Visualizing All Attempted & Successful Moon Landings

BY TYLER DURDEN

SATURDAY, OCT 14, 2023 - 04:45 PM

Since before Ancient Greece and the first Chinese Dynasties, people have sought to understand and learn more about the moon.

Curiosity and centuries of study culminated in the first moon landing in the 1960s. But there have been many other attempted moon landings, both before and after.

This chart by Visual Capitalists' Preyash Shah illustrates all the moon landings using NASA data since 1966 when Soviet lander Luna 9 touched down.



Significant Events

Soviet Union
Luna 9
First spacecraft to land successfully on the Moon. Touchdown on 3 February 1966 at 18:45:30 UTC.

United States
Surveyor 1
Landed in Oceanus Procellarum on 2 June 1966. First lunar soft lander by NASA.

United States
Surveyor 7
Final Surveyor mission. Landed 29 kilometres (18 mi) from Tycho crater on 10 January 1968.

United States
Apollo 11
First crewed landing on the Moon. The Lunar Module Eagle landed at 20:17 UTC on 20 July 1969.

Soviet Union
Luna 16
First robotic sampling mission.

United States
Apollo 17
Sixth and last crewed lunar landing and last use of the Lunar Roving Vehicle; the orbiting command module included five mice.

Soviet Union
Luna 24
Entered orbit on 11 August 1976 and landed in Mare Crisium on 18 August 1976. Final mission to the Moon from the Soviet Union.

China
Chang'e 4
First spacecraft to soft land on the far side of the Moon. Landed 3 January 2019 and deployed the Yutu-2 rover.

India
Chandrayaan-3
Launched on 14 July 2023, landed on 23 August 2023 at 12:32 UTC. First spacecraft to soft land near the lunar South Pole.

Landing Attempts

During 1976-2013, no moon landing attempts occurred due to budget constraints, shifting priorities, and advances in robotic missions. China's 2013 Chang'e 3 marked a renewed focus on lunar exploration.

Creator: Preyash Shah | insightscoop.substack.com

Source: NASA.gov (Data as of August 2023)

Race to the Moon

The 1960s and 1970s marked an era of intense competition between the U.S. and the Soviet Union as they raced to conquer the moon.

During the Cold War, space became a priority as each side sought to prove the superiority of its technology, its military firepower, and its political-economic system.

In 1961, President John F. Kennedy set a national goal to have a crewed lunar landing and return to Earth.

After several failed attempts from both sides, on July 20, 1969, the Apollo 11 mission was successful and astronauts Neil Armstrong and Buzz Aldrin became the first humans to set foot on the moon.

Mission	Launch Date	Operator	Country	Mission Type	Outcome
Ranger 3	26-Jan-62	NASA	🇺🇸 U.S.	Lander	Spacecraft failure
Ranger 4	23-Apr-62	NASA	🇺🇸 U.S.	Lander	Spacecraft failure
Ranger 5	18-Oct-62	NASA	🇺🇸 U.S.	Lander	Spacecraft failure

Luna E-6 No.2	4-Jan-63	OKB-1	☭ USSR	Lander	Launch failure
Luna E-6 No.3	3-Feb-63	OKB-1	☭ USSR	Lander	Launch failure
Luna 4	2-Apr-63	OKB-1	☭ USSR	Lander	Spacecraft failure
Luna E-6 No.6	21-Mar-64	OKB-1	☭ USSR	Lander	Launch failure
Luna E-6 No.5	20-Apr-64	OKB-1	☭ USSR	Lander	Launch failure
Kosmos 60	12-Mar-65	Lavochkin	☭ USSR	Lander	Launch failure
Luna E-6 No.8	10-Apr-65	Lavochkin	☭ USSR	Lander	Spacecraft failure
Luna 5	9-May-65	Lavochkin	☭ USSR	Lander	Spacecraft failure
Luna 6	8-Jun-65	Lavochkin	☭ USSR	Lander	Spacecraft failure
Luna 7	4-Oct-65	Lavochkin	☭ USSR	Lander	Spacecraft failure
Luna 8	3-Dec-65	Lavochkin	☭ USSR	Lander	Spacecraft failure
Luna 9	31-Jan-66	Lavochkin	☭ USSR	Lander	Successful
Surveyor 1	30-May-66	NASA	🇺🇸 U.S.	Lander	Successful
Surveyor 2	20-Sep-66	NASA	🇺🇸 U.S.	Lander	Spacecraft failure
Luna 13	21-Dec-66	Lavochkin	☭ USSR	Lander	Successful
Surveyor 3	17-Apr-67	NASA	🇺🇸 U.S.	Lander	Successful
Surveyor 4	14-Jul-67	NASA	🇺🇸 U.S.	Lander	Spacecraft failure
Surveyor 5	8-Sep-67	NASA	🇺🇸 U.S.	Lander	Successful
Surveyor 6	7-Nov-67	NASA	🇺🇸 U.S.	Lander	Successful
Surveyor 7	7-Jan-68	NASA	🇺🇸 U.S.	Lander	Successful
Luna E-8 No.201	19-Feb-69	Lavochkin	☭ USSR	Lander	Launch failure
Luna E-8-5 No.402	14-Jun-69	Lavochkin	☭ USSR	Lander	Launch failure
Luna 15	13-Jul-69	Lavochkin	☭ USSR	Lander	Spacecraft failure
Apollo 11	16-Jul-69	NASA	🇺🇸 U.S.	Lander/ Launch Vehicle	Successful
Kosmos 300	23-Sep-69	Lavochkin	☭ USSR	Lander	Launch failure
Kosmos 305	22-Oct-69	Lavochkin	☭ USSR	Lander	Launch failure
Apollo 12	14-Nov-69	NASA	🇺🇸 U.S.	Lander/ Launch Vehicle	Successful
Luna E-8-5 No.405	6-Feb-70	Lavochkin	☭ USSR	Lander	Launch failure
Apollo 13	11-Apr-70	NASA	🇺🇸 U.S.	Lander/ Launch Vehicle	Partial failure
Luna 16	12-Sep-70	Lavochkin	☭ USSR	Lander	Successful
Luna 17	10-Nov-70	Lavochkin	☭ USSR	Lander	Successful
Apollo 14	31-Jan-71	NASA	🇺🇸 U.S.	Lander/ Launch Vehicle	Successful
Apollo 15	26-Jul-71	NASA	🇺🇸 U.S.	Lander/ Launch Vehicle	Successful
Luna 18	2-Sep-71	Lavochkin	☭ USSR	Lander	Spacecraft failure
Luna 20	14-Feb-72	Lavochkin	☭ USSR	Lander	Successful
Apollo 16	16-Apr-72	NASA	🇺🇸 U.S.	Lander/ Launch Vehicle	Successful
Apollo 17	7-Dec-72	NASA	🇺🇸 U.S.	Lander/ Launch Vehicle	Successful
Luna 21	8-Jan-73	Lavochkin	☭ USSR	Lander	Successful
Luna 23	16-Oct-75	Lavochkin	☭ USSR	Lander	Partial failure
Luna E-8-5M No.412	16-Oct-75	Lavochkin	☭ USSR	Lander	Launch failure
Luna 24	9-Aug-76	Lavochkin	☭ USSR	Lander	Successful
Chang'e 3	1-Dec-13	CNSA	🇨🇳 China	Lander	Operational
Chang'e 4	7-Dec-18	CNSA	🇨🇳 China	Lander	Operational
Beresheet	22-Feb-19	SpaceIL	🇮🇱 Israel	Lander	Spacecraft failure
Chandrayaan-2	22-Jul-19	ISRO	🇮🇳 India	Lander	Spacecraft Failure
Chang'e 5	23-Nov-20	CNSA	🇨🇳 China	Lander	Successful
Hakuto-R Mission 1	11-Dec-22	ispace	🇯🇵 Japan	Lander	Spacecraft failure
Chandrayaan-3	14-Jul-23	ISRO	🇮🇳 India	Lander	Successful
Luna 25	10-Aug-23	Roscosmos	🇷🇺 Russia	Lander	Spacecraft failure

After the Apollo missions, the fervor of lunar exploration waned. From 1976 to 2013, no moon landing attempts occurred due to budget constraints, shifting priorities, and advances in robotic missions.

However, a new chapter in space exploration has unfolded in recent years, with emerging players entering the cosmic arena. With its Chang'e missions, China has made significant strides, landing rovers on the moon and exploring the far side of the moon.

India, too, has asserted its presence with the Chandrayaan missions. In 2023, the country became the 4th nation to reach the moon as an unmanned spacecraft landed near the lunar south pole, advancing the country's space ambitions to learn more about the lunar ice, potentially one of the moon's most valuable resources.

Exploring Lunar Water

Since the 1960s, even before the historic Apollo landing, scientists had theorized the potential existence of water on the moon.

In 2008, Brown University researchers employed advanced technology to reexamine lunar samples, discovering hydrogen within beads of volcanic glass. And in 2009, a NASA instrument aboard the India's Chandrayaan-1 probe confirmed the presence of water on the moon's surface.

Water is deemed crucial for future space exploration. Beyond serving as a potential source of drinking water for future moon explorations, ice deposits could play a pivotal role in cooling equipment. Lunar ice could also be broken down to produce hydrogen for fuel and oxygen for breathing, essential for supporting extended space missions.

With a reinvigorated interest in exploring the moon, manned moon landings are on the horizon once again. In April 2023, NASA conducted tests for the launch of Artemis I, the first American spacecraft to aim for the moon since 1972. The agency aims to send astronauts to the moon around 2025 and build a base camp on the lunar surface.

58,185 477

MORE GEOPOLITICAL STORIES ON ZEROHEDGE


Two Chicagoans Confirmed Released By Hamas, US Presses Israel To Delay Ground Invasion

Biden Delivers Prime-Time Oval Office Address On Israel, Ukraine


Israel Amassing Fleets Of Tanks On Northern Border Of Gaza - Ground Invasion Appears Imminent

NEVER MISS THE NEWS THAT MATTERS MOST

ZEROHEDGE DIRECTLY TO YOUR INBOX

Receive a daily recap featuring a curated list of must-read stories.

Email Subscribe

COMMENTS MY PROFILE

B I Source

5000 characters remaining

POST

COMMENTING AS HAMFISTEDIDIOT.

PREMIUM COMMENTS 0 ALL COMMENTS 477 VIEWING OPTIONS

Nostradalus 5 days ago

Moon landings?

That's a good one.

A Funny Thing Happened On The Way To The Moon (Condensed Version) - Bart Sibrel (2001)

What Happened on the Moon? An Investigation Into Apollo (2000)

Moon Lander (LEM) Fabrication Analysis

Was It Only A Paper Moon? - James M. Collier (1997)

American Moon (2017)

Did We Land on the Moon? - (2001)

Dark Side Of The Moon - William Karel (2002)

▲ 108 ▼ 15 Reply

SwissStacker 5 days ago

I don't think the moon is even a solid object....which is why to this date we still don't even have a camera streaming photos/videos of Earth. I think this guy was right: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1oCNGcbwxWg

▲ 36 ▼ 12 Reply

RobbersDog 5 days ago

The most likely explanation for the Moon, is observational error.

▲ 2 ▼ 2 Reply

philipat 5 days ago *(Edited)*

But can we "do it again?". We were told that we HAD the technology to do it but that it somehow got lost. Happens all the time.

Specifically, getting men and technology through the Van Allen radiation Belt poses an enormous challenge. Let's see....

▲ 45 ▼ Reply

psychopath 5 days ago

Space is fake and gay

▲ 22 ▼ 8 Reply

billyjoeshaver 5 days ago

like an asteroid circling your anus.

▲ 2 ▼ 3

philipat 5 days ago

Isn't that more like a Black Hole?

▲ 7 ▼

mobius8curve 5 days ago *(Edited)*

13 Reasons Why the Moon Landing was FAKE:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=QhxTwcslfCY

▲ 11 ▼ 2

keeper20 5 days ago *(Edited)*

chandrayam 2 photographed the apollo 11 landing site from lunar orbit.

the proof exists.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Third-party_evidence_for_Apollo_Moon_landings

neil armstrong landed on the moon first.
you seem dumb when you express skepticism. wake up, read up on charayaam2's successful moon reconnaissance and recodring of the surface of the moon including the apollo 11 landing site which IS STILL THERE MUFUGGA.

you are dumb if you keep expressing skepticism, since the proof of real/no real is BACK since the landing site was photographed by the Indian Space program. and it was THERE. apollo 11 was real, so shut yo mouf.

▲ 3 ▼ 7

Systems Planet 5 days ago *(Edited)*

At a higher elevation pilots receive a larger dose from cosmic radiation because there is less atmospheric shielding.

1 Sievert is linked to about a 5% excess risk of fatal cancer.

At 30,000 feet, airline pilots receive about 10 microSievert per hour.

How do ISS astronauts survive for 6 months at 200,000 feet, where radiation is **365 times higher?**

Space suits are **not** safe from cosmic radiation.

Space is fake.

▲ 16 ▼ 5 Reply

philipat 5 days ago *(Edited)*

On the VERY long 16Hrs+) non-stop flights over the North Poll to Los Angeles and New York from Singapore typically at 38-40K Ft after some fuel is burned off, pilots were restricted as to how many of these flights they could make for this very reason.

▲ 8 ▼

Model-M 5 days ago

Our Sun shines with a luminosity of 1L. By the time its light reaches Earth it is already perceived as a much smaller, brighter and hotter disc than it truly is. By the time its light reaches Pluto, 6 billion km from the Sun, it already looks like any other star in Earth's night sky.

Our nearest star, Alpha Centuri (A) shines with a luminosity of 1.5L. and is 41.5 trillion km away from us.

Question: How can the light of a star, which is one and a half times brighter than our Sun, travel nearly 7000 times further (41.5 trillion divided by 6 billion) and still be perceived to be as bright and large in the Earth's night sky as our Sun would appear when viewed from Pluto?

▲ 5 ▼ 4

Wildchemist 5 days ago

@Model-M, your calculations are off. From Pluto the sun is brighter than even the full moon is for us. https://www.quora.com/What-is-the-magnitude-of-the-Sun-from-Pluto-orbit?share=1

▲ 2 ▼

mobius8curve 5 days ago

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=QhxTwcslfCY

▲ ▼ Reply

Solecismcles 5 days ago *(Edited)*

Did the 'technology' die with Kubrick? Or, when they wouldn't meet his demands to do 'another'? Consider it from Stan's point of view, no matter how great 'Gone with the Wind' how many times do you want to direct it?

▲ 5 ▼ Reply

apherusdisvet 5 days ago

The van allen belt is the key "tell"; totally impossible by humans under current technology.

▲ 5 ▼ Reply

Sardonicus 5 days ago *(Edited)*

You can tell that picture of the moon is fake.

Utah and New Mexico are both square shaped.

▲ 7 ▼ Reply

Silvur Surfer 5 days ago

Yes the picture is fake because you can see stars, on the original moon landing ''official'' photos there are not 1 star to be seen.

▲ 4 ▼ Reply

RobbersDog 2 days ago

''I don't remember seeing any stars''...Astronought Michael Collins.

▲ ▼

billyjoeshaver 5 days ago

no way, moon is a reflection of earth. we live in a crater. the spaceships only make it up high enough to see out of the crater. ask elon musk. the shuttles make it out to the larger earth colonisers and we have been taken over by a locust type entity from a different crater which piggybacks onto our minds like the parasite it is. -- this is way better than statistia top ten david letterman type boring articles and shouldn't be banned on youtube.

▲ 9 ▼ 1 Reply

commoncourtesy 5 days ago

There are recent video's that appear to show a type of water firmament above which stops craft going higher. Evidence shows use of two or more separate thrusters, one quickly after the other to try to pierce the surface, which appears to cause a ripple effect.

▲ 7 ▼ 2 Reply

Model-M 5 days ago

Check out the "concave" earth theory ... it's quite challenging but appears to explain phenomena better than both flat and spherical eartht theories ...

https://rumble.com/vasthb-concave-earth-documentary-lord-steven-christ.html

... and would also answer my question (above) as to why the stars are all (more or less) the same size/brightness even though they are "billions" of miles ("light years") away ...

▲ 1 ▼ 2 Reply

PeachPit 5 days ago

Dyson Sphere? My favorite concept.

▲ 3 ▼

chiswickcat PREMIUM 5 days ago

The moon surface is made of stage set, paper-mache 'rocks' and cement dust.

▲ 12 ▼ Reply

philipat 5 days ago

No that was the California "Sets" used to simulate the moon surface.

▲ 4 ▼ Reply

Blue Roof Society 5 days ago

Very cool that video is still around. Plasma!

▲ ▼1 Reply

MindlessSheep 5 days ago

On the 30th anniversary of Apollo 11 'landing on the Moon', Stanley Kubrick released his final film (although he died 6 weeks earlier mysteriously) EYES WIDE SHUT.

One wonders if there was indeed some sort of message Mr Kubrick was trying to send.

▲23 ▼2 Reply

Orly 5 days ago

The only Cruiser movie that was really bad? Boring!

▲1 ▼14 Reply

philipat 5 days ago

You're missing the entire point. Watch what is happening in our world and try to apply some critical thinking if you can.

▲5 ▼ Reply

buffed 5 days ago *(Edited)*

delete

▲17 ▼ Reply

Bobbie Fischer 5 days ago

'Hitler was right about almost everything"

- Stanley Kubrick

https://nypost.com/1999/06/16/stanley-kubrick-self-hating-jew-the-eccentric-director-of-eyes-wide-shut-as-seen-through-the-eyes-wide-open-of-screenwriter-frederic-raphael/

▲8 ▼ Reply

NachoLiebor 5 days ago

Pillsbury Space Food Sticks, the vintage snacks for astronauts that kids loved - Click Americana

▲6 ▼ Reply

NachoLiebor 5 days ago

I just want to say two words to you: extruded foods. That's the future.

▲3 ▼ Reply

Orly 5 days ago

Extruded from where?

▲ ▼6 Reply

BecameParanoid 5 days ago

From an anus, obviously. But not yours. Any anus but yours. Cause that would be not healthy.

▲2 ▼1 Reply

philipat 5 days ago

I thought the future was eating bugs? Did WEF get it all wrong?

▲1 ▼

brunski60 4 days ago

OCD posts 100's of times every day. They can't all be winners.

▲1 ▼

chiswickcat PREMIUM 5 days ago *(Edited)*

"The future, one word, plastics."

▲2 ▼ Reply

philipat 5 days ago

Mr Robinson?

▲1 ▼1 Reply

brunski60 4 days ago *(Edited)*

Mr Mcguire to Benjamin Braddock

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PSxihhBzCjk

▲ ▼

SwmngwShrks 5 days ago

But what about the other countries? Do we have info on China or Russia's landings?

▲4 ▼ Reply

land_of_the_few 5 days ago *(Edited)*

What we do know is the US has put nothing up there in any of the years since Chuck Berry's My Ding-A-Ling.

▲3 ▼ Reply

Combobulation404 5 days ago *(Edited)*

Except for trying to clickbait a comment frenzy, what's the point here? No way in hell did we send living men to the Moon and bring them back.

▲28 ▼1 Reply

MindlessSheep 5 days ago

No, but its fun to think that we did. And never mind that whole "Closing the gold window" that happened at the same time. That was just a temporary measure.

▲4 ▼ Reply

ImpliedConsentDenied 5 days ago

I used to admire the heroic Apollo 13 story etc. Today, after decades of knowing that all the landings were fake, I'm just sad - not at the lies so much - but just realising that we still actually know nothing much about the moon. I wish we did. Just a tiny dash of truth would be so nice for a change.

▲10 ▼1 Reply

keeper20 5 days ago *(Edited)*

sorry guys but y'all are a buncha maroons.

they went. they did it. it was for real.

you are dumb if you keep acting like you have proof it didnt happen.

No, you dont have any real proof at all that it was faked, just a alotta whatifs and questions,
but proof does exist - that it did happen ! the chandrayaam2 shot photos of the site where the laser reflector and remains of the lander still are, and the laser reflector is still used, as it has been continuously for over fifty years, to calibrate laser range finders on earth.

you fukken maroons, you are wrong again. we went. suck eggs., beotches..

▲1 ▼8 Reply

relaxtrading 4 days ago

Your're good, you got it! I can see a long future for you, I hope you took your booster!

▲3 ▼

keeper20 4 days ago

hey relaxtrading, want to bet on whether apollo 11 happened?

hundred bux says it did.

bet me.

▲1 ▼5

Albfuct 5 days ago

Safe and effective moon landings.

▲23 ▼ Reply

OldFuddyDuddy 5 days ago

We moon landed some folks.

▲10 ▼ Reply

micromanager 5 days ago *(Edited)*

I figured the Tylers were trolling the fake moon landing crowd ***and*** the flat-earthers with this article

Just when I start to believe ZH commenters are the smartest guys in the room, I see these comments

▲3 ▼1 Reply

micromanager 5 days ago *(Edited)*

> *If you're lucky you get to ride in a gold meteorite*
> *If you're not, you get a mouthful of red Kryptonite*

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ej6T4VR11PM

▲ ▼2 Reply

JohnWonderLuck 5 days ago

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Third-party_evidence_for_Apollo_Moon_landings

▲2 ▼2 Reply

Kalliste 4 days ago

They can't go for real unless they admit the fake and likely a bunch of other stuff besides. It's not going to happen.

▲1 ▼ Reply

Pizza the Hutt 5 days ago *(Edited)*

This article would have you believe that India, a country that has yet to maste indoor plumbing has landed a space craft on the moon

Don't piss down my back and tell me it's raining....

▲47 ▼6 Reply

SafeAndEffective 5 days ago

As Bill Clinton once said, that ain't rain or piss darling.

▲13 ▼ Reply

psychopath 5 days ago *(Edited)*

India has in fact put a lander on the moon.

After receiving a message from ground control saying "please do the needful" it dropped a turd with an Indian flag.

The lander responded with "thank you please" and a head bobble then got rapey with the nearest crater.

▲9 ▼1 Reply

waterwitch 5 days ago

Clearly you've taken a tour of the Kennedy Space Center.

▲6 ▼ Reply

Pizza the Hutt 5 days ago

I have not

▲7 ▼1 Reply

Orly 5 days ago

Webster, Texas. I used to work just down the road from NASA.

▲4 ▼7 Reply

plankton 5 days ago

any comments?

▲5 ▼ Reply

Orly 5 days ago *(Edited)*

I passed the front gate on my way to the imaging clinic. Like the rest of Greater Houston, Webster/Clear Lake is a giant strip center.

▲4 ▼

billyjoeshaver 5 days ago

johnson space control

▲1 ▼ Reply

brunski60 4 days ago

Ground control to Major Tom.

▲ ▼

brunski60 4 days ago

It's raining

▲ ▼ Reply

billyjoeshaver 5 days ago

would you believe it was the sprinkler?

▲1 ▼ Reply

Robert L Peters 5 days ago

I'm expecting Ukraine to make a moon landing attempt any day now with all the money pouring in…maybe the ghost of Kyiv can pilot it.

▲44 ▼ Reply

Bolikiliki 5 days ago

Stanley Kubrick is ded, they may need Zack Snider.

▲9 ▼ Reply

peippe 5 days ago

nonsense. Michael Bay to the Rescue! blockbuster potential!

▲8 ▼ Reply

Orly 5 days ago

Guy Ritchie.

▲2 ▼1 Reply

plankton 5 days ago

Goebels

▲1 ▼ Reply

Fitzsimmons Shift 5 days ago

Brick Top.

▲1 ▼ Reply

BecameParanoid 5 days ago

Or Mr.Shelensky, the great TV star! But better do It with a non-return ticket.

▲ ▼ Reply

To the Moon! 5 days ago

LOL... "Moon landings"... Milk that cow...

▲37 ▼3 Reply

B Free 5 days ago

The red hot chili peppers were right… Space may be the final frontier, but it's made in a Hollywood basement.

▲26 ▼1 Reply

MegaMan777 4 days ago

Anthony Keidis should know...he was an Epstein Island frequent flyer...

▲ ▼ Reply

Badsamm 5 days ago

How can we see into deep space but we can't see the shit we left on the moon?

▲34 ▼2 Reply

JoKe Biden 5 days ago

Because the moon is a hologram and an historical archive of the earth's reflection. Landing on a shadow is not possible.

▲7 ▼2 Reply

BeaverFever 5 days ago

We need Stanley Kubrick back for more successful fakery to be done.

▲30 ▼1 Reply

plankton 5 days ago

AI can do CGI, no need for Analog Stan. He made the Moon landings using Spacey Oddessy filming as the cover. Funny, that all of that took place in England, UK. City of London owns the USA since 1911.

▲12 ▼1 Reply

RussianWeiner 5 days ago

Something Fishy....Almost 60years later and we don't have the Tech to get back to the Moon

▲29 ▼2 Reply

behind the curtain 5 days ago

it's the only area of tehnology or science where we have gone backwards

▲30 ▼ Reply

StuffyourVAXX 5 days ago

Convenient, that.

▲5 ▼ Reply

BrownCoat 5 days ago

Going backwards...

Like the inability to read cursive?
The Roman Empire had plumbing, the Middle Ages did not.
The Roman Empire made concrete, the Middle Ages did not.

It is not uncommon for technology to be lost when civilizations decline.
For example, the engineering in manufactured items from China today is worse than the engineering of 40 years ago.

Sorry you participation trophy graduates will have less than your grandparents.

▲4 ▼1 Reply

Orly 5 days ago

Of course not! But Elon Musk can drop his lunar orbiter 500 meters from the recovery vessel on the beach at San Diego.

Where do y'all get these dumb ideas and why do you keep spreading that trash around?

▲2 ▼15 Reply

RussianWeiner 5 days ago

So we don't have the Tech to go the moon....or maybe we would have gone....right?

▲6 ▼1 Reply

Orly 5 days ago

For what? There's nothing there. We can bring more water in the spacecraft than we could find on the entire satellite. Not only that but 'moondust' isn't some fancy-schmancy romantic song. It is shards of microscopic glass. Who wants to breathe that stuff, clean it out of the hoses and filters? Not me...

https://ascelibrary.org/cms/10.1061/(ASCE)0893-1321(2008)21:4(272)/asset/5ee4f956-e4c4-4e60-bb29-6fbc699d886f/assets/images/large/1.jpg

▲1 ▼11 Reply

johnny two shoes 5 days ago

Nothing there? What about H3?

https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Helium-3

https://www.space.com/moon-mining-gains-momentum

And water? https://www.planetary.org/articles/water-on-the-moon-guide

▲4 ▼1 Reply

Orly 5 days ago

I have H3 in my backyard.

▲1 ▼2

billyjoeshaver 5 days ago

real estate and its derivatives are there, an entire industry.

▲ ▼ Reply

BrownCoat 5 days ago

Nothing there?

Can't even open a night club... no atmosphere. :-)

▲ ▼ Reply

Fishtowner in 🤡🌎 5 days ago

They found *things* on the moon (or perhaps *things found them*) that took continued missions off the table.

▲4 ▼2 Reply

buffed 5 days ago *(Edited)*

delete

▲ 3 ▼ Reply

kellys_eye 5 days ago

If they can get landers to Mars and other outer solar system moons/planets - even 'hit' passing asteroid and return from it - then they have the capability to get to the moon and do whatever they please.

It's all a matter of scale.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply

---

colddirt 5 days ago

Finally some comedy!

▲ 23 ▼ Reply

S.R. Hadden 5 days ago

The USA is the only country to successfully fake the moon landing 6 times and loose the ***"How To Fake A Moon Landing For Dummies"*** book.

▲ 20 ▼ 1 Reply

Metochos 5 days ago

NASA lost the tech to make a moon landing...so..

▲ 20 ▼ Reply

peippe 5 days ago

it all died with the last imported Nazi technician. No idea who he was.. ??

▲ 7 ▼ Reply

B Free 5 days ago

NASA: No Recorded Telemetry Data From Moon Missions, All Lost…

https://rumble.com/v1bf2ul-no-recorded-telemetry-data-from-moon-missions-all-lost.html

▲ 22 ▼ 2 Reply

Orly 5 days ago

False, false, false.

▲ 3 ▼ 19 Reply

Orly 5 days ago

- View Apollo XI records in the National Archives Catalog

https://www.archives.gov/space

Peruse away...

▲ 3 ▼ 11 Reply

B Free 5 days ago *(Edited)*

Ha! That's not telemetry data. The record from NASA is crystal clear…

https://history.nasa.gov/alsj/a11/Apollo_11_TV_Tapes_Report.pdf

> *Nasa: The engineers never saw the back-up telemetry tapes again.*

▲ 16 ▼ 1 Reply

Orly 5 days ago

Tapes. The special tapes had to be re-used and when NASA moved into the Shuttle era, there more more sophisticated recording devices.

The original magnetic tapes have been lost, not the data.

▲ ▼ 11

Pizza the Hutt 5 days ago

Everyone knows the moon is made of cheese

▲ 18 ▼ 3 Reply

RobbersDog 5 days ago

Poppycock!

It's full of chocolate pudding and dinosaurs!

▲ 7 ▼ 1 Reply

Pizza the Hutt 5 days ago

So that's what happened to the dinosaurs....

▲ 7 ▼ 2 Reply

Orly 5 days ago

You thought they made oil out of them?

▲ 8 ▼ 2 Reply

Bird 5 days ago

The humble bird is the heir of the mighty dinosaurs

And in the fullness of time we will rise to reclaim dominion over the earth

And the mammals will be reduced to pooping on our possessions as an act of defiance

▲ 9 ▼ Reply

micromanager 5 days ago *(Edited)*

Birds are fake and gay

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3VEkzweBJPM

▲ ▼ 1

billyjoeshaver 5 days ago

one more bird crap on the hood of my cadillac and it is war.

▲ 1 ▼

Rodders75 5 days ago

Dinosaurs are fake

▲ 4 ▼ 1 Reply

Orly 5 days ago

The Moon's A Balloon

- David Niven

▲ 6 ▼ 3 Reply

billyjoeshaver 5 days ago

david niven is CGI.

▲ ▼ Reply

eyewillcomply 5 days ago

You should know. Tell us, Pizza... is it mozzarella?

▲ 6 ▼ Reply

83_vf_1100_c 5 days ago

From that map it looks like the Indians have landed. The moon is now ruined for everybody. Land values are plummeting.

▲ 16 ▼ Reply

Ghost PREMIUM 5 days ago

https://lunarregistry.com/moon-land/

owned my property for about 15 years now.

▲ 3 ▼ 3 Reply

BrownCoat 5 days ago

You might have gotten scammed. Those asteroid valuations were pretty high.

I've heard the Sun has some hot properties!

▲ ▼ Reply

AngryWolverine 5 days ago

Did the Indians shit on the moon like they shit in their streets?

▲ 3 ▼ Reply

Kassandra 5 days ago

Why are we going to the moon? We'll just screw it all up!

▲ ▼ Reply

---

fearless102 5 days ago

I heard Bigfoot was recently spotted on the moon with a UFO floating overhead.

▲ 15 ▼ 1 Reply

johnny two shoes 5 days ago

The moon was towed into position by aliens to make the tides on earth and thus start the lifeforms growing in tidepools that crawled onto land. I declare the Science settled!

▲ 7 ▼ 1 Reply

billyjoeshaver 5 days ago

big thank you alien tow truckers.

▲ 2 ▼ Reply

Enraged 5 days ago

Before discussing Stanley Kubrick's film production of the U.S. landing on the moon, we need to address people promoting Earth is a spinning ball.

When pilots are trained by the FAA or NASA engineers calculate space flight, they assume a non-rotating, flat Earth surface.

The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) assumes a flat earth when training pilots and air traffic controllers using their Target Generation Facility (TGF).

The software used in the TGF must be accurate in order to properly train pilots and air traffic controllers on the behavior of aircraft in flight.

https://greatmountainpublishing.com/2022/05/11/official-government-documents-verify-that-the-earth-is-flat/

Trust their science, but don't verify the science.

▲ 14 ▼ 3 Reply

riddlemethis 5 days ago

1000+.

▲ 2 ▼ 1 Reply

ConanTheLibertarian 4 days ago

Why do we fly Great Circle Routes, constantly changing heading if the shortest distance between points on a planar surface is a straight line? https://www.greatcirclemap.com/

▲ ▼ Reply

ThorAss 5 days ago

What really happened between 1973 and today is that the US stopped pretending they had the technology to land a man on the moon.

▲ 14 ▼ 2 Reply

NorwegianKing 5 days ago

The US is broken. They don't even believe in their own accomplishments.

▲ 1 ▼ 1 Reply

Arielle 5 days ago

There, there.. I know it must be hard for you, as a NASA fanboy.. but the fuckers have been lying about everything.

You will get over it in time.

Go read up on Eric Dubay's webpage. He explains it in very easy to grasp chapters. Even you should be able to follow along.

▲ 5 ▼ 1 Reply

Bolikiliki 5 days ago

"Man on the moon.. aak, aak, aak"

- Coneheads

▲ 14 ▼ Reply

peippe 5 days ago

I prefer REM's 'Man onTM'

▲ 6 ▼ Reply

AllRule 5 days ago

Lol at the India moon landing. Lol. Lol.

▲ 13 ▼ Reply

behind the curtain 5 days ago *(Edited)*

could you imagine the smell inside that damn rocket? curry poisoning

▲ 7 ▼ Reply

WhoKnew2 5 days ago

Moon landing, sure, and Brandon got 81 million votes :)

▲ 12 ▼ Reply

semperfi_2 5 days ago

FAKE moon landings brought to you by the FREEMASONS of NASA and Stanley Kubrick

▲ 11 ▼ 1 Reply

benb 5 days ago

Wrong.

Should be- Censored/altered accounts of the Moon landings brought to you by the CIA.

▲ 6 ▼ Reply

buffed 5 days ago *(Edited)*

delete

▲ 11 ▼ 4 Reply

Orly 5 days ago

Sure, buddy.

▲ 3 ▼ 9 Reply

buffed 5 days ago *(Edited)*

delete

▲ 7 ▼ 2 Reply

Orly 5 days ago

The 'science is settled', amirite?

Far from being 'indisputable' 'smoking guns', every point is easily debunked and only someone desperate to see things that aren't there would believe your nonsense.

▲ 2 ▼ 5 Reply

buffed 5 days ago *(Edited)*

delete

▲ 5 ▼ 1 Reply

billyjoeshaver 5 days ago

they would land provisions; coffee, duct tape, and necessaries first then they would send the manned mission. interesting fact duct tape invented 1943 moon landing less than 25 years later -- coincidence?? i think not.

▲ ▼

Hero_Of_the_Day 5 days ago

You're so high from marijuana cigarettes you just think you're on the moon.

▲ 3 ▼ 2 Reply

Orly 5 days ago

Still looking for your hole, flaco?

▲ ▼ Reply

Orly 5 days ago

Speaking of 1972. Marijuana cigarettes? C'mon, man.

▲ ▼ Reply

Hero_Of_the_Day 5 days ago

Doobie joints

▲ ▼ 2

brunski60 4 days ago

Just another Elon Trump account from Langley

▲ ▼ Reply

johnny two shoes 5 days ago *(Edited)*

Cool footage of John Young driving the moon buggy on what must have been the biggest evenly lit soundstage ever.

https://m.youtube.com/watch?v=az9nFrnCK60&pp=ygUabW9vbiBidWdneSBkcml2aW5nIG9ulG1vb24%3D

https://www.nbcnews.com/news/photo/astronauts-tracks-trash-show-moon-photos-flna6C10402780

▲ 1 ▼ 1 Reply

Orly 5 days ago

The sun is a helluva light-source.

▲ 4 ▼ Reply

johnny two shoes 5 days ago

Anti-moonite!

▲ ▼ 1 Reply

peippe 5 days ago

well the sun is garrish. according to some layabout prose writer from strat upon avon.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply

billyjoeshaver 5 days ago

why didn't they ever take the high land and look out from there?

▲ ▼ Reply

TheSharpenedPen 5 days ago

Show us a moon landing that wasn’t staged, or some space travel outside of Nevada or an olympic sized swimming pool.

▲ 10 ▼ 1 Reply

drller 5 days ago

Evidently the author believes man landed on the moon.

▲ 10 ▼ Reply

dustinthewind 5 days ago *(Edited)*

A spokesman from NASA has made a video a few years back showing off a mock up of the proposed manned vehicle that will go to the moon and Mars. The only problem we have and holding us back is that we currently have no technology that would protect the astronauts from not only the Van Allen radiation belt but even beyond it if they successful got thru the guy explained. NASA has done one experiment with the shuttle having it fly much higher than usual to measure the effects of radiation as they lose the protection of the earth's atmosphere as they do not fly into true space. It turned out badly as the men saw streaks inside in their eyes as the radiation went right thru the craft and them. They never repeated that experiment.

When NASA was pressed why they do not use the same technology that was used to send a "manned" flight to the moon back in the 60s and 70s, their reply was all that documentation was "accidentally" thrown out when they needed more room in one of their warehouses. They want us to believe that technology that was supposedly developed solving the enormous problem of going thru the Van Allen radiation belts and continuing onward thru space was simply thrown away. That folks is what the government really thinks of the public, we are gullible. They are also really saying that with all the technology we supposedly have today no one is smart enough or has the intelligence to "recreate" that same technology as back decades ago. NASA like the Pentagon are money laundering operations for the MIC and the billions spent on working up to going to the moon and failing needed to have a Hollywood production showing the public, this is where all that money has really gone. The reality is, it flowed into the MIC then shareholders thru dividends as Congress, wall street and western oligarchs got richer which is why Pelosi and the rest will not ban insider trading. Even the pictures and vids of the supposed landings all in different locations on the moon does in fact show the same background locations. The people in Australia knew it was all fake as the feed from the moon on their TVs was not the same feed sent to the rest of the world and everyone saw the coke can when they landed. Product placement? Coke is out of this world! Of course there are other vids of lights falling from overhead, a waving flag with no wind, a van on one shot way in the background, one astronaut not being to get up with the weight on the suit and was jerked up by the wires attached. One researching did an analysis of the size of the hatch and the total diameter of the actual suits with men inside and concluded scientifically that the hatch was too small as was the space they claimed inside the lander. There is simply not enough room in the lander itself for two men fully dressed in space suits and backpacks to fit inside the lander much less go thru the hatch. Another problem is when the first lander was sent from the MIC firm that had the contract, NASA hooked it up and turned it upside down and all this debris from bolts to small metal particles fell to the floor. NASA sent it back explaining that in space the astronauts would end up breathing in these small particles eventually causing respiratory problems then death. Now looking at the blueprints of the lander, one thing is missing. When you see the pictures and vids of the men outside and walking around their is supposedly "moon dust" on their boots, legs, hands where they pick up rocks, do experiments, etc. That dust is carried into the lander and when taking off and in space they would breath in this crap then die. The lander has no vacuum sweeper anywhere in the blueprints. Nor can you find anywhere where those large suits were stored inside the lander when not in use. The firm who had the contract knew it was never going to the moon hence why bother doing a cleaning job. This shows some NASA people believed in this farce that they were really going to the moon. Even if you look closely as many vids have been done pointing this out, the cardboard used for part of the lander model shows areas where the fasteners are missing and part of the cardboard is actually sticking out where the exterior is then not laying flat and smooth removing the appearance of the craft being welded together. In others these areas are actually moving and flapping as they must have had a large fan inside the building where it was filmed cooling the crew filming as the lights used in filming are very hot.

That technology used back then exist in a world where weapons of mass destruction exist, Syria gassed its own people, Iraqi soldiers bayoneted infants in incubators like Hamas, Russia invaded to re-create the USSR and Biden really won the election fair and square.

▲ 10 ▼ Reply

Baer Creighton 5 days ago

You hit a couple of the reasons the moon landing was certainly faked.

WELL DONE.

▲ 6 ▼ Reply

wootendw PREMIUM 5 days ago

Did Martin Armstrong tell you this?

I doubt it.

Why don't you get his opinion as you follow him?

Because he would ridicule or ignore you.

▲ ▼ Reply

GaultWins 5 days ago

No serious person thinks we or anybody else landed on the moon.

▲ 10 ▼ 3 Reply

benb 5 days ago

And you surely know that the CIA does not exercise control over the media as well.

I can see nobody could ever fool you.

▲ 5 ▼ Reply

Goody Two Shoes 5 days ago

I know for a fact that most all serious people think men landed on the moon. The others are seriously stupid.

▲ 4 ▼ 3 Reply

Hero_Of_the_Day 5 days ago

NASA is a massive money-laundering operation.

▲ 10 ▼ 2 Reply

semperfi_2 5 days ago

and a CIA front

▲ 8 ▼ Reply

Hero_Of_the_Day 5 days ago

Israel probably getting a taste

▲ 6 ▼ 2 Reply

The 3rd Dimentia 5 days ago

US govt is getting a taste of Likud ass

▲ 4 ▼ Reply

brunski60 4 days ago

What do they pay you at Langley?

▲ 1 ▼ Reply

do_you_even_science_bro 5 days ago

CGI, green screens, pools, sound stages...

It's all fake folks.

Space may be the final frontier but it's made i. A Hollywood basement.

Nasa...means "to deceive" in Hebrew.

Never A Straight Answer

No Astronot Shall Apply

Not a Space Agency

National Association of Space Art

Neil A: A lieN

A Lie Ster Crowley: Aliester, who was A Lie Ster...and a 33rd degree mason, who called himself Th Beast 666, was the first to draw an alien 👽... He made the drawing after a satanic ritual where he claimed he summoned a demon and drew a picture if it. He called it The Drawing of the Lam.

Aliens are demons.

Project Blue Beam is coming... They will fake aliens in order to bring about demonic interdimensional beings. They'll use CERN...

Wakey wakey. Time for sheeple to wakey

▲ 10 ▼ 1 Reply

Hero_Of_the_Day 5 days ago *(Edited)*

Now do

Holocaust

Nuclear weapons

Cover 19 pandemic

▲ 3 ▼ 2 Reply

brunski60 4 days ago

When are you going back to your Elon Trump bullshit?

▲ 1 ▼ Reply

The 3rd Dimentia 5 days ago *(Edited)*

it is the 'angels' that are 'demons' depending upon what they are told to do. it's simple, but still complex..

▲ 1 ▼ Reply

Jurist_Naturalist_UA1 5 days ago

“National Association of Space Art”….. classic.

▲ 5 ▼ Reply

semperfi_2 5 days ago

you left out in your credits Stanley Kubrick

▲ ▼ Reply

onceyouhave 5 days ago

After the last Apollo's mission in 1972, the moon released its atmosphere, moved away 10 times farther out, and changed its gravity from 1/2 to 1/6th that of earth's.

That's why, gentlemen and ladies, it is now IMPOSSIBLE to land astronaughts on the moon.

Thank you.

▲ 10 ▼ 1 Reply

Sarcgasm 5 days ago

Cool story scro!

▲ 1 ▼ 1 Reply

SafeAndEffective 5 days ago

The early days on the moon were fun. We were all astronauts who trained and made it there because we were the best of the best. Then one day a man arrived. He had a mullet and a weed wacker, which of course is not needed on the moon. He had pants with no cloth over his ass cheeks. He carried with him a giant lobster who said the moon belonged to him. I recall doing shots with both of them, and then everything else after that I've forgotten.

▲ 10 ▼ Reply

RobbersDog 5 days ago

Sounds like a Buzz Aldrin quote.

▲ 6 ▼ Reply

Orly 5 days ago

Never, ever call it a 'weed wacker'.

▲ 1 ▼ 4 Reply

SafeAndEffective 5 days ago

Wacker of weeds?

▲ 1 ▼ Reply

Orly 5 days ago

It is a gas-powered string trimmer. I shouldn't have to remind you.

▲ ▼ 3 Reply

SafeAndEffective 5 days ago

So weed wacker it is, then.

▲ 3 ▼ Reply

billyjoeshaver 5 days ago

strimmer

▲ ▼ Reply

---

riddlemethis 5 days ago

The only one going to the moon is Alice!

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=98qw86DsdZ0

▲ 9 ▼ Reply

Ruth116 5 days ago

There was NO successful moon landing. It's Amazing what people will believe.!

▲ 9 ▼ 1 Reply

EarthIsNotSpinning 5 days ago *(Edited)*

Never happened and never will. The Moon is a two dimensional reflection of the greater Earth. That's why it never spins. Space does not exist (vacuum space and atmosphere connot coexist side by side).

NASA director - Wernher von Braun - Palm 19.1

▲ 9 ▼ Reply

---

darkenergy-KNOT 5 days ago

🤣🤣🤣

Had there been **EVEN 1 (ONE) "** Successful Moon Landing"?

The '**Program'** would have **NEVER** stopped. **Period**.

▲ 9 ▼ Reply

> **TheSharpenedPen** 5 days ago *(Edited)*
>
> Oh ya, the moon is this giant rock, rich in minerals, but we haven't been back since 1968 because… reasons…
>
> ▲ 4 ▼ Reply

Skip59 5 days ago

Lot's of wasted money.. for nothing..!

Government's don't care about individual people's lives.. if they did there wouldn't be wars..! The elites don't fight wars…they start them..!

▲ 9 ▼ Reply

Kiteh Kawasaki 5 days ago

NASA couldn't pour water out of a bucket let alone get us offworld to stay.

▲ 9 ▼ 3 Reply

> **Kiteh Kawasaki** 5 days ago
>
> It's socialist garbage and the biggest moneypit ever.
>
> ▲ 14 ▼ 2 Reply
>
> > **Sarcgasm** 5 days ago
> >
> > Get your stink gash out of here whore.
> >
> > ▲ 1 ▼ Reply
>
> > **micromanager** 5 days ago
> >
> > Their Photoshop Creative Cloud account keeps Adobe afloat
> >
> > ▲ 2 ▼ 1 Reply

---

behind the curtain 5 days ago

hahahahaha ..

▲ 9 ▼ Reply

Jack Offelday 5 days ago

The recent Indian "moon landing" graphics were comedy gold.

▲ 8 ▼ Reply

EmitEroMeNoEmNaB 5 days ago

Fairy tales

▲ 8 ▼ Reply

Bobbie Fischer 5 days ago

Moon landings? Are the Tylers trolling us?

▲ 8 ▼ 1 Reply

Argon1 5 days ago

Everything a fake

▲ 8 ▼ 1 Reply

> **Silvergood** 5 days ago
>
> We know the moon landings were faked. It's therefore hard to believe anything anymore
>
> ▲ 5 ▼ Reply

InnerCynic 5 days ago *(Edited)*

With so many failures and disasters I find it unbelievable that a mere couple of weeks after the latest "simulated" moon landing we had so many successes and then suddenly everything stopped.   Saying that this change of behavior was bizarre would be an understatement.   But, one need only look at the crew of the first "landing", at their news briefing upon their supposed return, to see with ones own eyes just how sheepish and downcast they were. They looked like they were attending a funeral.

▲ 8 ▼ 3 Reply

> **NorwegianKing** 5 days ago
>
> The US is broken. They don't even believe in their own accomplishemnts.
>
> ▲ ▼ 1 Reply

Systems Planet 5 days ago

We can't even leave lower-earrh-orbit.

Welcome to Prison P.lanet.

Think you're free? Try visiting Antartica.

▲ 8 ▼ 2 Reply

> **strych10** 5 days ago
>
> The fact that you can visit Antarctica seems to be irrelevant to this "you can't visit" argument that shows up every few years.
>
> Mostly, you can't afford it. But you most certainly can do it for the right coin. In fact, a lot of Alumni programs run discounted group vacations.
>
> ▲ 3 ▼ 7 Reply
>
> > **Systems Planet** 5 days ago
> >
> > You didn't watch the video.
> >
> > Every place you can go in Antartica is a planned tour.
> >
> > You can't explore Antartica. Ever.
> >
> > ▲ 1 ▼ Reply

Just808 5 days ago

Humans can't pass through Van Allen radiation belt - was a good one lol

We threw away all that technology and are unable to recreate it - is my favorite lol

▲ 8 ▼ 3 Reply

> **semperfi_2** 5 days ago
>
> what about NASA/govt losing the original tapes of the moon landing - my fav
>
> ▲ 9 ▼ Reply

> **Orly** 5 days ago
>
> False. The entire trip, to and fro, was less than 4 Rads accumulated dose.
>
> ▲ 1 ▼ 5 Reply
>
> > **billyjoeshaver** 5 days ago
> >
> > that would be like putting aluminium foil in a microwave and its contents popcorn!
> >
> > ▲ ▼ Reply

> **Tom Angle** 5 days ago
>
> https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bdQHKf48Mfw
>
> ▲ ▼ Reply

8peyote 5 days ago

A11 work and no play makes Jack a dull boy

▲ 8 ▼ Reply

> **RobbersDog** 5 days ago
>
> and a lying sack o'shit t' boot.
>
> ▲ 2 ▼ Reply

> **MindlessSheep** 5 days ago
>
> Gee, you reckon Stanley Kubrick was trying to tell us something?
>
> ▲ 6 ▼ Reply

---

kadoka 5 days ago

I thought the Apollo missions landed in Hollywood.

▲ 7 ▼ Reply

zlo 5 days ago

You forgot places on moon like Hollywood, Sonoma desert, area 51 and similar

▲ 7 ▼ 1 Reply

Dog E. Styles 5 days ago

The moon is actually a permanent Chinese weather balloon moonlighting as a spy station during the day.

▲ 7 ▼ Reply

Sarcgasm 5 days ago

Barroon.

▲ 6 ▼ Reply

alasken1 5 days ago

With todays telescope technology, why can't they focus on the moon and zero in on one of the landing sites? Just one!

▲ 7 ▼ 1 Reply

behind the curtain 5 days ago

a picture of that flag flapping in the wind ..and i am sold !! :)

▲ 9 ▼ Reply

Jury_rggd PREMIUM 5 days ago

That sounds like extra. I'm not paying for that.

▲ 5 ▼ Reply

Fishtowner in 🤡🌎 5 days ago

Um, one Google search found dozens such photos on the first try.

▲ 2 ▼ 2 Reply

TingTong_Laydeeboi 5 days ago

If Xi or Putin want to really to upset America, they just have to announce they are sending a lunar probe to take pictures of the Apollo landing site to verify whether the Americans actually made it to the moon. Watch if Biden or NASA go ballistic.

▲ 6 ▼ Reply

EarthIsNotSpinning 5 days ago

They won't do it, they are one family.

▲ 7 ▼ Reply

WolfgangIffans101 5 days ago

With all the space telescopes and spy satellites seeing minute detail of the earth and the cosmos, and yet nothing of the supposed moon landing site.

▲ 3 ▼ Reply

President Poopie Pants 5 days ago

And still, they try and convince us it really happened.

▲ 6 ▼ 1 Reply

NorwegianKing 5 days ago

The US is broken. They don't even believe in their own accomplishments.

▲ 3 ▼ 1 Reply

Arielle 5 days ago

A lot of 'Belief' is required, since the 'science' disproves it all.

▲ 3 ▼ 1 Reply

Winstonia PREMIUM 5 days ago

I hate the term "crewed". It's so woke. Just say "man" for crying out loud.

▲ 6 ▼ Reply

keremetski 5 days ago

I am convincing my government to joing the space race. It is so easy - just dig up huge pool and hire mediocre CGI artists.

▲ 6 ▼ 1 Reply

wootendw PREMIUM 5 days ago

I remember the Gemini and Apollo missions. It was all about getting to the moon before the Soviets. Americans were afraid Russia would win.

The Soviets were the first to launch a satellite - on Oct 4, 1957 when Americans sat down to watch the premier episode of *Leave it to Beaver*. They were the first to put a man in orbit and the first to 'walk' in space. Some Americans believed that, if the Russians, got there first, they would launch guided missiles at US from the moon.

Then things became routine for a while. Just more days in space and more orbits. I missed the incineration of 3 astronauts in '67.

It was Apollo 8 that woke me up. Orbiting the moon around Christmas and reading some religious message to the world. Apollo 9 & 10 to test the lunar module's ability to leave the spacecraft and re-dock.

Finally, Apollo 11 when I was in London (Wembley). I had stay up all night long to see Neil Armstrong step off the ladder at 4am in the morning. I was still awake 2 hours later when my English granddad came down the stairs whereupon my grandmother told him, "They're on the moon!". Alas, he was unimpressed - said they could "bloody well stay there".

After Apollo 11, it became boring again. Been there, done that. Fewer and fewer people paid attention. More and more questioned it - especially with Vietnam and a recession and inflation.

▲ 6 ▼ 3 Reply

micromanager 5 days ago

> *I missed the incineration of 3 astronauts in '67.*

i remember it because their funeral pre-empted the saturday morning cartoons

i complained and my mom said ***astronauts don't die every day***

i replied ***i wish they did, then I could watch bugs bunny***

got my mouth washed out with soap for that snark

true story

▲ 5 ▼ 1 Reply

South Pacific PREMIUM 5 days ago

"you saw it on the Tv" see the problem with being sure of something from that alone? Its called programming for a reason

▲ 4 ▼ 1 Reply

micromanager 5 days ago *(Edited)*

you mean Bugs ***isn't*** real?

what about Santa & the Tooth Fairy?

▲ ▼ 1 Reply

Arising 5 days ago *(Edited)*

What an astronomical waste of money.

▲ 6 ▼ 1 Reply

Set The Edge 5 days ago *(Edited)*

Each country knows it is BS. They all know the leadership is gone if the lie gets out. USA funded USSR military in the 60's through 90's - needed an enemie, which kept their mouths shut.

▲ 6 ▼ 1 Reply

benb 5 days ago

Through an elaborate censorship process you are not being told or shown what was found on the Moon.

There are ongoing surface mining operations, ruins of colossal structures, and archeological artifacts all over the surface. The interior and parts of the surface are inhabited by advanced civilizations. Top NASA, military, and world leaders all know. This is 100% certain.

▲ 6 ▼ 5 Reply

Pizza the Hutt 5 days ago

The moon nazis relocated to the sun at some point in the mid 70s to avoid detection

▲ 7 ▼ Reply

Orly 5 days ago

Nazis on the Moon:

Iron Sky

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Jth4yATniS4

▲ 2 ▼ 1 Reply

benb 5 days ago

There were ruins found on the Moon that were older than this star system.

▲ 4 ▼ 2 Reply

Orly 5 days ago *(Edited)*

That's not even possible.

If this star system hadn't developed, how can you create 'ruins' when there is nothing to ruin? Makes no sense.

▲ 4 ▼ 2 Reply

benb 5 days ago *(Edited)*

Your lower comments (both you and Hut have no reply buttons) so I'll comment here- I know how foolish some of this may sound if you haven't seriously spent 20 years on this.

> *...If this star system hadn't developed, how can create 'ruins' when there is nothing to ruin?*

Because the Moon is not a natural satellite of Earth. That it came from another star system in our galaxy or from another galaxy entirely. It's what's left of something very ancient.

Both the Americans and the Russians knew this by 1972 or earlier.

And I upvoted you because I think you are sincere in you question.

▲ 3 ▼ 1

The 3rd Dimentia 5 days ago

the moon is 100% artificial, left to rot in orbit around this planet.

that's why "we never landed in the first place" and "there is a barrier that is impossible to navigate safely"

if/when people realize that it's artificial, that would be proof that the egomaniacs running this planet are *not* so scary-powerful.

▲ 4 ▼ 1

Orly 5 days ago

Oh, oh. Okay. Gotcha.

The comments are a nested system that allows four replies, I think. We have no ability to turn comments off. So just find the first available Reply button above the comment you want to respond to.

Do you have some Russian or American papers saying the moon is from somewhere else? Any other proof besides grainy and blurry images of craters?

▲2 ▼2

benb 5 days ago

The people running the show, The Ruling Oligarchy, view people like you as dumb animals because you have the understanding of a dumb animal.

▲6 ▼2 Reply

Orly 5 days ago

I haven't seen any giant statuary, busts or otherwise, not construction, nor vehicles of any kind.

▲2 ▼3 Reply

benb 5 days ago *(Edited)*

This is because all the images are censored. The most requested space image according to the NSSDC was taken during Apollo 17 on December 13, 1972 of a feature called Tracy's Rock which according to NASA is a giant split boulder that has rolled down the North Massif. What it really is is the remains of a 45 foot Lunar Walker that has been heavily airbrushed to look like an odd boulder. That's essentially how they screwed everybody over.

Orly- there is no reply button so I'll respond here to your comment- "Pretty much looks like a rock."

That's the whole idea. The image has been altered. There are dozens of photos taken of this object and they do not agree. NASA's account of it is baloney. I will have a link laying this whole thing out in the near future. I've been posting here for 12 years just stay tuned it's an amazing story.

▲4 ▼3 Reply

Orly 5 days ago

Pretty much looks like a rock.

▲ ▼3

Pizza the Hutt 5 days ago

I'd wager I'm a little bit more intelligent than yourself

With all due respect

Pizza the Hutt

▲3 ▼ Reply

benb 5 days ago

How smart you fancy yourself is of no importance.

I'm an expert on the undisclosed discoveries of the early space program.

▲3 ▼3 Reply

Orly 5 days ago

Is that like a college degree or something?

▲2 ▼2

Pizza the Hutt 5 days ago *(Edited)*

Please, elaborate,

or, IS IT CLASSIFIED!!??

▲3 ▼

Sarcgasm 5 days ago

He is an expert, because he says he is. That should be enough, pleb!

▲2 ▼

Kasia 5 days ago

I second that

you're pretty wise slug

▲1 ▼1 Reply

benb 5 days ago *(Edited)*

> *-Do you have some Russian or American papers saying the moon is from somewhere else? Any other proof besides grainy and blurry images of craters?*

Orly - I've got enough to amaze you.

Like I said I'll have a link up in maybe 2- weeks or so. I know you. You've commented here for many years. If you check around you will see it.

▲5 ▼1 Reply

Orly 5 days ago *(Edited)*

I will be here, at least until March. I will keep an eye out for it.
I have been fascinated by space travel since I was a little kid and would like to know as much as I can.

I just don't go in for all the 'flag-waving wind on the moon' idiocy that most people spew as 'proof' the landings were fake because that is clearly not what happened and there are reasonable and far more probable explanations for these 'discrepancies' than Stanley Kubrick in a studio somewhere.

I also would like to know more about the moon itself, as I have an idea for a novel, but it just seems to me that there is very little there besides practice for a run at Mars.

I will look for it, ben. Thanks.

▲2 ▼1 Reply

micromanager 5 days ago

the definitive moon novel was **The Moon Is A Harsh Mistress** by Robert A. Heinlein

but, i'd read yours if it was good

▲ ▼1

Letro 5 days ago

Moon landings SO FUNNY. Filmed in big hangar outside London.

▲5 ▼1 Reply

Northerner 5 days ago

Also, new astronauts suits are now made by Gucci. They are great for wearing them in NASA studios.

▲5 ▼ Reply

---

the.enemy.is.us 5 days ago

Maybe this time man will actually get there.

Good luck !

▲5 ▼1 Reply

South Pacific PREMIUM 5 days ago *(Edited)*

Exhibit B, Right after the truly international rollout of the scamdemic, that despite supposed division between the leadership of different nations, they are in fact ALL in cahoots, and are lying satanic ghouls, that cover each other and keep each others lies. Nobody has been to the moon , and no-one will, why is it astronots are almost all masons?

▲5 ▼1 Reply

Maxwell Folger 5 days ago

This got me thinking about Space 1999 and Martin Landau--then that got me thinking about when it used to come on, when I was a kid. I think it was on Saturday late-afternoon, just before Hockey Night in Canada. Then I remembered that Peter Puck used to have a half-hour segment (I think) *before* Hockey Night in Canada--that got me thinking about Peter Puck...

▲5 ▼ Reply

micromanager 5 days ago

that show came along after the success of star wars

it was so dorky

▲ ▼ Reply

InnerCynic 5 days ago

So was Battlestar Galactica with it's Cylons or Buck Roger's and the Mel Blanc voiced robot. They had their time and place. 1999 was sorta serious but the idea of the Moon blasting out of orbit was goofy.

▲3 ▼ Reply

Bradley1234 5 days ago

NASA needs to be defunded since it's never left low earth orbit.

Listen to Obama and NASA the clown show here:

If NASA could have left Earth's atmosphere there would have been then and today millions of people traveling to other planets and billions of space flights and 1000s of daily space flights leaving Earth even today. Trillions of dollars were squandered to be used for wars rather than space.
I could list 1,000 reasons why space travel will "NEVER" happen or be possible.

1. The Sun travels through space at 500,000 mph. The Earth and all the other planets follow the sun at 500,000 mph.
2. The earth travels around the sun at 66,600 mph and rotates at 1,021
mph

* G force would kill man

For other latitudes, the speed rotation is:

- 10 degrees: 1,021.7837 mph (1,644.4 km/h)
- 20 degrees: 974.9747 mph (1,569.1 km/h)
- 30 degrees: 898.54154 mph (1,446.1 km/h)
- 40 degrees: 794.80665 mph (1,279.1 km/h)
- 50 degrees: 666.92197 mph (1,073.3 km/h)
- 60 degrees: 518.7732 mph (834.9 km/h)
- 70 degrees: 354.86177 mph (571.1 km/h)
- 80 degrees: 180.16804 mph (289.95 km/h)

3. Space is a vacuum there is "NO" atmosphere or any physical element to push off or fuels to burn for a spacecraft to travel.
4. Temperatures in space are too HOT & COLD for a man or the material to be used in space.

Below - 867°F - 1,000 (464°C)
Above + 3,000 degrees Celsius (5,432 degrees Fahrenheit)

5. No, Elon Musk didn't launch a rocket into space or a car. His recent attempt also failed
6. Earth's gravity is too powerful to leave Earth's atmosphere above 350 miles which is why Elon Musk's recent heavy rocket launch failed
7. Van Allen Belts 4,000 degrees
8. Ceramics tiles melt at 3,200 degrees
9. Titanium and aluminum capsule melts at 1,800 degrees

10. 2023: Lead Acid Batteries Fail above (100-104) degrees (Minus-35-75) below zero "Fail"
11. I knew that NASA was going to kill that teacher and crew because space flights are "NOT" possible.

• NASA funding must be terminated

NASA engineer admits they can't get passed the Van Allen Belts

https://m.youtube.com/watch?v=4O5dPsu66Kw

Here let NASA tell you themselves "right" here.
NASA Admits We Can't Leave Low Earth Orbit" (2017) 🧑‍🚀
https://m.youtube.com/watch?v=5fb0ByYHOv0

Buzz Aldrin Just Admitted We cannot go past low earth orbit

@ 7:30 minute mark Buzz Aldrin says to a little 8-year-old girl because we didn't go there to the moon

https://youtu.be/Y4UP6nRMuGs

NASA boss: China could claim the moon as its territory and BAN US astronauts from touching down | Daily Mail Online

https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-11590249/NASA-boss-China-claim-moon-territory-BAN-astronauts-touching-down.html

I was wondering what excuses NASA was going to use to claim we cannot go to the moon because CHINA told us not to!

Earth is screaming through space at 1.3 million mph. Earth orbits the sun at **66,600 mph**, and the sun orbits the Milky Way at **514,500 mph**, our solar system's speed relative to the CMB is about 827,000 mph. Zoom out further, and our entire galaxy is zipping through the CMB at about 1.3 million mph.
https://www.businessinsider.com/earth-screaming-through-space-nasa-animated-video-2019-10?op=1

https://hypertextbook.com/facts/2001/AngelaChan.shtml

486,000 miles per hour."

The coldest and densest molecular gas clouds in the interstellar medium can have temperatures of 10 K (**-505** ^{0}F/-263 ^{0}C or)
https://www.space.com/how-cold-is-space

▲5 ▼7 Reply

HAL9000rev1 5 days ago
Some serious stupid here. Im impressed

▲10 ▼2 Reply

Orly 5 days ago
Forgot about that whole 'relativity' thing.

▲1 ▼3 Reply

HAL9000rev1 5 days ago
Thats why you can sip a bloody mary at ***500mph*** using a free drink coupon***?***

The only debatable contradiction of the Four Laws is that nothing is free.

▲1 ▼ Reply

Goody Two Shoes 5 days ago
I'm typing this via Starlink internet. How does that work?

▲2 ▼ Reply

peippe 5 days ago
elon rips you off is how that works. it's become a pattern.

▲4 ▼ Reply

South Pacific PREMIUM 5 days ago *(Edited)*
1000's of high alt weather balloons with transmitters travelling in largely set paths on the jetstreams 100,000ft + up

▲3 ▼1 Reply

Arielle 5 days ago
The Heliocentric model in it's entirety is junk scientism.

So many leaps of faith required to make it seem plausible.. It is in fact a religion full of zealots, and their iconography is CGI and made in a Hollywood basement BS.

▲1 ▼ Reply

ConanTheLibertarian 4 days ago
That is the most statistically embellished stupidity I have ever read.

▲ ▼ Reply

Habeebespurt 5 days ago
Really? Slow news night?

▲5 ▼ Reply

Lobster 5 days ago
The moon will soon be colonized by lobsters

Who, having reverse engineered the space technology of man from all the debris that has fallen into the oceans will launch a rocket of our own

And , once in control of the ultimate high ground we will use this advantage to rain melted butter on the earth below

▲5 ▼ Reply

Orly 5 days ago
So, uh, tell me, mudbug...what are y'all going to eat, exactly?

▲2 ▼2 Reply

Lobster 5 days ago
If the article is correct, india has been to the moon

They are sure to have left enough garbage behind to sustain us for centuries...

▲8 ▼ Reply

Sarcgasm 5 days ago
You are not worthy to speak to Lobster, bitch.

▲1 ▼1 Reply

brunski60 4 days ago
It's a 70 year-old man with severe OCD

▲ ▼ Reply

Dog E. Styles 5 days ago
Slow news day..

▲5 ▼ Reply

EarthIsNotSpinning 4 days ago
In 2023 people believing in Moon landings are either retarded of educated idiots...

▲4 ▼1 Reply

El-Fykwit 5 days ago
what do u think the gen pop will do when they realize no one NOT ONE craft of any kind has ever or will ever land on the local in house moon...

▲4 ▼1 Reply

desertdog 5 days ago
better: what will they do when they find out someone is already living on the moon ...

▲ ▼2 Reply

Maltheus 5 days ago
Our government is continuously engaged in false flags and other conspiracies, but they aren't very good at them. If you're a thinking person, you see through them immediately, because they aren't designed to convince you, they only need to convince enough of the lowest-common-denominators out there.

However, on the moon landings, I'm going to disagree with the skeptics. There's more evidence to support it was real, than they would ever put into an actual conspiracy to deceive. And it would have to be international, in scope, with the Russians and the Chinese playing along. It was, in fact, the zenith of mankind's ascent, and we've been descending into a new dark ages, ever since.

▲4 ▼9 Reply

riddlemethis 5 days ago
Links to your "evidence"?

▲1 ▼ Reply

WadeBaker 5 days ago
In this book https://archive.org/details/hoagland-bara-dark-mission-the-secret-history-of-nasa-2007

Richard Hoagland details how he observed NASA start the fake moon landing conspiracy themselves.

He was there.

▲3 ▼3 Reply

riddlemethis 5 days ago
So he writes a book and that makes it all real? C'mon!

▲1 ▼1 Reply

riddlemethis 5 days ago
One book review:

I've read many books about UFOs and the like, and have considerable sympathy for the subject. This, however, is a rather embarrassing example of the lunatic fringe - in which it is revealed how NASA is just part of some giant unclear conspiracy to cover up all kinds of stuff to do with Mars, the Moon, alien civilisations, Egyptology, fourth-dimensional physics, oh and also a bunch of other conspiracies and sinister organisations.

The main author, one Richard Hoagland, writes of himself in the third person throughout, as if giving a gloss of drama and objectivity, yet this is a self-serving document, recounting the history of his brilliant ideas and his lame, biased or malign detractors, peppered with overuse of italics and shudder quotes in case you don't get the message.

But it is the wild extrapolations from extremely flimsy evidence that make this book just embarrassing and annoying to read. For Hoagland, blurry photographs of the Moon or Mars are a kind of Rorschach inkblot test: to his eye, ordinary rocks are 'mechanical debris' from some advanced but now-extinct interplanetary civilization. A rock with two dents in it is a 'robot's head' (and I suspect that a significant proportion of rocks look more like a robot's head than the example we are given). Mountains are 'pyramids'; a blurry row of rocks is a 'sphinx'. Inevitably, the not particularly face-like 'Face on Mars' gets much attention. These objects are rather more irregular than an advanced civilization ought to be able to produce - actually they look just like rocks and mountains - but this of course is explained by 'erosion' (even though no erosion takes place on the moon, not having an atmosphere or surface water).

Add to this a heavy dose of unconvincing geometry: draw enough straight lines on a photograph and you find some of them seem to connect these mysterious objects - or rather, they do if you draw enough lines and make enough use of wiggle room, such as saying a line connects to an object when actually it goes a bit to the side. (Ley lines, anyone?)

Then measure the angles produced, and with a bit more jiggling you can come up with something close to numbers that don't have any mathematical significance, like, say, e/pi, or e/root 5 - but why not more obvious constants like e or 5? Well, we are told, this is obviously an alien 'message' about hyperdimensional physics which tells us that the planets all contain a fourth-dimensional spinning pyramid or something...

Other photographs of the moon landings have even more bizarre interpretations: anything looking like a scratch on the negative is actually a 'buttress' supporting one of many giant glass domes over craters; these domes are naturally invisible in the photos (being made of glass), but hey, they're obviously there, aren't they? (Hoagland's inability to get any scientific journals to publish such results are naturally all part of the conspiracy.)

But wait, there are more dots to be connected. It's all something to do with not only the Masons, but also the Nazis (I kid you not). As shown by all kinds of things which turn out to be mysteriously symbolic: e.g. a particular moon launch occurs on the anniversary of Hitler's birthday (a date which after all only arises once every year). Quite why NASA would choose a launch date on such a cryptic yet symbolic basis, rather than - say - to do with the relative position of the earth and moon, is not clear; perhaps to send a secret message? To whom, and why? Some NASA announcement occurs three years to the day after JFK's assassination - all very suspicious and symbolic, so clearly is another secret message telling us we're-not-quite-sure-what. After all, the anniversary of JFK's assassination only comes round every year. (Come to think of it, isn't almost every day the anniversary of some more or less important historical event?)

NASA also uses strange symbolism in its official patches for space suits etc - for example, the Apollo program patch has a big letter A on it, secretly denoting (in the mind of Hoagland) not Apollo but Asar, an ancient Egyptian word for Osiris, i.e. the constellation Orion, which has something important to do with Egyptian mythology and hence pyramids and YOU SEE HOW IT ALL MAKES SENSE.

Quite how this book made it to the New York Times bestseller list is a mystery to me, and one rather more disturbing than the contents of this deranged and tedious book.

Read less

28 people found this helpful

Report

▲ 1 ▼ 1 Reply

WadeBaker 5 days ago

It's quite a good book. I've had it for years.

▲ 1 ▼

WadeBaker 5 days ago

He's also discussed it numerous other places. He witnessed it firsthand.

You wanted a link, you got your link.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply

---

vasilievich 5 days ago

The inevitable "the Earth is really flat" comments. It's to demonstrate that the flat-earther is a genius who sees what other people are too dull to see.

▲ 4 ▼ 2 Reply

Falconsixone 5 days ago

Where did Wallace and Gromit land?

▲ 4 ▼ Reply

BluCapitalist PREMIUM 5 days ago

I've seen a lot of green screen videos of the ISS. Maybe there are bases on the moon and maybe we have never been. One thing is for sure now, more will be revealed. The mask has slipped and these MFrs are gonna die.

▲ 4 ▼ Reply

Masher1 5 days ago

If America KNEW how much money they lost to NASA for Apollo alone.... nothing of it would survive.

NASA has proven a GARGANTUAN WASTE OF CASH.....

When do the trials start?

▲ 4 ▼ 1 Reply

Ident 7700 economy 5 days ago

Teflon, we got Teflon out of the space program. And Tang.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply

Mario Landavoz 5 days ago *(Edited)*

And micro-electronics. Assuming that they actually exist.

And Luddites.

▲ 3 ▼ Reply

Ident 7700 economy 5 days ago

No way, man! micro-electronics. ... bah!!!!

(As I type this out on a 2008 Dell Optiplex 755 with an an Intel E8400 Core2 Duo processor)

▲ ▼ Reply

Fluff The Cat 5 days ago

Which NASA had nothing to do with.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply

BlueLightning 5 days ago

BULLSHIT!

▲ 4 ▼ 2 Reply

Arielle 5 days ago

The 'vacuum of space' is fake and gay, and so is this article.

▲ 4 ▼ 1 Reply

---

honest injun 5 days ago

There is some conjecture stating that we never landed on the moon. To dismiss this idea, someone who has a telescope should point it at the landing sites to generate a website with the video evidence, from earth, of the lunar module, car, tire tracks, flag, debris, ... When I was a kid, I asked the workers at the planetarium to see the landing sites and all I got was dumb stares. Has somebody done this yet? If not, why?

▲ 4 ▼ 1 Reply

Dr. Arturo Tortilla 5 days ago

You can go to the moon yourself and visit the sites through deep meditation with your etheric body (: धर्म काय). I did it myself

▲ 7 ▼ 3 Reply

brunski60 4 days ago

Asstebanned's 39th clone account could use dr Feelgood.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply

ThorAss 5 days ago

You do understand the concept of resolution and the distance to the moon right?

▲ 2 ▼ 5 Reply

NorwegianKing 5 days ago

No, they don't. They hide behind ignorance.

▲ ▼ 1 Reply

Masher1 5 days ago *(Edited)*

.

▲ ▼ Reply

honest injun 5 days ago

When I was a kid, I used a telescope for a few hours looking but found nothing. My telescope was not strong enough to see things that small. But, somebody must have a telescope big enough to see that level of detail on the moon. To my knowledge, nobody has successfully done this. Why?

▲ ▼ Reply

TrumpyBear 5 days ago *(Edited)*

The moon orbits the earth every 27.35 days. It also rotates every 27 days so we always see the same side of the moon. The correlation is so high that we have seen the same side for thousands of years. Coincidence? Is the moon a controlled object? Hmmmm

▲ 4 ▼ 2 Reply

benb 5 days ago

> *Is the moon a controlled object? Hmmmm*

You are correct to raise the question. Rather peculiar as well that it appears as the same diameter as the sun for eclipses.

According to ancient text it was placed there after being destroyed in a great battle.

▲ 5 ▼ Reply

Goody Two Shoes 5 days ago

The green cheese on the far side of the Moon has more holes in it, making it lighter, thus less pull of Earth's gravity. DUH !

▲ 2 ▼ Reply

---

kscubfan 5 days ago

I grew up a big believer in the Moon landings and everything However m, things have changed Even my ten year old son gets it….. Thére was something on tv a few months back about going to the moon and my son said that was neat. He asked me when the last time people went to the moon and I told him 50 years… he was like what? That doesn't make sense, shouldn't we be going all the time by now? I just smiled…

▲ 4 ▼ 1 Reply

John Basilone 4 days ago

Visualizing All Faked Manned Moon Landings.

Brought to you by Stanley Kubrick.

▲ 3 ▼ Reply

> **Kforchrist** 4 days ago
>
> Seriously. This world is in the state that it is in because it is FULL OF LIARS and SHAT.
>
> ▲ 2 ▼ Reply

FloridasOwnVladPutin 4 days ago

I figured this story would bring out the flat earther kooks. Space is real. Flat earthers are fake and gay and retarded.

▲ 3 ▼ 3 Reply

> **Lightening** 4 days ago
>
> I was going to make this comment:
>
> "Pics are fake, moon is flat like earth," say random ZH commenters.
>
> ▲ 1 ▼ 1 Reply

---

vasilievich 5 days ago

My father was a precision machinist who worked on the Lunar Rover.

▲ 3 ▼ Reply

> **ZH FNG** 5 days ago
>
> Did he buy the lawn chairs? 🤣
>
> https://swisscows.com/en/images?query=%22Lawn+chairs%22+on+lunar+rover+moon+landing
>
> ▲ 3 ▼ 1 Reply

> **Bigo2** 5 days ago
>
> Using Jeep chassis?
>
> ▲ 2 ▼ Reply

> **riddlemethis** 5 days ago
>
> So?
>
> ▲ ▼ Reply

Blue Roof Society 5 days ago

I Love it when we get our Moon articles on ZH. Very fun to read the comments with morning coffee. Thank you!

▲ 3 ▼ Reply

Lanka 5 days ago

Red Hot Chile Pepper lyrics: *Space may be the final frontier but it's made in a Hollywood basement."

Notwithstanding the above, the actual purpose, history and activity on the Moon shall be revealed. Buckle-up.

▲ 3 ▼ 1 Reply

Set The Edge 5 days ago

Climate Hoax failing - need another pig to poke.

▲ 3 ▼ 1 Reply

> **Goody Two Shoes** 5 days ago *(Edited)*
>
> The moon landing claims are verifiable. They left stuff on the moon. It's either there or it isn't. If they lied, they will eventually be caught out by those those that come later. And, indeed, whenever a probe gets close enough to take pictures they do see the previous sites.
>
> The climate hoax on the other hand has nothing that can be checked. It's all "scientists say" and computer models. Don't believe your senses. Trust me , it's warmer, the ice is melting and there are less polar bears. AND that is the key human survival on this planet, temperature, ice and polar bears.
>
> ▲ 3 ▼ 2 Reply
>
> > **InnerCynic** 5 days ago
> >
> > When the same lying a-holes that ran the "programs" are asked to verify the lies do you honestly think they'd say otherwise? Fuggedaboudit!
> >
> > ▲ 3 ▼ 1 Reply

Set The Edge 5 days ago

They all are hilarious. But India is joke on another level.

▲ 3 ▼ Reply

John_also_lacks_surprise PREMIUM 5 days ago

Even the *CDC* warn about ionizing radiation, within the Earth's magnetic field. Expecting organic matter to survive outside it seems like science fiction …

▲ 3 ▼ 1 Reply

RussianWeiner 5 days ago

Or maybe something is already there....Full Zoom in on the crater at the very bottom...Official Nasa Apollo Photo

https://wms.lroc.asu.edu/apollo/view?image_name=AS17-M-1827

▲ 3 ▼ 1 Reply

> **Orly** 5 days ago
>
> Not really.
>
> ▲ 2 ▼ 2 Reply
>
> > **The 3rd Dimentia** 5 days ago
> >
> > to my knowledge, that curiosity was 'found' by a utuber several months ago. ufos parked inside craters or clinging to the inside of crater walls is more common than the average plebe might think - all in 'official' photos. back in the day they couldn't know that photos would be scanned and blown up to several GB in size and so we now have an opportunity to discover crazy things like that
> >
> > no ufos, exactly, but what can you see here? https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZJ1cjUzC0tk
> >
> > ▲ 1 ▼ Reply
> >
> > > **Orly** 5 days ago
> > >
> > > A bunch of rocks?
> > >
> > > ▲ ▼ 5 Reply
> > >
> > > > **The 3rd Dimentia** 5 days ago
> > > >
> > > > k, just look at the surface alone- https://youtu.be/CtQXJjMuQh0?t=350
> > > >
> > > > ▲ 1 ▼ Reply
> > > >
> > > > > **Orly** 5 days ago
> > > > >
> > > > > Sorry, all I see is really blurry close-ups of rocks.
> > > > >
> > > > > ▲ ▼ 4
> > > >
> > > > > **BadApple** 5 days ago
> > > > >
> > > > > What am I supposed to see there?
> > > > >
> > > > > ▲ 1 ▼
> > >
> > > > **The 3rd Dimentia** 5 days ago
> > > >
> > > > look here at the upper-center part of this one. a huge part of the rim does NOT look like the rest of it
> > > >
> > > > https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=xusq9nPNq94
> > > >
> > > > ▲ 1 ▼ Reply
> > > >
> > > > > **BadApple** 5 days ago
> > > > >
> > > > > I don't get it. The rim is irregular; is that amazing or something?
> > > > >
> > > > > ▲ 1 ▼
> >
> > > **BadApple** 5 days ago
> > >
> > > Rocks ?
> > >
> > > ▲ 1 ▼ Reply
> > >
> > > > **The 3rd Dimentia** 5 days ago *(Edited)*
> > > >
> > > > watch for at least 5 min- https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Y-3zC0CpD1c
> > > >
> > > > you'll see something curious at the 5:40 mark
> > > >
> > > > ▲ 1 ▼ Reply
> > > >
> > > > > **BadApple** 5 days ago
> > > > >
> > > > > I watched at 1.5 speed up to 9 minutes… what Am I supposed to see? I'm sorry, all I see are rocks, craters, shadows ?
> > > > >
> > > > > ▲ 1 ▼
> > >
> > > > **The 3rd Dimentia** 5 days ago
> > > >
> > > > hi-contrast photos can take a while to get accustomed to
> > > >
> > > > ▲ 1 ▼ Reply
> > > >
> > > > > **BadApple** 5 days ago
> > > > >
> > > > > I want to see something. I wish I could see an alien or a building or something. But I don't see anything.
> > > > >
> > > > > ▲ 1 ▼
> > > >
> > > > > **Orly** 5 days ago
> > > > >
> > > > > It's the 'auto-blur correction' algorithm the human brain has developed?
> > > > >
> > > > > ▲ ▼ 1

> **BadApple** 5 days ago
>
> I do see something in this one. I don't know what; but I see something in that crater.
>
> ▲ 1 ▼ Reply
>
> > **The 3rd Dimentia** 5 days ago
> >
> > always choose the highest video resolution
> >
> > ▲ 1 ▼ Reply

The 3rd Dimentia 5 days ago

https://youtu.be/Y-3zC0CpD1c?t=715

▲ 1 ▼ Reply

BadApple 5 days ago

More of that crap. Dude… I don't see anything. Rocks, shadows, craters. What do you see?

▲ 1 ▼ Reply

The 3rd Dimentia 5 days ago

in this instance, twisted or 'corkscrewed' metal.

I have 9,000 images of the moon, 33GB in one folder. I already know what is there, more than most, anyway. at this point you're just learning to crawl.

▲ 1 ▼ 1 Reply

BadApple 5 days ago

Brother…. If you have to "learn" to see it; is it possible that it's not really there?

If it's really there, you should see it the first time you look. No?

▲ 1 ▼

The 3rd Dimentia 5 days ago

if you're expecting to see something familiar to you, you're taking the wrong approach right at the start.

this thing was assuredly not built to meet your personal expectations. perhaps being mentally flexible is an acquired trait? I really can't say why you see nothing whatever.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply

Brex 5 days ago

Boom!

▲ ▼ Reply

Jury_rggd PREMIUM 5 days ago

"To infinity, and beyond!"

▲ 3 ▼ Reply

Ghost PREMIUM 5 days ago

there's water on the moon... No shit, it took how many billions to figure this out.

▲ 3 ▼ 1 Reply

DocD 5 days ago

I parked my unicorns next to the site of the "first landing". The foot steps made on this completely dry powder are still well formed!

▲ 2 ▼ 1 Reply

Kforchrist 4 days ago

Ohhhh, those were *your* Unicorns!!!! I tap danced to Jupiter and stopped at the moon on the way and was totally dumbfounded when I came across those unicorns just hanging around farting rainbows!

▲ ▼ Reply

N6JSX 5 days ago *(Edited)*

Until a fuel-less method of **controlling GRAVITY** is discovered Humanity will remain very limited and short distanced in Space.

Until we have successfully established a LIVABLE "International-Lunar-Base" [ILB being the next step to ISS] and LIVED on our moon +365 without adverse health affects, any long distance missions shall remain a dream *(or suicide mission)****. We will need lunar capable Shuttle(s) to haul equipment and return for more, if we have any chance at 'real' space.*** *Or we will need to harvest the moon and MAKE equipment/fuel as needed.*

We have yet to obtain accurate data of the effects of prolonged Solar-Radiation upon a human; an ILB will marginally simulate a planetary mission. We do not know how to deflect micro/macro asteroids/particles from a space-craft/ILB much less have the energy onboard to make an emergency trip home. Leaving Earth needs a serious plan of baby steps to quantify/mitigate risks to astronauts, not sterilizing them for posterity/fame.

Space needs to be a WORLD challenge - not political bragging milestone(s) or that ELIE rock will get Earth, again. I'm not a rocket-scientist but the above are glaringly obvious required tasks.

▲ 2 ▼ Reply

Lightening 4 days ago

Not real sure you want governments or elites "controlling gravity." I may end up dropping more stuff or floating up to ceiling.

▲ ▼ 1 Reply

PRO **WolfgangIffans101** 5 days ago

They may have orbited the moon, but the manned landings were faked.

▲ 2 ▼ 5 Reply

BigSimes 5 days ago

There is now a map showing every mission, from the crewed missions to the many failures:

https://lunarresourcesregistry.com/order-a-moon-map/

▲ 2 ▼ Reply

3.7.77 5 days ago

30 loses and 21 wins, not a good record.

▲ 2 ▼ Reply

stant 5 days ago

Space shuttle had a per unit failure rate of 40%.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply

peippe 4 days ago *(Edited)*

well they quit making 64k cpus & fired all the builders & replaced them with people at 33% pay. So they started falling outta the sky. like when you are forced to deal with the only mechanic in 130 miles deep in the desert. Destined for failure.

▲ ▼ Reply

Fluff The Cat 5 days ago

Why does the Sandusky vacuum chamber require 8ft thick concrete and steel walls to maintain its structural integrity against a mild vacuum and the ISS does not?

▲ 2 ▼ Reply

Ident 7700 economy 5 days ago *(Edited)*

Concrete is a poor choice; its porous. You were saying?

▲ 3 ▼ 2 Reply

Fluff The Cat 5 days ago *(Edited)*

Look at pic related to see what the ISS is made of. They want you to believe that aluminum and steel and a little bit of kevlar is going to be any match for the vacuum of space. Also, spacesuits are an even more ridiculous concept when taking this all into consideration.

▲ 3 ▼ Reply

Hermit in a Cave 5 days ago

You misunderstand the concept of a vacuum

▲ 1 ▼ 3 Reply

Fluff The Cat 5 days ago *(Edited)*

No, I don't think so. It would be impossible for the ISS to withstand the 10^-17 TOR vacuum of space. NASA's own Sandusky chamber is a 10^-6 TOR vacuum which is millions of orders of magnitude less powerful.

Not that I buy into their space fantasy nonsense anyhow, I'm merely demonstrating how fake it all is by using their own "facts" against them.

▲ 2 ▼ 1 Reply

ADHamburg PREMIUM 5 days ago

You compare apples and pears.

The ISS has a very small volume and an oxygen-rich atmosphere, with a pressure that is only a fraction of the air-pressure at sea-level. Moreover this pressure is on the inside of the ISS pressing to the outside.
You could also ask, why an aluminum scuba-diving airbottle can withstand the pressure-differential of 200 bar. Because it is enclosing only a small volume.

On the other hand, to fill a large volume such as the NASA chamber with air at 200 bar would also require much more material for encasement.

▲ ▼ 3

Ident 7700 economy 5 days ago

Do you - Do you know how **a balloon** works? Or no?

How about a 35 psi bicycle tire innertube?

▲ 2 ▼ 3 Reply

Fluff The Cat 5 days ago

You've had so many "space missions" and nothing happens there while just a simple astronaut test on a vacuum chamber almost resulted in a death. Science is science fiction and you blindly believe in all of that.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply

Ident 7700 economy 5 days ago

So, you DON'T know how a 35 psi bicycle tire innertube works?

▲ ▼ 3

Fluff The Cat 5 days ago *(Edited)*

I already said I don't believe in any of this space nonsense anyhow. We live in a closed system and the world is nothing like what's been presented to us.

Let me put it this way. The U-2 spy plane flies at an altitude of 70,000ft and can see for thousands of miles, both photographically and electromagnetically, yet according to globe curvature math the maximum distance it should only be able to see from that height is 324.4 miles – a rather massive difference.

▲ 2 ▼ 1

Ident 7700 economy 5 days ago

re: " already said I don't believe in any of this space nonsense "

We're talking fricking bicycle tire innertubes now.

IGU. Stone cold - Stew Pidd.

▲ ▼ 3

Fluff The Cat 5 days ago (Edited)

The ISS doesn't exist; it's a prop in a studio. To begin with, had they actually built something of that magnitude then there would be video footage of them constructing this monumental feat, let alone launching it 200 miles at a ridiculous 17,500 MPH doing freefall around the earth.

▲ 3 ▼ 1

ConanTheLibertarian 5 days ago

A 35 psi tire is withstanding over twice *the vacuum of space*.

▲ 2 ▼ Reply

Ted Baker 5 days ago

>>>>

NASA continues its ODYSEA beyond planet earth which literally is the only place for human life.

Instead of treating the evils on earth and curating the damage they have caused, NASA continues exploring foreign ZEROLIFE lands.

Imposing their ideology on UFO is simply pure EVIL.

<<<<

▲ 2 ▼ Reply

Mentaliusanything 5 days ago

Acetylene - funny gas that, Carbon based - You cannot have any ignition of anything without it being present at all times in very small Quantities.

a certain property makes it very hard to take into space - dangerously explosive at pressures above 15 psi.

Facts suck

▲ 2 ▼ Reply

Slammofandango 5 days ago

What point are you even trying to make? Acetylene gets unstable above any pressure above the ambient atmospheric pressure here on earth, so what? The ambient pressure in space is zero, which is of course less than the 15 psi you're warning about.

▲ 2 ▼ Reply

Ident 7700 economy 5 days ago (Edited)

You overlook alternatives to Acetylene ...

https://duckduckgo.com/?q=alternatives+to+Acetylene&t=ffcm&ia=web

Such as " **MagneGas** " (which few ppl have heard about.)

▲ ▼ 1 Reply

Set The Edge 5 days ago

And monkeys fly out of my butt.

▲ 2 ▼ Reply

Kasia 5 days ago

Oi Russia,

Washing machine parts and duct tape won't cut it for lunar travel.

That's why your last mission failed.

▲ 2 ▼ 6 Reply

Kasia 5 days ago

That's one small step for man 1 giant leap for mankind

and 1 improper use of English

▲ 2 ▼ 3 Reply

Orly 5 days ago (Edited)

There was a blip in the broadcast. What Armstrong actually said was, "That's one small step for a man, one giant leap for mankind."

In Polish, it is, "Gdzie są te wszystkie białe kobiety?"

▲ ▼ Reply

Tom Angle 5 days ago

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bdQHKf48Mfw

▲ ▼ Reply

RussianWeiner 5 days ago

Here's another....STS100....Space Shuttle Endeavor Photo from 2001

Top Right Corner just below the Earths horizon Full Zoom in...

https://eol.jsc.nasa.gov/DatabaseImages/ISD/highres/STS100/STS100-708A-48_2.JPG

▲ 2 ▼ 1 Reply

Aunt Queefa 5 days ago

Space stuff is boring.

▲ 2 ▼ Reply

benb 5 days ago

It's boring because you are being lied to about what was found.

▲ 3 ▼ 1 Reply

Fishtowner in 🤡🌎 5 days ago

Fact: The Soviets had no space docking capability until America handed it to them, along with ranging and computing tech during the Apollo-Soyuz joint mission in 1975.

▲ 2 ▼ Reply

OZZI-DOWNUNDER 4 days ago

Pathetic nonsense - NO ONE has ever landed on the Moon. There is more than enough reasons & reports as to **why it was impossible** & will be for a long time. After all the Director of 2001: A Space Odyssey is dead -and he did all the false filming.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply

---

hayits grass 4 days ago

Nothing compares to the billions of years of the earths harvested earth matter in the way of the suns greatest fan.

Oil and fossils.

No way some human will supercede this with a battery and some windmills and solar panels.

To employ humans you need to create war.

▲ 1 ▼ 1 Reply

RussianWeiner 5 days ago

I don't put a lot of stock in various websites since anything can be created.

But I do take official Nasa and Gov't sites seriously even though they can do the same but are probably more focused on cover up.

Some times they miss things...

Full Zoom in on the crater at the very bottom...Official Nasa Apollo Photo

https://wms.lroc.asu.edu/apollo/view?image_name=AS17-M-1827

Here's another....STS100....Space Shuttle Endeavor Photo from 2001

Top Right Corner just below the Earths horizon Full Zoom in...

https://eol.jsc.nasa.gov/DatabaseImages/ISD/highres/STS100/STS100-708A-48_2.JPG

▲ 1 ▼ 1 Reply

dog_dip 4 days ago

Is that a ruler in the crater?

Can't make out the second object. Looks like a watermark, maybe.

▲ 1 ▼ 1 Reply

The Future Is Now 4 days ago

What are you alleging NASA missed?

▲ 1 ▼ Reply

3.14159 5 days ago (Edited)

That list identifies just how difficult a moon landing, and return to earth, really is, was, and continues to be.

On the other side of the moon, the dark side, its littered with Hollywood sets and prop companies. Better to keep humans believing, reality is a bitch, once it **sets** in.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply

WadeBaker 5 days ago

Not shown is Apollo 20 (it happened) and subsequent missions after they went dark with it.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply

TingTong_Laydeeboi 5 days ago

The Apollo moon landings were fake. You should delete those from the picture.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply

Mario Landavoz 5 days ago (Edited)

Stanley Kubrick faked the flatness of earth. Or something like that.

Why does the vacuum of space include dust when my Hoover needs the dust from my carpet?

And I suspect that trip to Albuquerque never happened either.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply

Ident 7700 economy 5 days ago

#Truth and the Illusory truth effect -

The illusory truth effect (also known as the illusion of truth effect, validity effect, truth effect, or the reiteration effect) is the tendency to believe false information to be correct after repeated exposure. This phenomenon was first identified in a 1977 study at Villanova University and Temple University. When truth is assessed, people rely on whether the information is in line with their understanding or if it feels familiar. The first condition is logical, as people compare new information with what they already know understanding of if it feels familiar. The first condition is logical, as people compare new information with what they already know to be true. Repetition makes statements easier to process relative to new, unrepeated statements, leading people to believe that the repeated conclusion is more truthful. The illusory truth effect has also been linked to hindsight bias, in which the recollection of confidence is skewed after the truth has been received.

In a 2015 study, researchers discovered that familiarity can overpower rationality and that repetitively hearing that a certain statement is wrong can paradoxically cause it to feel right. Researchers attributed the illusory truth effect's impact even on participants who knew the correct answer to begin with, but were persuaded to believe otherwise through the repetition of a falsehood, to "processing fluency".

The illusory truth effect plays a significant role in fields such as advertising, news media, and political propaganda.

▲ 1 ▼ 1 Reply

NuYawkFrankie 5 days ago *(Edited)*

Meanwhile Democrats want funding to probe **Ur'anus...** 😳

▲ 1 ▼ Reply

ThomasJefferson69 5 days ago

Everyone wants to get out of the mouse Utopia. Too crowded.

▲ 1 ▼ 2 Reply

Sound of the Suburbs 5 days ago

Do you remember when the US wanted to prove to the world that is was a great nation?
That was a long time ago.
As a baby boomer, I remember watching the moon missions on the TV as a child.

▲ 1 ▼ Reply

> **Silvergood** 5 days ago
>
> You mean that you watched the "FAKED MOVING LANDINGS"
>
> ▲ 2 ▼ 2 Reply

Masher1 5 days ago *(Edited)*

The Ultra Gun system is the ONLY system that can make heavy large scale material transfer to orbit....

Cost reductions from rocketry is EXPONENTIAL over the cost to orbit today.

Tons per shot can be orbited for later production systems to process further for on orbit materials production....

Any amount of raw material can be lofted.... cheaply.... at tons per shot to start..... a good idea

But a lot of foot dragging going here.

You are about to miss out America.

Trump is a smart man.... We will see.

▲ 1 ▼ 3 Reply

---

micromanager 5 days ago

The only question I have is why does the same side of the moon always face the earth?

▲ 1 ▼ 2 Reply

> **Rigged** 5 days ago
>
> Moon is naked on the other side.
>
> And shy.
>
> ▲ 3 ▼ Reply
>
> > **micromanager** 5 days ago
> >
> > i think your answer was the best one
> >
> > ▲ 1 ▼ 1 Reply

> **The 3rd Dimentia** 5 days ago
>
> the moon isn't a consistent density throughout.
>
> the 'side' of the moon that weighs the most is more affected by Earth's pull than the lesser dense side,
>
> also, if you pay attention you'll notice that the moon rotates CW/CCW nearly 180 degrees total, negating any permanent north or south pole geography. they lie about nearly everything.
>
> ▲ 3 ▼ 1 Reply
>
> > **micromanager** 5 days ago
> >
> > i've always felt like it was staring at me
> >
> > ▲ 1 ▼ 1 Reply

> **wootendw** PREMIUM 5 days ago
>
> For the same reason that Mercury always keeps the same side to the Sun.
>
> ▲ 3 ▼ 1 Reply

> **South Pacific** PREMIUM 5 days ago
>
> Because it is a luminary within the firmament travelling around us, not a "planet".
>
> ▲ 3 ▼ Reply

> **dumpert** 5 days ago
>
> oh, the gravity, the heartless bitch
>
> ▲ 1 ▼ Reply

> **Slammofandango** 5 days ago
>
> Because both the Earth and Moon spin on their respective axis at the same rate. If the moon spun on its axis at any other rate, we'd see the entire thing during its orbit.
>
> ▲ ▼ Reply

A_Huxley 5 days ago

Should be West German flags :)

▲ 1 ▼ Reply

AA1 5 days ago

again?

▲ 1 ▼ Reply

Kasia 5 days ago

Don't you think it's pretty bad a** the last nation to land on the moon was India and they were the first nation to land on Pole.

Welcome to Poland Hindi's.

▲ 1 ▼ 3 Reply

> **Orly** 5 days ago
>
> Until you do them like you did the poor Ukrainian refugees, right?
>
> ▲ ▼ 2 Reply
>
> > **Kasia** 5 days ago
> >
> > Offer them gainful employment and affair rent price?
> >
> > Ukrainians are better off because of PL and that's a fact.
> >
> > ▲ 2 ▼ 3 Reply
> >
> > > **Orly** 5 days ago
> > >
> > > So why are you turning them back in their hour of need?
> > >
> > > ▲ ▼ 1 Reply

Kasia 5 days ago

Russia's failed moon landing earlier this year is proof that sanctions are having The desired effect.

The glorious days of sputnik are long gone.

▲ 1 ▼ 4 Reply

> **Obamanism666** 5 days ago
>
> Why not call it an attempted Invasion in your warped Polish Ukrainian whore mind
>
> ▲ 2 ▼ 1 Reply

> **Goody Two Shoes** 5 days ago
>
> They had success with their nuclear powered cruise missile and their latest ICBM is entering service. New drone types are being produced. A new glide bomb attachment for legacy 1500 kg bombs, ... preventing genocide, demilitarisation, denazification, bringing war criminals to justice.
>
> ▲ ▼ 1 Reply
>
> > **Kasia** 5 days ago
> >
> > Yet for all that talk they can't beat poor little Ukraine which has a per capita GDP of just $3000 per year.
> >
> > ▲ 1 ▼ 1 Reply

Kasia 5 days ago

India beating out Russia in the mood landing this year is Karma for their wicked and greedy deeds in the Ukraine.

▲ 1 ▼ 4 Reply

> **Hero_Of_the_Day** 5 days ago
>
> The US gives Ukraine weapons to kill Russians with
>
> But Russia lets the US use their "International Space Station"
>
> ▲ ▼ 1 Reply

Kasia 5 days ago

Mir Was a piece of crap that crashed into the Earth already.

The ISS as the name implies is not owned by any single nation.

▲1 ▼3 Reply

Orly 5 days ago

Thank God Spacelab was able to rescue everyone, huh?

▲2 ▼ Reply

Obamanism666 5 days ago

Mir and all space items will crash to earth like Skylab etc...

I guess you are PMTing just now as your hatered seeming to go up a notch or 2

▲1 ▼1 Reply

Orly 5 days ago

Skylab. My bad.

PMTing? My hatered?

Did you just call me a 2?

▲1 ▼ Reply

Obamanism666 5 days ago

Not you PMTing but Kasia

▲1 ▼

Orly 5 days ago

I think you meant PMSing and I am a bit old for that.

▲ ▼

Kasia 5 days ago

Why u hating.

What you need is love.

▲1 ▼2 Reply

Orly 5 days ago

All you need is love.

▲1 ▼

MonkeysPaw 5 days ago (Edited)

.

▲1 ▼ Reply

plankton 5 days ago

lol, whatever, Moon is close, yet we know little about it, let alone explored it. We drove rovers on Mars, yet we never drove rovers on the Moon in the same capacity. The Moon is the greatest unknown.

Without conquering the Moon, we cannot conquer the Galaxy.

▲1 ▼1 Reply

RussianWeiner 5 days ago

I'm a problem solver....NASA should have crashed their rocket into Gaza instead of the moon

▲ ▼8 Reply

Pizza the Hutt 5 days ago

Please confine your comments to space related or humourous content

Sincerely

Pizza the Hutt

▲9 ▼1 Reply

Orly 5 days ago

I wonder what would happen if the covid got spread on the lander module. Would it survive in space?

▲1 ▼2 Reply

micromanager 5 days ago

it would be so much worse if they weren't vaxxxed

▲ ▼1 Reply

ZH FNG 4 days ago

Dave McGowan, *Wagging the Moon Doggie*.

A good read.

https://centerforaninformedamerica.com/moondoggie/

▲ ▼ Reply

ConanTheLibertarian 4 days ago

Oh shit. The "Where's The Stars" gang's mating call.

▲ ▼ Reply

Lightening 4 days ago

If you've never worked in aerospace or engineering nor understand physics, a big clue that NASA landed on the moon is evident from one of their design mistakes on the lunar module: huge landing pods.

NASA figured the moon was billions of years old and that layers of dust accumulated on the surface.Hence the giant pods on landing gear.

The moon, like earth, is not billions—or even millions—of years old.

▲ ▼3 Reply

precious mental 5 days ago

This time gap makes me think that maybe ALL lunar landings before 21st century were fake.

▲ ▼1 Reply

Toole T. O'Tooled 5 days ago

Can theybuild an ARK to take away the most gifted, like Gates, Bidens, Zuck, Big Z, Obamas, Turdo, all leadership of the woke and the Juice with ALL THEIR ENABLERS?

▲ ▼ Reply

Lightening 4 days ago

Would need to be a Space Ark.

▲ ▼ Reply

American Dissident 5 days ago

One group gets particularly incensed about anyone doubting fantastical official stories, lol.

▲ ▼1 Reply

OliverAnd 5 days ago

No successful Russian landings? I know why: no Ukrainians to help them succeed as was the case during the USSR. May also be related to the Islamification of Russia; the 'die hard patriot' Russian Putin is married to a Muslim Turk Chechen whose people not long ago killed thousands of Russians and terrorised millions more.

▲ ▼4 Reply

William Dorritt 5 days ago

Putin killed a million Chechens

the reason they sued for peace

He was genociding all of them.

▲ ▼1 Reply

wootendw PREMIUM 5 days ago

Putin killed Western-sponsored Chechen terrorists. The "American Committee for Peace(*sic*) in Chechnya", composed of neocon warmongers, still exists although it's give up on Chechnya, whose capital is beautiful now.

▲ ▼ Reply

wootendw PREMIUM 5 days ago (Edited)

No successful Russian landings in '60s or '70s (or '80s) because communism doesn't work.

Now that the communists have conquered Europe and Biden, but lost Russia, Russians will be on the moon before the US gets there again.

▲ ▼ Reply

Post_Ranger 5 days ago

Seriously

▲ ▼ Reply

View More Comments