New Hampshire legislature special committee issues scathing report that eviscerates the federal and state COVID response

This seven-state government report spares no that state and federal officials saw nothing wrong, yet nearly everyone they interviewed outside of government had nothing good to say.

STEVE KIRSCH
Jan 8, 2024

256 106 21 Share

New Hampshire House of Representatives
Special Committee on Covid Response
Efficacy

Report of Findings

Issued November 2024

A comprehensive report published by the Special Committee eviscerates the state government response to the pandemic COVID-19 pandemic. [REDACTED]

Executive summary

The New Hampshire House of Representatives is about to publish a 38-page report crossed by a bipartisan committee of NH state legislators entitled, "Special Committee on Covid Response Efficacy Report of Findings."

I got an advance copy that I can share with you.

It's glorious.

In this article I summarize the highlights.

In a nutshell:

1. If you worked for the state or federal government, everything was done right.
2. If you didn't work for the government, everything was a disaster.

It was so interesting seeing how different people can view the same evidence in totally opposite ways.

Also, all the Republicans on the committee thought the response was a disaster.

All the Democrats saw nothing wrong. The Minority Report is the exact opposite of the Majority report.

You can't make this stuff up. You really can't.

The majority report

Here is the 38-page majority report.

The minority report

Here is the minority report. It's only really one page (with a large attachment).

They basically disagreed, but didn't cite any specific (things) wrong with how the majority report interpreted the testimony that was presented. They give us no clue as to how the Republicans misinterpreted the testimony.

So they are saying that they disagreed on everything? They think it four rule wrecked? That masks worked? That the vaccines saved lives?

I just got off the phone with Stephen Perry who testified on masks. He said the Democrats were in the room, **but mostly fiddling with their cell phones**. They didn't ask Perry a single question.

I also asked John Bonafide about his testimony in front of the special committee. He told me also that NONE of the Democrats asked a single question. There were at most 2 Democrats in the room at any time.

Furthermore, the Democrats were allowed to call witnesses, but chose not to do so. This is stunning! Call no experts, ask no questions: what the public (or before you?)?

I suspect the reason they called no witnesses is that witnesses can be questioned by the committee and witnesses on this matter don't like to answer any hard questions.

NH government link

Both majority and minority reports will be made publicly available soon on the official government site, likely on Nov. 18.

VSRF call Nov 21, 2024: BOTH sides are invited to appear

I have invited all the people on the committee to the Thursday VSRF call.

I want to talk about the data and how two groups of people can have completely different perceptions of the same data.

Press coverage of the report

I predict that there will be a worldwide media blackout of the report.

Key messages of the 38-page report

Here are some of the key messages in the Summary of Findings section.

I quote the key statement and then provide a handy English translation to make it easier for you to understand what they are saying.

My personal favorite is #32.

1. Page 5: "The first major goal identified by the committee was to halt the widespread transmission of the SARS-CON-2 virus. In other words, stop the virus from spreading amongst the population and prevent the virus from becoming endemic. This objective led to guidance and recommendations regarding the wearing of various forms of personal protective equipment, masks and social distancing. At the state level, such guidance was provided by the state epidemiologist, though it appears that there was often reliance on the guidance being offered by the federal agencies. In many cases, New Hampshire simply followed the federal guidance.
   Analysis on the efficacy of the response as it pertains to this goal must begin with the fact that despite all measures implemented the spread of the virus was not halted.
   Translation: "Masks and social distancing didn't do shit."
2. Page 6: "Indeed, no testimony or documents were received by the committee indicating that the mitigation strategies were effective."
   Translation: "All these measures didn't do shit."
3. Page 7: "However, statistical and graphical analysis of this **X**p value over time provided no obvious indication that the spread of SARS-CON-2 was mitigated at all by the cumulative measures implemented."
   Translation: "All these measures didn't do shit."
4. Page **8**: "It is nonetheless the case that the cumulative effects of the measures taken by the state to slow the spread of the SARS-CON-2 virus were beneficial. It is nonetheless the case that the cumulative effects of the measures taken by the state to slow the spread of the SARS-CON-2 virus were beneficial. Little evidence has been presented to this committee credibly indicating that there would have been any increase in morbidity and mortality, or any strain on the New Hampshire healthcare system beyond capacity, in the absence of these measures cumulatively."
   Translation: "All these measures didn't do shit."
5. Page **8**: "Because of the limited availability and the required conditions for treatment, it is unclear what, if any, positive or negative effect this treatment made."
   Translation: "All these monoclonal treatments didn't do shit, as far as we can tell."
6. Page **8**: "Vaccinations…were initially advertised by relevant authorities as preventing the spread of the SARS-CON-2 virus. Clearly, this was unsubstantiated by any clinical evidence and **was proven demonstrably false** under real world conditions."
   Translation: "The CDC lied: people died."
7. Page **9**: "Therefore, it is not known what role the vaccines and boosters had in the downward trend of the disease, but this committee has seen no evidence that it was effective in reducing incidence of documented cases. Multiple expert testimonies were received regarding both ineffectiveness and the prevalence of serious adverse reactions associated with vaccination."
   Translation: "The vaccines didn't do shit as far as we can tell; they made things worse."
8. Page **9**: "Most worrisome here is the substantial testimony and documentation indicating that the relevant federal agencies overseeing safety abandoned the established standards for safe use of such products in humans."
   Translation: "Safety protocols were ignored. The focus was on lives saved, not how many died."
9. Page **10**: "Given that our state's actions did not have any meaningful, demonstrable impact on the course of the pandemic, it is recommended for further study, and we call upon the private sectors and academia to study and innovate, in the field of mitigation of biological agents."
   Translation: "It would be good to have a sane plan for the next pandemic because this one was a total failure."
10. Page 25: "…when indoors, the spread of a highly contagious, airborne pathogen is unlikely to be successfully mitigated simply by maintaining a three or four foot personal bubble. This is true to such an extent that it is unlikely that any member of this committee would have, independently, recommended such a strategy action in the absence of the guidance promulgated by the federal and state Executive branches."
    Translation: "The recommendations from the State and Federal experts were comical."
11. Page 25: "It would be difficult for the committee to identify a more thoroughly publicly deemed measure taken by either governmental or private-sector actors during the pandemic than the mandates requiring the wearing of face masks. This committee received significant testimony to precisely this sentiment, as well as considerable expert testimony regarding the apparent inefficacy of masks for mitigating an airborne pathogen."
    Translation: "Masking is detested and they didn't do shit."
12. Page 32: "This committee received voluminous testimony and records relating to the utilization of vaccines and mRNA technology relating to the COVID-19 pandemic. This includes expert testimony from epidemiologists, attorneys, and testimony from lay people, as well as testimony from state authorities. Except for those state authorities, the overwhelming theme of the testimony received testimonies were received regarding both ineffectiveness and the prevalence of serious adverse reactions associated with vaccination."
    Translation: "The vaccines didn't do shit as far as we can tell; they made things worse."
13. Page **33**: "Most worrisome here is the substantial testimony and documentation indicating that the relevant federal agencies overseeing safety abandoned the established standards for safe use of such products in humans."
    Translation: "Safety protocols were ignored. The focus was on lives saved, not how many died."
14. Page **35**: "Given that our state's actions did not have any meaningful, demonstrable impact on the course of the pandemic, it is recommended for further study, and we call upon the private sectors and academia to study and innovate, in the field of mitigation of biological agents."
    Translation: "It would be good to have a sane plan for the next pandemic because this one was a total failure."
15. Page 25: "…when indoors, the spread of a highly contagious, airborne pathogen is unlikely to be successfully mitigated simply by maintaining a three or four personal bubble. This is true to such an extent that it is unlikely that any member of this committee would have, independently, recommended such a strategy action in the absence of the guidance promulgated by the federal and state Executive branches."
    Translation: "The recommendations from the State and Federal experts were comical."
16. Page 25: "It would be difficult for the committee to identify a more thoroughly publicly deemed measure taken by either governmental or private-sector actors during the pandemic than the mandates requiring the wearing of face masks. This committee received significant testimony to precisely this sentiment, as well as considerable expert testimony regarding the apparent inefficacy of masks for mitigating an airborne pathogen."
    Translation: "Masking is detested and they didn't do shit."
17. Page 32: "This committee received voluminous testimony and records relating to the utilization of vaccines and mRNA technology relating to the COVID-19 pandemic. This includes expert testimony from epidemiologists, attorneys, and testimony from lay people, as well as testimony from state authorities. Except for those state authorities, the overwhelming theme of the testimony received testimonies were received regarding the vaccines was negative."
    Translation: "Testimony from State officials was that the COVID response was superb. Testimony from everyone else was that the COVID response was a flicken disaster."
18. Page 33: "It is therefore the recommendation of this committee that such excessive and authority-like claiming of police powers to divide the population, order healthy persons' liberty severely restricted, order houses of worship and private businesses shuttered, and the like should never again be pursued by the government."
    Translation: "Coercing people to do stupid things that made things worse is a dumb idea. We shouldn't repeat the same mistake. Let people decide for themselves. People aren't stupid."
19. Page 35: "It is known that, by the criteria settled upon prior to vaccine rollout, the threshold for 'safety signal' was exceeded for multiple, serious medical conditions, including myocarditis, autoimmune dysfunction, and neurological injury within a very short time after initial vaccine rollout. It is known that the CDC then chose to abandon their previously established methodology for evaluating ongoing safety data for the vaccines. It is, however, not known why the CDC chose to do this, nor is it known why the public was not made aware of this "safety signal" data as the change in methodology."
    Translation: "The CDC was done dead to all the safety alarms going off. Their mission was to push drug products regardless of how many people were killed by the drugs."
20. Page 32: "From the gathered testimony of New Hampshire officials, it does not appear that these authorities within our state were aware of these safety issues at the time that the CDC had this data. Federal authorities developed a narrative of "safe and effective" that was knowingly not accurate at the time. As the time, the State of New Hampshire authorities adopted this narrative uncritically and implemented it as policy in state guidance. It appears that the official narrative and conclusions of federal authorities and select public-private partnerships, such as some of those overseeing vaccine safety and efficacy, were readily adopted by relevant New Hampshire authorities without any circumspect and critical review: Indeed, the state epidemiologist testified that at no point did the state generate any of our own guidance around vaccines, but rather merely adopted federal guidance."
    Translation: "The CDC lied and the NH health officials just did whatever the CDC recommended without question. The blind leading the blind."
21. Page 33: "Lastly, "propaganda" is defined by the Merriam-Webster Dictionary as "ideas, facts, or allegations spread deliberately to further one's cause or to damage an opposing cause." As such, any time an official position or narrative is adopted by the state, and official speech or media is undertaken to advance that position or narrative publicly, the state is, definitionally, engaged in propaganda. This is the case regardless of the truth or falsity of the position, narrative, or propaganda being engaged in. The State of New Hampshire adopted and forwarded narratives and engaged in fear-based marketing during the pandemic around such items as "stay at home," vaccination of various demographics including children (i.e. "our shot to get back to normal"), the safety and efficacy of vaccines and treatments, and more. These items were directly related to federal funding as well."
    Translation: "The State simply repeated the bullshit coming down from the CDC with a goal of gaslighting people into believing that they knew what they were talking about."
22. Page 35: "Further, censorship is now known to have occurred at the behest of federal authorities as well as private-sector actors (often acting in concert with federal authorities). Quashing citizens' speech that represented undesirable narratives must have had an impact on the authorities of this state who, to the best of this committee's knowledge, did not know of or partake in these censorious activities. The absence of the skeptical voices of these citizens may well have played a role in the decisions made by authorities within this state."
    Translation: "Bad: embracing of censorship led to nonsensical state policies because the State authorities thought there was no dissent."
23. Page 36: "This is an unacceptable circumstance and represents precisely the sort of actions the founders meant to frustrate when they enumerated the entire set of First Amendment rights within the Bill of Rights. This censorship was both an affront to these rights of citizens and to the relationship of the federal government to this state. Censorship efforts included suppression and information around potential treatments for COVID-19 that may have tested policy."
    Translation: "Those wielding the club of censorship are violating the First Amendment. They are not serving the public interest."
24. Page 36: "During this testimony it became apparent that such large-scale engineering controls, so as many professionals and experts recommended to this committee as first-line defense against airborne pathogens, were deemed outside the scope of Public Health measures that could be readily deployed with available funding. Further testimony seemed to suggest hurdles to funding such measures were prohibitive of considering them under the rubric of Public Health. This appears to have been an unfortunate conclusion, as it is, in hindsight, so abundantly clear that the reality of measures taken, and economic losses incurred by not taking such engineering measures early on, far exceeded the cost of implementing these measures in the first place in every conceivable dimension."
    Translation: "The public health officials would have done better making policy decisions with a dam board, at least that way, they would have gamed it right half the time. In this case, they screwed up again in balancing the more cost-effective way to keep people safe."

Summary

This Thursday, we'll feature several members from the New Hampshire legislature who worked on the report so you can talk to them first-hand and get their insights.

I applaud the work done by this committee and encourage other legislatures to do the same.

Live Free or Die! is the state motto of New Hampshire. It reflects the state's historic emphasis on individual liberty and self-governance, echoing the deep-rooted American values of freedom and independence. The phrase originated from a toast written by General John Stark, New Hampshire's most famous Revolutionary War hero, in 1809. "Live free or die: Death is not the worst of evils."

These courageous legislators are truly walking the talk and serving the public interest and setting a model for others to follow.

Bravo.

Share

256 Likes · 21 Restacks

256 106 21 Share

Discussion about this post

Comments Restacks

Write a comment...

Doug Young · Dec 2 at 1:01 pm
This is the main reason I left the Democrat party. You couldn't see an uglier side of their medical totalitarianism than Kent's House Francisco.
Like (2) Reply Share
3 replies

Patricia Lucas · Dec 2 at 1:01 pm
It seems a consensus is building that the multinational western government cold response - was an unmitigated disaster. To the extent it can be documented I expect the poor countries of the world will demand a refund. And they doesn't address the role of US China gain of function research in creating the virus.
Like (2) Reply Share
6 replies

123 more comments...

Top Latest Discussions

The FAA has very quietly admitted that the EKGs of pilots are no longer normal. We should be concerned. Very concerned.
Steve Kirsch
After the vaccine rolled out, the FAA secretly widened the EKG parameter range for pilots so they wouldn't be grounded. It looks like the ruse gave us ...
Jan 8, 2024 · Steve Kirsch

Bhakdi/Burkhardt pathology results show 97% of people who died after being vaccinated were killed by the vaccine
The vaccine was implicated in 93% of the deaths in the patients they examined. What's troubling is the cancer didn't mutate the vaccine is any ...
Jan 30, 2024 · Steve Kirsch

New studies show that the COVID vaccines damage your immune system, likely permanently
The vaccines are making it more likely you'll be infected with Omicron 90 days after your last shot. It keeps vaccine effectiveness high ...
Jan 31, 2024 · Steve Kirsch

See all >

Ready for more?

Type your email... Subscribe

© 2024 Steve Kirsch • Privacy • Terms • Collection notice

Start Writing Get the app

Substack is the home for great culture