaccinated? The latter would be used to justify stigmatizing the unvaccinated and the mandates. These people are truly devious and evil.

Reply

cpt. carpalot 5 hours ago

First you need to accept something, there are many many people living among us that are mentally ill. Period.

I don't know why the number is so high, but it's extremely high.

Second thing you need to accept is mentally ill people suck you into their mentally ill reality without you really noticing it.

An article like this will never convince, or change the minds of the mentally ill - because they are MENTALLY ILL, and those of us who are not need to start taking control back from these people.

That means no more appeasing their mentally ill wishes, and by literal force if we have to. But we have to stop.

It's painful, I know this because my family had a mentally ill family member living with us in our home. Someone we loved and we cared for, but the mental illness got worse over time, and we got sucked in to her reality. Other family members turned against us and said WE were the mentally ill, and that we were abusive, and even said it was our behaviour that caused this person's mental illness.

The way it ended for us was we had to evict this relative from our home (we evict through the courts) and those same family members paid for their legal defense and even plotted as to how THEY would attempt to move into our home and force us to evict them as well.

The situation lasted 15 years for us from start to finish.

Reply

absolute_kidz 5 hours ago

It's the natural reaction to living in a society that is totally dehumanizing in many respects.

Reply

SwiftGamma 5 hours ago

All these mentally ill people are still alive because we have lived — all of us — for so long in a 100% artificial environment.

In the real world, any creature that seized up by being confronted with a "microaggression" or the "wrong pronoun", or ingested chemicals so toxic they have to be cocked up in a lab by dudes wearing gas masks wouldn't last very long. Likewise, the male of any species that allowed its balls to be psychologically removed by the females wouldn't pass on its genetics. Ditto for anyone stupid enough to believe the gender dude is a "construct" or that 2 + 2 **CAN** equal 5, if we really, REALLY identify that it does. Double ditto for all @SwiftGeek wokeposting dolts who actually believe Comrade Hairforther got 81 million votes.

To ALL of these useless, ridiculous people **DARWIN SAYS NO.**

You wanna see that happening in real time? Look no further than what's unfolding in Kabul.

Reply

NeoBoxing 5 hours ago
Member 2 minutes ago

If their intended purpose is to test Eye Retinal Scanning Software running on cameras in stores / airports / etc. THEN YES THEY DO WORK FOR THEIR INTENDED PURPOSE!

Think like an Egyptian.

(Everything is fake, it's a cover for what the evil bank w/ soldiers does in the back drop.)

Reply

sawrgeh 5 hours ago
Member 2 minutes ago

Joe Biden:

1. Highest inflation since early 80's.
2. Pleas with Cuba and Russia to raise oil output
3. Cancel Keystone XL pipeline, replace it with some 58% DEFFECTIVE - "Thank you sir, my I have another."
4. Border crisis with immigrant Covid positivity
5. Segregating people via Covid vaccine mandates at public agencies, restaurants, bars and gyms.
6. Taliban taking over Afghanistan. Al Qaeda making a come back
7. Critical Race Theory/Project 1619 in kindergarten, mandatory masking
8. Defund the police

Is the Covid Vaccine safe?

1. Are there possible adverse event outcomes? 543,000 in VAERS database (7/15/21) - https://vaers.hhs.gov/data.html
2. Do the pharmaceutical companies have total indemnification from liability? Yes, congressional "PREP ACT"
3. Is this a vaccine? No, mRNA messenger is a lab synthetic
4. What additional chemicals are in the injection? Moderna SM-102 proprietary.
5. Is the vaccine FDA approved? No, emergency approval only
6. Were drug interaction studies done? No
7. Were single-dose toxicity studies done? No
8. Were carcinogenicity studies done? No
9. Were genotoxicity studies done? No
10. Were carcinogenicity studies done? No
11. Were reproductive studies done? No
12. Is the mRNA injection effective? Mild reduction in symptoms
13. How long does it protect against Covid? Don't know
14. Does it help people who contracted and survived Covid? Don't know
15. Does it prevent transmission of Covid? No
16. Are there medical treatments for Covid? Yes, ivermectin, hydroxychloroquin, vitamins C, D and zinc
17. What are Covid survival chances? 0-14 99.9998%, 15-44 99.9931%, 45-64 99.5076%, 65-99 92.5027%
18. Is the PCR test accurate? Cycle threshold must be less than 35, FDA recommends 40. Fauci - over 35 is dead nonsensical, not able to cause infection
19. Abestos particles are 50 times LARGER than the corona virus, Paper/cloth masks (excluding N95) are not approved by OSHA to be used around abestos.

Can you get natural immunity if you contract but survive Covid?
If yes, do you still need a vaccination?
If yes, why?
What population percentage of vaccinated/immune constitues herd immunity? Why?
How does testing differentiate between Covid variants?

"If I get vaccinated can I stop wearing a mask?"
Government: "NO"

"If I get vaccinated will the restaurants, bars, schools, fitness clubs, hair salons, etc. reopen and will people be able to get back to work like normal?
Government: "NO"

"If I get vaccinated will be resistant to Covid?"
Government: "Maybe. We don't know exactly, but probably not."

"If I get vaccinated, at least I won't be contagious to others – right?"
Government: "NO, the vaccine doesn't stop transmission."

"If I get vaccinated, how long will the vaccine last?"
Government: "No one knows. All Covid "vaccines" are still in the experimental stage."

"If I get vaccinated, can I stop social distancing?"
Government: "NO"

"If my parents, grandparents and myself all get vaccinated can we hug each other again?"
Government: "NO"

"So what's the benefit of getting vaccinated?"
Government: "Hoping that the virus won't kill you."

"Are you sure the vaccine won't injure or kill me?"
Government: "NO"

"If statistically the virus won't kill me (99.7% survival rate), why should I get vaccinated?"
Government: "To protect others."

"So if I get vaccinated, I can protect 100% of people I come in contact with?"
Government: "NO"

"If I experience a severe adverse reaction, long-term effects (still unknown) or die from the vaccine will I (or my family) be compensated from the vaccine manufacture or the Government?"
Government: "NO – the government and vaccine manufacture's have 100% zero liability regarding this experimental drug"
So to summarize, the Covid19 "vaccine"....

Does not provide immunity
Does not eliminate the virus
Does not prevent death
Does not guarantee you won't get it
Does not stop you from passing it on to others
Does not eliminate the need for travel bans
Does not eliminate the need for business closures

Does not eliminate the need for lockdowns!!!

4 ▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

mcmole [mod] 3 hours ago (1 child)

Back in 2016 (before covid), a dental group, Oral Health, published a study suggesting that dentists did not really need masks while using dental aerosols. The study was removed from the web when covid started, but can be found using the internet archive.

4 ▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

Wasteally 7 hours ago

Masks.

Have uses.

Like substitute for poopchutes.

Covering my coffee mug so the bugs/flies stay off.

They make dandy coasters for mah beer.

Just the right size to wipe up the occasional cat yak.

Masks, they're not just for tyranny any more.

4 ▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

Wolfbot 8 hours ago

Masks are a form of slavery when forced upon the population by tyrants.

4 ▲ 2 ▼ Reply »

Ubertoss 8 hours ago

Please check these out and share if you think they are worth sharing:

http://tinyurch.com/depopulation?%21visMeMandatoryMoEfficacy.pnn -CDC Study Says Masks Were Only .5 to 2% Effective At Stopping COVID in 2020 - This Fails Within Study Margin of Error

http://tinyurch.com/depopulation?%21PfizerExposesAmericanAcademyOfPediatricsDidNotVaxKidsTrialsWasNotTrueOrSafeAlreadyHaSGEStarvationDueTheyCbtNotHavJuicSSO.pnn -Pfizer Sponsored AAP Wants All Children to Wear Masks - SAGE Scientist Says They Do Nothing Same Week

http://tinyurch.com/depopulation?MaskEfficacyFactOfZero.png -CDC Study 76% of Patients Always Wore Masks Prior to Getting Sick

http://tinyurch.com/depopulation?%21DangerousPrtWhenPuttingOnChildrenOfLeadBaseDyes -Dangerous Pathogens Found On Children's Face Masks

http://tinyurch.com/depopulation?MaskPrtNtDish.png -Masks Are Petri Dishes - This Is What Everyone Who Wears Them Is Breathing

http://tinyurch.com/depopulation/foodMaps.png -Masks Are Toxic - What We Are Actually Breathing is Hyperbaric Waste

http://tinyurch.com/depopulation/maxDangersStudy.pnn -REDACTED Stanford Study Masks Are Incredibly Deadly

http://tinyurch.com/depopulation/maskAbdSuspension.pnn -Canadian Pref Suspends Mid Delivery to Some Customers After They Were Seen Not Wearing Masks

4 ▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

captain nob 8 hours ago

Even the tallban know masks and social distance is bullshit

4 ▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

Wire Generic Twirl 9 hours ago

No kidding. The Taliban have experienced no increase in deaths from COVID. It pays to fight against Americans.

Prive me wrong.

4 ▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

gorstpul 8 hours ago

But how else can I show my new badge of subservience to the Bill Gates/ Fauci state?

4 ▲ 2 ▼ Reply »

a1bid 8 hours ago

It's funny how even to this day, no one ever asked for scientific evidence that lockdowns work, but everyone and their mother just did whatever the government said.

4 ▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

Sugar0Shack1 8 hours ago

Lockdowns are like magick.

Nobody dies of anything but covid.

4 ▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

captain nob 8 hours ago (2 children)

So I sleep with a mask on for nothing?

4 ▲ 2 ▼ Reply »

snowcutting 8 hours ago

is it a beauty mask?

there could be benefits

depends if you identify as attractive or not

4 ▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

captain nob 8 hours ago

I identify as pretty

4 ▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

Joe Sweiz 5 hours ago (1 child)

It's been a while, but reposting a link to a talk by a Nobel (back when it meant something) Prize winning chemist:

Science of things that just aren't (w/

(It's interesting to note that one of Langmuir's examples is a topic that has been recently trotted back out: Flying Saucers.)

4 ▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

jhartsum 5 hours ago

Yeah, they are pretty good at filtering dust.

4 ▲ 2 ▼ Reply »

DavidC 5 hours ago

The researchers also observe that "viral shedding" of the coronavirus "is at its highest during the 2 to 3 days before symptom onset."

This is yet another of the annoying things about Covid and the language used, the use of the word 'asymptomatic'. Anyone who has the Coronavirus and goes on to develop the disease is only asymptomatic in the sense that they are PRIOR to symptomatic. The subtle shift has been to suggest that someone gets the disease and continues to carry it asymptomatically and spread the virus without ever developing symptoms. True asymptomatic carriers are rare, presymptomatic carriers much less so.

DavidC

4 ▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

B Jet Peg 5 hours ago

Excellent point. I wonder if that misnomer is by design.

4 ▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

WRRB 7 hours ago

There is no pre-symptomatic spread of the virus. Pre-symptom, the viral infection is just beginning, first infecting your cells, then starting the replication process. The symptoms come about when the new virus copies escape the cell (shedding), disrupting the cell wall and killing the host cell, causing the immune system to react, causing the symptoms and shedding. The bodies immune response eventually catches up and that is what stops the infection cycle in your body. That is why symptoms start gradually, reach a high discomfort level, then recede over time.

Any 3rd year medical student or microbiologist in university would be able to tell you this.... assuming they are being taught anything at all.

4 ▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

Mindstack Hedgehog 5 hours ago

Anyone that works in the chemical industry or a firefighter can readily attest that the only way to protect yourself from contaminated toxins such as poisonous fumes or smoke is to use a Self Contained Breathing Apparatus (SCBA). The same goes for Covid. Only those who wear the lab technicians wear like a Bio Hazmat Institute of Virology ? The mask for a SCBA also have to be fitted to make sure they do not leak by.

4 ▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

DavidC 5 hours ago

Something I've pointed out many times over the last year and a half, only to be told I don't know what I'm talking about!

DavidC

4 ▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

Tess's Mitten 3 hours ago

What about viral load? - Don't masks reduce the amount of virus that is transmitted and doesn't viral load make a difference in outcome?

4 ▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

Cock Sting 3 hours ago

BAAAAAAAAAAA

4 ▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

Lace 3 hours ago

Kind of like a condom, how may viruses does it take to make you catch it? So a mask stops 40% or whatever the number was?

Almost as good as the chain link fence in my back yard stopping mosquitos.

4 ▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

WRRB 1 hours ago

masks increase the viral load by retaining particles and increasing the concentration. Then you handle the mask with your hands, touch your face, rub your eyes or nose or mouth, and transfer the particles to your mucosal membranes where the infection starts.

That's why hand sanitation is shown in every study to reduce infection. Gloving when appropriate and frequent disinfectantcleaning of hands is the #1 thing taught in hospital laboratory and research settings, along with keep your hands off your face.

4 ▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

EvesTotal 4 hours ago

Laymens' mask-wearing is for inconsiderate people too ill-mannered to carry a handkerchief, who cough and sneeze on others. So, if you're wearing a mask, we know who you are.

4 ▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

solresane 5 hours ago

Oh in Florida, masks optional :)

4 ▲ 2 ▼ Reply »

Jungle of Truth 8 hours ago

It's a circus. I always give preference to shops without tried mask racks. The local supermarket employs security whose only job is to harass customers (since it's a safe area). They know it's bs but since they are force-masked they want you as miserable as them so they look sets of an idiot (always given pretending to live in a parallel universe where this would actually work. Pathetic.

4 ▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

solresane 5 hours ago (2 children)

N-95 Masks work for the sick, so they don't spread as many corona particles in the environment. That's about it.

https://m.youtube.com/watch?v=DNeYfUTk11k

4 ▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

Instoneff 5 hours ago

you're kidding right?

4 ▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

solresane 5 hours ago (1 child)

Which part? That I prefer people sneeze and cough if they are sick in a mask instead my face? Definitely prefer they stay home.

Had della wife nearly died. I ain't wearing no mask, but when I had to run errands sick, I wore a mask to protect you from the kung-flu.

Or did you prefer I just spread it?

4 ▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

TheGreatHomosexuaCrazy 5 hours ago

ya right ..... FAKE TEST and you fell for it.... idiot alert.

4 ▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

solresane 5 hours ago

I'll light a candle for you when you get intubated.

4 ▲ 2 ▼ Reply »

WRRB 5 hours ago

your precious N95 mask has a valve in it that stops NOTHING from your exhaled breath. It by chance you get the cheap version with no valve, then you are exhaling particles around the edges of the mask, stopping nothing.

Wear one if you like, mandate nothing for anyone else, and stop thinking your ignorance is virtuous.

4 ▲ 2 ▼

Jiffy98 5 hours ago

Really? Did you develop a super secret test discerne a "covid variant"? No, you did not especially as you can't test something that does not exist. Real men carry a handkerchief.

And yes, feel free please spread away ya DB

4 ▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

TheGreatHomosexuaCrazy 5 hours ago

Another joke of a comment.....525 days later and the HOAX continues.....I love idiots

4 ▲ 2 ▼ Reply »

Instoneff 5 hours ago

sub wants to put a mask on a sick person. lolz

4 ▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

solresane 5 hours ago (1 child)

Come over, I'll give you a good billion particle cough in the face for free :)

It'll be enlightening what your opinion is afterwards. If you survive!

ivermectin+doxy+zinc+vitamin c,d+aspirin negative in 7 days

4 ▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

solresane 5 hours ago

Not a hoax, della died. Hope you never find out

4 ▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

TheGreatHomosexuaCrazy 5 hours ago

The only thing real is you are a dope

4 ▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

Jiffy98 5 hours ago

Show us variants of something that's never been found. Geez, do people question anything anymore? I already found out, I got the flu in March.

4 ▲ 2 ▼ Reply »

Instoneff 5 hours ago

you want to put a mask on someone having respiratory difficulties? srsly?

4 ▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

tonal_beer 5 hours ago

the smartest only can get this ...

I don't know how to reason with them any longer.

bwhahahaha

4 ▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

Instoneff 5 hours ago

i guess those paper masks absorb trillions of virus particles. who knew?

4 ▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

solresane 5 hours ago

N-95 home

4 ▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

Lung8 5 hours ago (2 children)

Once those masks dry out a bit on a sick person, they are too bomb vacuum (leaner dust bags blowing

clouds of virus everywhere

4 ▲ 2 ▼ Reply »

solresane 5 hours ago (2 children)

SpO2 drops below 90 you're going to the hospital mate. Thats day 8-10, what do you do for the week prior? Not eat?

It's complicated for low IQ to grasp!

4 ▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

Cock Sting 5 hours ago

What test did they use to confirm it was Delta Covid ?

4 ▲ 1 ▼ Reply »

solresane 5 hours ago (1 child)

CTC quickVue, CTC SmartNOW, (us at home) PCR at 29 threshold, I asked specifically for the CT.

Ivermectin didn't confer same protection as alpha. Likely because delta viral load 1000x original. Needed a higher dose.

wife didn't take protocol, SpO2 dropped sub 90 day 8. Got her

to the hospital on her last breath. It was awful.

No flu.| ever had dropped %5pO2 sub 90, you?

Brother's fam had flu and FEW they took covid tests and they came negative.

Poof your intellectual capacity is lower than my wife's spo2, sub 90 | and you're wrong.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply •

Dark Strong 9 hours ago

So you tested for the flu, antigens and relied on a PCR which can not predict illness.

What or who told you it was Delta ?

▲ 1 ▼

Dark Strong 9 hours ago (Edited)

Poof.

That's what I thought.

▲ 1 ▼

JOLlyJeff 9 hours ago

Right. Explain to us how the PCR can test for a specific virus. Oh yes, it can't. It's an amplification tool. Be just a smidge smarter. You're almost there!

▲ 1 ▼

Dark Strong 9 hours ago

"No flu.| ever had dropped %5pO2 sub 90, you?"

Nah, that would be pneumonia .

▲ ▼

Nick R. Pfeffer 9 hours ago

LOOK Mom a talking sheep!

▲ 1 ▼ Reply •

BeaFrancis1 1st 9 hours ago

COVID19 has a diameter of approximately 80-140 nm or 0.08 to 1.4 microns.

COVID-19 mainly spreads from person to person through respiratory droplets. Face coverings when worn snugly over the mouth and nose, limit the spread of respiratory droplets by keeping respiratory droplets from reaching someone else.

It's the same as in Feb 2020... Stay the fuck away from me.

▲ 2 ▼ 11 Reply •

TheGreyRhesusmonCoverp 9 hours ago

YOU fall for the fake flag... Its only been going on for 522 days ...LMFAO.... YOU are a plee!

▲ 5 ▼ 3 Reply •

BeaFrancis1 1st 9 hours ago

600,000+ dead Americans minus even 25% revised isn't a hoax.

Low level bio-warfare has blowback.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply •

TheGreyRhesusmonCoverp 9 hours ago (Edited)

THEY could tell you 5.5 million and you would believe it.... They are just numbers on FAKE news....ALL LIES

False TEST, fake results, Fake deaths, fake variants.....and just think YOU FELL FOR IT...

LMFAO

▲ 2 ▼ 1 Reply •

Lloyd 9 hours ago

It's an emergency measure similar to Quantitative Easing in 2008 , that never ends.

▲ ▼

JOLlyJeff 9 hours ago

Why don't you keep your frightened self at home? Really. I doubt we'll miss you. Just a hunch.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply •

Good This, Walk Rotten Nobbyy 9 hours ago

You Monkey, if you insist on being a mass wave hoax, stop throwing your discarded masks in parking lots. You morons are not only stupid, you are filthy pigs !!!

▲ 2 ▼ Reply •

TheGreyRhesusmonCoverp 9 hours ago

They work well on HOAXES.....

▲ 1 ▼ Reply •

Nick Strong 9 hours ago

"The eye is the window to the soul. The mouth the door. The intellect, the will, are seen in the eye; the emotions, sensibilities, and affections, in the mouth."

" The defiance in the extended middle finger."

▲ 2 ▼ Reply •

The Bibbi Administration Goes Bayonn 9 hours ago

Put your mask on?

Put your brain on- and do not ever cutsource that to the government

▲ 2 ▼ Reply •

BuddhaBs 1 hour ago

We know they didn't work last year. Why are we still talking about this?

▲ 1 ▼ Reply •

JPF 1 hour ago

A lot of words... or a picture

https://www.starbaby.com.br/proxy-image?url=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.snopes.com%2Ffact%2Ficons%2F2020%2F05%2Fcovid19_masks.jpeg&c=1609652297b55efbca3097118d4e4fc7b66c14214e37f4d8f8fc9f8d5f0c4c2f2e4d79b8d2ec

▲ ▼ Reply •

Kjh 1 hour ago

So face dapers are useless to counterproductive. Yet I was in my local Wegmans in NY yesterday and 80% were masked up per "strong recommendation" from store management. Yes, mandates are coming to New York and the rest of the nation. What a pathetic waste of money and time.

How about proper treatment of the Flora disease. Look it up it's out there and I don't mean expensive antibody treatments or ventilators.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply •

GodyGamblaps 1 hour ago

The majority of Americans are cowards, and they'll put on their muzzle in order to appease the Karens who "strongly recommend" them wearing it...pathetic.

The masks have never been about public health and safety, but they have been useful in identifying who has a pair and who doesn't.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply •

BOB 2 hours ago

Zionist Hedge media are still virus hoaxing .

https://redpoolsdaddies.wordpress.com/2021/08/14/no-proof-of-threat/

▲ 1 ▼ Reply •

Wing 3 hours ago

You cant breath with the bloody masks on man!

▲ ▼ Reply •

MLEDrifftiger 3 hours ago

FFS that was a lot of words for this:

In our systematic review, we identified 10 RCTs that reported estimates of the effectiveness of face masks in reducing laboratory-confirmed influenza virus infections in the community from literature published during 1946-July 27, 2018. In pooled analyses, we found no significant reduction in influenza transmission with the use of face masks (RR 0.78, 95% CI 0.51–1.20, I2 = 30%, p = 0.25)

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26575-19-0994_article

▲ ▼ Reply •

MI_SSIS 3 hours ago

Most forced to wear masks at work take them off when taking a dump, wipes their ass, puts the masks back on then washes their hands. ... think about that

▲ 1 ▼ Reply •

Jim410 3 hours ago

Where I work Air Force Base on night shift, no one is wearing them. Not even our supervisor.

Think about that.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply •

cpt carpiately 1 hour ago

At the defense contractor I work for we wear them in the public spaces but as soon as we open a door and go to office spaces they come off.

We also take them off as soon as the exterior door opens and we step outside.

Nobody buys this shit except top management working from home trying to suck Joe for more money.

▲ ▼ Reply •

Joe Wazzu 3 hours ago (Edited)

You can read this longwinded report or google "vaping with masks". All you need to know is a couple of short entertaining videos. Oh, and send the links to your friends and family and enough with this nonsense.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply •

SnyRon 4 hours ago

Television station KRIB reports that an art teacher at Gibson Elementary School showed the video to youngsters. The profane parody was off "Be Our Guest," **a song from the popular musical 'Beauty and the Beast.'**

"Try not to be so grouchy," **the lyrics partially read**.

"Put your **faith in Fauci**."

It's a mask! It's a mask!

Oh heavens sake, it's just a mask

Such a shame that asking folks to follow rules gets you harassed.

Children Shown Propaganda Song Urging Them to Put Their 'Faith in Fauci' | Todd Starnes

▲ ▼ Reply •

SnyRon 4 hours ago

Wear a Mask (Be Our Guest Parody) - YouTube

▲ ▼ Reply •

Harry_Ford 5 hours ago

Most Americans do not trust their public-health officials. At all. This results from their continual and ongoing lies that do nothing but harm the public.

▲ ▼ Reply •

Rene Tolkinen 5 hours ago

Short answer: no. Anyone with two neurons and a connection between them could work this out by now, but apparently that's asking too much.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply •

GodyGamblaps 5 hours ago (Edited)

In the area of the US where I live - northern AZ - people were wearing masks BEFORE the mask-mandated signs were posted. At first they were the minority, but by the time they were "required" the majority were wearing them willingly afterwards.

These people's fears and virtue signaling were the reason that they were able to push the mask restrictions. Now after a year and a half, we're still dealing with this ridiculous policy in many places.

▲ ▼ Reply •

Ginger Grafts 5 hours ago (Edited)

Thought exercise:

If droplet transmission is a factor, could masks create a scenario where droplets are absorbed, wick the moisture away, decouple the virus particle from its "carrier" and allow it to pass through the material more effectively?

▲ 1 ▼ Reply •

3.1415 5 hours ago

Sounds like you might have an idea to actually isolate the virus, worlds first to have it!

▲ ▼ Reply •

crypto_master 6 hours ago

Amerika

▲ 1 ▼ Reply •

bilsquid999 7 hours ago

They aren't bad as headbands

▲ 1 ▼ Reply •

Rosco 7 hours ago

In March or April of 2020 there was a muslim of Indian descent in Fiji who travelled from India with the virus and showing symptoms. At the time everyone was supposed to go to their home and isolate. Instead of going to his home, he travelled to the capital and stayed with his daughter and son-in-law (or vice versa). He was a prominent member of the muslim league and they traced the hell about 1000 contacts.

Only the daughter and husband caught the virus (I think they had to send samples outside the country) and they were both hairdressers! They did not transmit to any customer and I am sure that they were not wearing masks at that point. One of them worked at Supercuts (name stolen, no relation) so saw many customers. Fiji was able to eliminate the virus. There was big panic with Chinese style lockdown and door to door testing (with thermometers only) of the 90% of the population in the capital.

Anyway, my anecdote counters the Measout study, showing that masks don't matter for hairdressers.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply •

Rosco 7 hours ago

Here is further info: https://www.mpa.org/covid-19-policy-tracker/australia-and-oceania/5/02-april-2020-14-day-lockdown-of-the-greater-sura-area/

▲ ▼ Reply •

bestjoe2001 7 hours ago

what virus?

COVID-19: "Virus Isolation": Does the Virus Exist? - Global Research/Global Research - Centre for Research on Globalization

and if animal testing is usually used because animals are suitable test hosts for human diseases, why hasn't there been a mass animal die-off/extinction event for animals - like pigs - that have similar characteristics as humans?

▲ ▼ Reply •

bestjoe2001 7 hours ago

and this is a summary of the scientific fraud being perpetrated globally

Statement on virus isolation ISOVG: "SARS-CoV-2 has never been isolated or purified" - NaturalNews.com

▲ 1 ▼ Reply •

Kalaelle 8 hours ago

2020 was the absolute worst year, ever. It is unbelievable how much panic mongering, scare mongering, fake news, junk science and government tyranny were imposed on people. I didn't predict any of this, at all. Trump was supposed to win 2020 and USA would have another 4 years of peace. Stock market tanked, trump out of office AND a killer virus. 3 strikes and America is out.

▲ ▼ Reply •

JRRTN 8 hours ago

Trump won 2020 massively. The case continues...

▲ ▼ Reply •

Kalaelle 8 hours ago

The civil civil war continues...

▲ 1 ▼ Reply •

bestjoe2001 8 hours ago

It is now "quaint" to repeatedly provide empirical evidence of the regulatory capture of the US by the drug cartels.

the anti-Ivermectin propaganda campaign is gathering steam across the world, from Italy, through France, germany, the uk, australia and even in Canada and the US (h/t look out mountain and thrashed10 for pointing this out)

Has Fauci Hits Canada, Tens of Thousands March in Montreal Against Vaccine Passports Ahead of Sept. 1 Rollout - Video! thetruthseeker.co/?p=1207

BREAKING: Thousands Protest Vaccine Passports, Mask Mandates At Oklahoma Capitol, Shout 'USA', 'USA' - National File

the quantitative where because each mask costs around 5 bucks and last just a few days. maybe 200 million americans subscribe to this virtue signaling paranoid folly.

in the last 18 months, that works out at sales for masks (mostly made in china) of 200 million times 5 bucks times 3 masks a week times 78 weeks = A QUARTER OF A TRILLION BUCKS.

> Yesterday at 1:16 PM

> Gavin Newsom's Murky Billion-Dollar Chinese Mask Contract - Liberty Nation

> now multiply the US numbers for 200 million by ten to get to 2 billion people worldwide, then double that again for a more likely 4 billion people (china and india each have populations of1.4 billion, europe+UK+US another 1 billion, add in russianess, mexico and the philippines and there's another billion or so)

> so, you have global mask spending of between 2.5 billion and 3 billion bucks ALREADY of which corrupt governments are getting massive kickbacks and bribes - like nepotism. 10% for the big man?

> it doesn't stop there. the hypocritical kakistocrats have completely ignored their "green" policies. the pollution from 50 billion masks discarded into oceans and landfills from 200 million mask wearers in the US

> multiply that by 20 to get 4 billion global mask wearers and you get to a trillion masks for the last 18 months, growing at the same rate every year as more mask fanaticism and compliance is enforced.

> so, quant-dude and the people proving masks don't work, when it has spawned a multi-trillion dollar global industry that dwarfs the petro-chemical industry for pollution - let's hope mask germs contained by billions of people don't get together and create a new plague of black death proportions.

> (you can work out similar numbers for useless test kits - more than half a billion in the US alone, each with 20 bucks direct costs PLUS another 80 bucks for processing/sorting/analysis/database - serious dough - easily 50 billion and soon to be replaced with even more expensive and useless kits that test whether any human being or their ancestors has ever had flu in their lifetimes).

> cui bono?

> a whole new industry built on fear, fraud and corruption, endorsed by kitards so that kitards can profit.

> next up, how the drug cartels have completed regulatory capture of kitard socialist kakistocratic governments across the globe.

> these kakistocracies were not elected to employ these measures and were supposed to use known treatment cures for the flu, NOT give billions to a drug cartel who then charges 100's of billions more for "designer drugs" that inject poisonous graphene oxide and pathogenic spike proteins into the gullible and fearful masses.

1 · Reply

tchid · 3 hours ago

> The author could have simply put a VEG or NO at the start and saved theatres the time in writing all this.

1 · Reply

A Lotric · 4 hours ago

> Here's a great visual on mask effectiveness.

> https://youtu.be/Ca9GzKcVCDQ

1 · Reply

Ingot · 5 hours ago

> N95 ffp2 or ffp3 to reduce inoculum, shame China and the CCP, made sure nobody was allowed any. In fact China repatriated stocks worldwide up until March - and the liberals applauded.

1 · Reply

wots · 5 hours ago (Edited)

> Do you think that the government will turn the HR departments into political officers, or that they'll make companies hire political officers?

> I think the government will just turn HR departments into political officers.

1 · Reply

> wildfire1 · 5 hours ago

> The largest department of the VA is Human Resources.It is like cancer,just keeps growing.

1 · Reply

wildfire1 · 5 hours ago

> Please put on your blue mask and enter the quonset hut on your left with the CS gas and the mean G.I.

1 · Reply

PerchanFirfleeding · 5 hours ago

> Is there a condensed version of this article I can share with my wife and her friends who don't have the attention span to read anything longer than an Instagram post? Yeah, all I need is a few hours of her hounds-of-hell related rants. Maybe an explainer video - US featuring a celebrity?

> In all seriousness, it wouldn't be a bad idea. The close-minded don't have long attention spans and are averse to reading and critical thinking.

1 · Reply

> TheGreatWoooooooooOmega · 3 hours ago

> Here...new headline for you: "The HOAX has been going on for only 522 days.....Get your mask on quick!"

1 · Reply

bezzerff · 5 hours ago

> they work to some extent. they prevent you from getting a beating in china and australia.

1 · Reply

> Samual Vines · 4 hours ago

> Muh MaGa hat.

1 · Reply

LaughsRC1 · Member · 5 hours ago (Edited)

> Wow... thats a long piece.

> Before I finish it, let's try some TRUTH.

> Early in the pandemic, straight through to late 2020, you couldn't buy an N95 mask or anything else remotely close to the necessary filtration levels to be useful. Against viral transmission from aerosolized body fluids. This was because China had cleaned out the market, closing down sales, pulling back containers filled with normal orders, and hoarding every last mask available for purchase in the world. Only AFTER they had secured the shelves clean all over the world did they announce that they had sent viral messengers all over the globe to infect the West and bring the international economy to its knees.

> Our public health and government officials knew this, but too late. In yet another SPECTACULAR INTELLIGENCE FAILURE by western agencies with their hundreds of billions in budgets, they totally missed this obvious and grotesque ploy that was going on. And, many Chinese-owned western politicians and media purposefully hid this and downplayed it, knowing if they DID recommend masks, there would be a frenzy, and then blame when people discovered this were none to be found.

> By pure chance, I had a stock of N95's on hand for my spray-painting hobby, as well as respirators and P100 cartridges with N95 prefilters. Could I have sold them for THOUSANDS on the internet. Instead, I donated half my supply to the local hospital, and gave the rest to family and friends.

> The entire early advisory pattern of western public health organization was driven by a need to hide the truth. It was driven by China's propaganda machine to prevent people from knowing what they had done. There were some reports, but very few, and they lasted only a couple days. Had they emphasized the truth, China would have become a global pariah for faster. It is vital that we make this happen even now - *choking their economy through sanctions and tariffs is a global necessity RIGHT NOW.*

> Why idiot knows a good, fresh N95 mask worn and handled properly will be an enormous aid in stopping the transmission of a viral infection. Fabric masks are totally useless. They need to have the proper static charge to attract particles, AND the proper weave. They don't.

> Let's call a spade a spade. Today there is no reason for the vast majority of kids to wear masks, and those that do should ONLY wear N95s with no exhaust vent. Anything else is virtue signaling. Vaccines are still a good idea for 90-99% of the population. It appears Ivermectin is an extremely useful therapy and possibly a prophylaxis.

> Delta is the bulk of new Covid cases, and it isn't that dangerous. With lots of recovered cases of natural immunity and vaccinated populations, it burns out fast. My kids are back to normal lives. The chances of Covid doing them serious harm are lower than the chances masks will either make them sick or create a false sense of security, leading parents to pack Johnny off to school despite his sniffles and aches because they think his mask will protect the other kids.

> Herd immunity or herd stupidity. A strong cull is always a good idea.

1 · Reply

> incelecent · 3 hours ago

> Wow... that's a long reply.

1 · Reply

Bobb · 4 hours ago

> Exactly

> *Surgical masks were designed to keep medical personnel from inadvertently infecting patients' wounds, not to prevent the spread of viruses.*

> Long article is a waste

> Masks contain spittle and sneezes, which limits local spray and spread of the vehicle that may contain virus

> Very simple. They do do something

1 · Reply

> captain nook · 3 hours ago

> Masks take away whatever make us humans

> Socialization

> Smile

> Yelling

> Breathing

1 · Reply

> White Demonic Tactic · 3 hours ago

> Wrong. Very large studies on the effectiveness of surgical masks shows they do not reduce the spread of infections within ORs. Yet, doctors in most countries still wear them (not all countries). Why? Tribal behavior and the false comfort it gives to us as we are being wheeled into the ER and OR.

1 · Reply

> Indy9 · 3 hours ago

> I believe that they stop spittle and bacteria if your surgeon sneezes, but that was the original intent, surgical masks are not tight fitting, and never claimed the remarkable powers of the N95 miracle

1 · Reply

Rolando · 4 hours ago

> Yeah but that won't stop a virus that's airborne. They just make people feel better that are afraid of getting sick. I had this one old guy freak out I wasn't wearing a mask and made it an issue he didn't want to be close to me. It was funny actually how he made a scene about it. If I had a mask on and whether I had the flu or not he would be happy but since I didn't he was scared.

1 · Reply

Jackwind · 1 hour ago

> April 3, 2020 must be the date TPTB decided to turn covid into the perpetual crisis climate change was supposed to be.

1 · Reply

Silver Sailor · 2 hours ago (Edited)

> Just think. When people put a mask on, the Rona attaches to the inside of the mask then they put it over their face and there go ya. A case of the covards.

1 · Reply

pirateterrel · 2 hours ago

> IF

> sarscov2 causes covid-19

> ...

> THEN

> Notional solutions Cure notional problems. 100%

1 · Reply

BillyR · 2 hours ago

> Bottom line, worldwide, mask mandates are correlated with an average 30x increase in CV-19 deaths.

> You just can't show anywhere where a mask mandate led to an reduction of cases or deaths.

> Correlation is not proof of causation, but it is completely opposite of the claim.

1 · Reply

Kwaidan Guy · 2 hours ago

> City Journal, btw, is excellent.

> Claremont Review of Books too.

> Likely the best conservative thought in the country.

1 · Reply

left blank · 3 hours ago

> trump says

> https://rumble.com/vl2duv-trump-just-classified-information.html

1 · Reply

> yesitsalinelli · 3 hours ago

> RCT are difficult to organize when the test subjects are not individuals but populations.

> Masking works when for instance everybody in a store wears masks, so if you were to design a study you need to compare populations in an environment where one population only interacts with others others fully masked, whereas the other population is fully unmasked. To make this statistically significant you will need several test populations. Pretty tall order. Not something that is trivially easy to set up.

> Another drawback is that you cannot do it in a double blind fashion. For obvious reasons the latter cannot be done, because masks are not invisible.

1 · Reply

SaveRN · 3 hours ago

> What about the fact that virus particles are much smaller than those which the mask can contain, thus the virus passes through the mask unimpeded? How many people have you seen properly wearing a mask, even an N95 mask?

1 · Reply

> yesitsalinelli · 3 hours ago

> Viruses are not alone as isolated single particles, but embedded in aerosols. Any droplets that while invisible to the naked eye are magnitudes larger than a single virus. It's this aerosol that masks are good at trapping.

1 · Reply

BillyR · 3 hours ago

> If masking works, as you say, why did cases and deaths rise exponentially after mask mandates?

> Provide evidence.

1 · Reply

> LR cardiatric · 1 hour ago

> Because CNN told him they worked.

1 · Reply

> yesitsalinelli · 2 hour ago (Edited)

> Excuse me, but I don't see you provide evidence for your "exponential case rise after mask mandates" claim.

1 · Reply

left blank · 3 hours ago (Edited)

> pelosi selling masks to taxpayers is making big money off taxpayers

> Gov. Gavin Newsom inks another big mask contract with BYD

> https://calmatters.org/ - #ehamilters - 2020107 - cal#fu ...

> Jul 23, 2020 — Newsom unveiled a $316 million contract for 400 million masks with BYD, the Chinese manufacturer with whom the governor struck a controversial ...

> Gov. Newsom spends $1 billion in face mask deal with China ...

> https://www.kqed.org/ - gov-newsom-spends-1-billion-c...

> Feb 17, 2021 — More videos on YouTube ... SAN DIEGO (KJZ5) - Gov. Newsom has spent $1 billion in a controversial deal for face masks from China. The company, BYD, ...

1 · Reply

NotaPerk · 3 hours ago

> Dr. Flipping Fauci and his flu, masks and vaccines.

1 · Reply

LeonB · 3 hours ago

> This article raises some serious questions. First, the RCTs show that surgical masks worn by the general public likely do not stop the spread and may increase it. Second, the only study of cloth masks showed that the wearers of cloth masks are 13 times more likely to become sick than those who wear surgical masks. The question becomes not only why the government required these cloth masks during the entire pandemic, but why they are now requiring them ONLY for the UNVACCINATED. A cynical person would think that they are increasing the chances that unvaccinated persons become ill by 13x to support their narrative that this is a pandemic of the unvaccinated, especially when all of the data coming in from Israel and around the world shows that the vaccines are not protecting against transmission of the variants, the vaccinated have similar viral loads as the unvaccinated, and the vaccinated can spread the virus as easily as the unvaccinated (although the vaccinated may shed virus for a shorter necessarily viral load). It seems to me that asymptomatic vaccinated people are more likely to be spreading the virus than the asymptomatic unvaccinated people. Months ago Alex Berenson raised this possibility when 2 Texas persons were found to be asymptomatic, but with high viral loads (i.e. very contagious).

cpt. carpalsnic 1 hour ago

Let me let you in on a little secret you haven't figured out yet.

This isn't about a virus.

This isn't about a pandemic.

This isn't about if masks work or not.

It's not even about stealing an election.

What it is all about is every person on the face of the earth 12 years and older needs to take the mRNA shot.

The shot that doesn't prevent you from getting "the virus", doesn't prevent you from getting "really sick", Doesn't prevent you from being hospitalized, and doesn't prevent you from death.

The shot is what it's all about. And it's painfully obvious that they only have a very short amount of time to make sure, coerce,bribe or force you to take the shot.

1 Reply

SonOfSammm 4 hours ago

If these masks are so "effective", why do medical personnel stubbornly insist on getting N95 masks in the first place?

Reply

Joat Hammerfist 5 hours ago (Edited)

They hand out cloth masks to people visiting hospitals. At least that was my experience when I had to take someone to the E.R. and I was there for 5 hours about 3 days ago.

I only saw one person wearing an N95 mask. It was noteworthy as he was pushing my family member's bed to the 5th floor and one of the nurses saw him and said "Do I need to wear N95?" to which he answered "No, I just always wear this."

Reply

Shemp 4 Victory 6 hours ago

Should mask mandates return to my neck of the woods, I plan to use a mask of my own construction made from nylon window screen.

I anticipate at least a few hysterical yet entertaining reactions from others.

Reply

GoodyGumdrops 5 hours ago (Edited)

What about just refusing to wear one?

Reply

Shemp 4 Victory 4 hours ago

Because I want to have some fun mocking the futility of the mask mandates. I also hold out the miniscule hope that it might prompt one in a thousand people to start questioning what they're being told.

Consider it virtueless signaling.

Reply

GoodyGumdrops 4 hours ago

A person refusing to wear one makes a much bolder statement.

Reply

Shemp 4 Victory 3 hours ago

A person wearing a window screen mask makes a much more puzzling statement (or a more thought provoking statement to those few who indulge in thought).

Reply

GoodyGumdrops 3 hours ago

In my opinion, you just look insane. An unmasked person reminds people what normal looks like.

Shemp 4 Victory 34 minutes ago

Nothing personal - you seem to lack a well developed sense of humor and thus can't appreciate ridicule. And that's OK.

I personally prefer to poke fun at and ridicule the absurdity of our dying empire. But hey, to each his own.

3.14159 5 hours ago

That made me laugh. Typical of the Howard boys!

Reply

NIRP Diggler 3 hours ago (Edited)

I did that the first time around. I fabricated homemade masks made of coarse cheese cloth. Never had a Karen confront me, but I did get a few comments about how they were going to do that too.

Reply

slice 6 hours ago

The best political weapon is the weapon of terror. Cruelty commands respect. Men may hate us. But, we don't ask for their love; only for their fear.

-Heinrich Himmler

Reply

simonyoosen 6 hours ago

Great misinformation!

Wondering why those mask wearing country like Taiwan can avoid such a great human tragedy like what we see in western world? Or we like to keep telling personal "FREEDOM". Then, you should not abide to any law as you are a free man or woman.

8 Reply

Shemp 4 Victory 6 hours ago

> *Wondering why those mask wearing country like Taiwan can avoid such a great human tragedy like what we see in western world?*

That would be due to other variables. If you didn't understand the article, perhaps ask someone to explain it to you.

4 Reply

NIRP Diggler 2 hours ago (Edited)

> *Wondering why those mask wearing country like Taiwan can avoid such a great human tragedy like what we see in western world?*

The only human tragedy I see is that inflicted upon us by government. Without government and their terrorist media minions life in Western societies would be as it was prior to 2020.

1 Reply

NotGonnaTakeItAnymore 7 hours ago

I guess putting this on YouTube or Facebook is a no-no.....

Reply

VideoEng_NC 7 hours ago

Mask wearing is complete manure, might as well fill it accordingly & breathe in the bovine delight.

Reply

hooligan2009 7 hours ago

if you can smell swalwells farts, you can "smell" the computer created simulated virus

1 Reply

Moribundus 8 hours ago

Effectiveness of Masks and Respirators Against Respiratory Infections in Healthcare Workers: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis | Clinical Infectious Diseases | Oxford Academic

https://academic.oup.com/cid/article/65/11/1934/4068747

Reply

FurgetSHiEkalZ 8 hours ago

Foolow the scilence.

Reply

Spyderman64 8 hours ago

https://youtu.be/aGSKrC7dGcY

Reply

Hippie 8 hours ago

Eight steps to mind control

Reply

Johnny Walker 8 hours ago (Edited)

https://www.wusa9.com/article/news/verify/verify-why-a-face-mask-box-says-it-provides-no-protection-to-the-wearer/507-d5070a18-0146-4ef9-9d22-afe9fa7759a0

Reply

Hareld 9 hours ago

Ask the Whales, dolphins, dugongs, polar bears, penguins, fish, coral (not he octopus) in 450 years time

Reply

COPYRIGHT ©2009-2021 ZEROHEDGE.COM/ABC MEDIA, LTD